Respondent	Agent ID	Document	Comment (plain text)	TMBC Response
ID		Part Name		
44304385			59761	Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into
			Previous KHPC Objections to Site	consideration within the site analysis and site selection
			Frevious Krife Objections to site	processes.
			TM/18/03031 Development Site North Of 51 Amber Lane Kings Hill West Malling Kent. Outline Application:	
			Redevelopment to provide up to 85 Class C3 residential units, together with landscaping, open space and other	
			associated works. All matters reserved for future approval except for access (Site 5.4)	
			Kings Hill Parish Council object to this site being developed for the following reasons:-	
			* Strongly object on the means of access to this site. Amber lane already suffers from on street parking which already	
			has stopped an emergency vehicle from accessing this road. The on street parking is clearly evident in the documents	
			submitted and these appear to be a day time photograph when the parking issue is minimal. The residents of Kings	
			Hill feel that that the means of access should not be from Kings Hill as there would be a severe impact on the road	
			network and therefore this application should be refused.	
			* This area is currently used as open space for dog walking and other recreation. The proportion of green and open	
			space is linked to self-reported levels of health and mental health. The loss of this land has the potential to impact on	
			the surrounding residents well being.	
			* There are significant concerns over the additional traffic that this site together with the other applications	
			cumulatively will generate where accessed through Kings Hill. Even as phase three now begins to be built out and	
			these proposed new sites, there are of course still only two means of access and egress for the ever-increasing	
			number of residents. Whilst the A228 is dual carriageway between the main entrance/exit Tower View and either the	
			A 20 or M20 which is where the majority of traffic travels to and from, there are quite often significant difficulties in	
			residents trying to leave Kings Hill in the mornings. The single carriageway A228 south towards Mereworth and	
			Tonbridge and beyond is heavily used but often backs up. The traffic frequently blocks the exit out of Kings Hill, giving	
			rise to prolonged traffic queues and all the cumulative wasted time as people seek to leave Kings Hill on a daily basis	
			for employment off Kings Hill, or pursuit of leisure activities. We attach photos for the mornings of 12th September	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Comment noted. Potential sites for Local Green Space
			[59449]	designation will be considered during the next stage of plan
				preparation.
			The Parish Council has already objected to this green being considered as a housing site.	
			It wishes to follow this up by suggesting it be considered for designation as a local Green Space in response to	
			Question 34 of the Borough Questionnaire.	
			It is, of course, a fragment of the former parkland once surrounding Clare House and was kept to retain the trees that	
			existed when the "Step Stile Estate" was built by the former Malling RDC.	
			It therefore has some heritage value and contributes to the sense of place of East Malling.	
			More importantly it is used for casual recreation such as dog walking and local children for playing ball games.	
			Many greens throughout the area are too small or adjoining houses but this green is the only one large enough for	
		of Sites - Eas	st such activities. It should therefore be retained as a community asset, and considered for inclusion as a Local Green	

Space.

Local Green Spaces in East Malling. Sites 59450 & 59448

Comment noted. Potential sites for Local Green Space designation will be considered during the next stage of plan preparation.

I am requesting that the Bradbourne Fields at Bradbourne Park are registered as "Local Green Spaces". (sites 59450 & 59448)

Many of the Bradbourne residents chose to live here because of these local greens as we enter the Bradbourne Park Road. They are a much needed green space for us living here for our leisure and local natural environment, but also for local shop & office workers who 'picnic-lunch' on the green on warmer days and local dog walkers too.

The maturing trees are much needed too, as a visual welcome but also to absorb some of the CO2 emissions from the A20 especially as the 'Morrisons junction at London Rd/New Hythe Lane has already been recognised as a 'CO2 emissions hot spot'.

The A20 still has flooding issues during heavy rainfall so to concrete over our Greens would increase that problem further.

The Bradbourne Park Residents pay for the upkeep of these Greens therefore we should be granted the application to keep them Green.

Table 9 - List I am in total agreement with our local councillors views of Sites - East

43797153 0 Malling

'Hands Off Our Land'

Comment noted. Potential sites for Local Green Space designation will be considered during the next stage of plan preparation.

[59449] - I am writing to submit my views on the Local Plan, specifically the inclusion of the green at Carnation Crescent as a potential development site for housing.

I have lived overlooking the green for over 60 years and its development would represent a significant loss of amenity for me and and the many families whose homes surround the green. There are a number of mature trees which provide habitat for wildlife and birds, including a large starling roost, and it is a place for children to play.

The importance of having access to green spaces is now widely recognised as vital for health and wellbeing. There is already very little green space in the housing estates in this area and Carnation Green is a welcome oasis in the midst of a built up area, particularly for myself and the other elderly/disabled people who live in the adjacent bungalows. For those of us who can't get out as much as we used to, having this amenity on our doorstep is very important.

In my view, the limited benefits of building even a small number of houses on this site are far outweighed by the negative impact on the local environmental and community. Furthermore, I believe the green should be designated as

Table 9 - List a 'Local Green Space' to ensure its future protection.

of Sites - East

43800577 0 Malling Thank you for your attention.

Local Green Space site

Local Green

Space site

This site was identified as part of the urban capacity study as a potential site for housing. This should not be carried forward to the next stage of the plan – here are our objections to any development in this area:

- * The Carnation Green area should be preserved as a valuable community asset and designated as a Local Green Space.
- * Without protection of our green spaces within our local communities and specifically Site 59449, we set ourselves up for numerous problems with mental and physical health, anti-social behaviour and degradation of natural habitats that are vital to our wellbeing.
- * The disproportionate development of the North East of the Borough is unfair and unsustainable.

Valuable Community Asset:

Carnation Green is a safe, secure environment used by local residents on a daily basis to:

- * enable their children to play in a safe space. The green is enclosed on all sides by residents who can keep sight of what is happening on the green.
- * The area is immediately located next to two blocks of apartments, both of which house children. There will be no local green space amenity within close proximity for these children to be supervised in a safe space.
- * Mothers, (including those from the adjacent apartments), bring their young children and babies down to the Green on a regular basis for picnics.
- * Fathers with their children play football and other sports.
- * Dog walkers use parts of the green continuously throughout the day, this is particularly beneficial for the security of women and children who walk their dogs in this area, especially during the early morning and evenings.

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East Health and environmental benefits:

Local Green Space site

Noted. Potential sites for Local Green Space designation will be

considered during the next stage of plan preparation.

Noted. Potential sites for Local Green Space designation will be considered during the next stage of plan preparation.

This site was identified as part of the urban capacity study as a potential site for housing.

This should not be carried forward to the next stage of the plan – here are our objections to any development in this area:

- * The Carnation Green area should be preserved as a valuable community asset and designated as a Local Green Space.
- * The disproportionate development of the North East of the Borough is unfair and unsustainable.
- * Without protection of our green spaces within our local communities and specifically

Site 59449, we set ourselves up for numerous problems with mental and physical health, anti-social behaviour and degradation of natural habitats that are vital to our wellbeing.

- * Carnation Green is a safe, secure environment used by local residents on a daily basis to:
- * enable their children to play in a safe space,
- * Dog walkers use the green continuously throughout the day, this is particularly beneficial for the security of single women and children who walk their dogs in this area, especially during the early morning and evenings.
- * The area is immediately located next to two blocks of apartments, both of which house children, there will be no local green space amenity within close proximity for these children to be supervised in a safe space.
- * Mothers, (including those from the adjacent apartments), bring their young children and babies down to the Green on a regular basis for picnics.
- * Fathers with their children play football and other sports.
- * Green space helps prevent

Table 9 - List * anti-social behaviour

0 Malling

of Sites - East * promotes healthy lifestyle through play and engagement

* helps to fight against mental health issues

Local Green Space site

housing.

Noted. Potential sites for Local Green Space designation will be considered during the next stage of plan preparation.

Our main comments are:

- * Creation of new Local Green Spaces to retain recreational areas and "green lung" for health purposes: The Carnation Green area should be preserved as a Local Green Space as well as East Malling Playing Fields and Warren Woods Nature Reserve.
- * Requesting that the Green Belt is extended eastwards from West Malling By Pass. This will protect the character of East Malling and West Malling and prevent its coalescence. It would protect the countryside between the three communities including the network of quiet lanes and rural public paths, the conservations areas within it, and continue to provide a "green" area appreciated by the residents of the adjoining built up area.

Our countryside is precious and intrinsic part of the character of our villages. It provides a physical separation between villages so that each retains its own identity. It provides leisure opportunities which is vital for health and wellbeing.

There is reference to anti-coalescence / strategic gap policy which is perhaps seen as an alternative way to keep the West Malling, East Malling and the growing community of Kings Hill separate. However it is felt that such a policy lacks the permanence of a Green Belt designation.

- * Retaining Grade 1 & 2 farm land. With Climate Change/environmental issues and the Ukraine issue it is vital that we retain the ability to produce our own local produce and as a country retain the ability to be as self sufficient as possible.
- * Hydrology of the area: Considerations relating to hydrology of the East Malling/West Malling area should not be Table 9 List ignored
- of Sites East * Infrastructure: Transport infrastructure and the effect of extra traffic on West and East Malling cannot cope with a 0 Malling 30% uplift in population

Local Green
Space site

These are my comments regarding the proposed draft local plan.

SITE 59449 Carnation Crescent

To improve health and wellbeing. I would suggest that this is designated as a Local Green Space to be enjoyed by the community. It has been an open green space since the houses were built and been enjoyed by residents and visitors.

SITE 59715 Wateringbury Road

I have already commented on this application for housing ref 22/01570/OA dated 15.07.2022. The surrounding roads of Chapel Street and High Street, as well as Gillets Land and The Rocks Road, are not able to cope with the amount of traffic using these routes, also considering the low railway bridge at the junctions of High Street/Rocks Road/Chapel Street. Residents' cars are continually being damaged by the inconsiderate drivers passing through the village.

SITE 59698 Clare Lane/Broadwater Road

Taking in to consideration this is adjacent to SITE 59824, the local narrow roads of Clare Lane/Broadwater Road, Lucks Hill and Winterfield Lane would be unable to cope with the extra amount of traffic this will bring to the area, especially during the rush hours in the morning and evening. When there is either an accident on the A20 London Road or Junction 4 of the M20, this adds to the congestion.

SITE 59743 Junction of Winterfield Lane (by Winterfield Cottage), Lucks Hill, Broadwater Road and Clare Lane

Table 9 - List The above comments will also apply to this site.

of Sites - East

45435137

0 Malling S

SITE 59824 Top of Clare Lane

Noted. Potential sites for Local Green Space designation will be considered during the next stage of plan preparation. However, comments on specific live planning applications are Development Management matters.

Local Green Space site bullet points for which we have an insight; others will cover areas relevant to their own concerns and locations.

Introduction

Section A of this response gives an overview of our areas of concern regarding the Regulation 18 Local Plan.

Summary

Detailed below are our responses to the call for sites identified as part of the urban capacity study for potential housing.

Our main comments are:

- * Creation of new Local Green Spaces to retain recreational areas and "green lung" for health purposes: The Carnation Green area should be preserved as a Local Green Space as well as East Malling Playing Fields and Warren Woods Nature Reserve.
- * Requesting that the Green Belt is extended eastwards from West Malling By Pass. This will protect the character of East Malling and West Malling and prevent its coalescence. It would protect the countryside between the three communities including the network of quiet lanes and rural public paths, the conservations areas within it, and continue to provide a "green" area appreciated by the residents of the adjoining built up area.

Our countryside is precious and intrinsic part of the character of our villages. It provides a physical separation between villages so that each retains its own identity. It provides leisure opportunities which is vital for health and wellbeing.

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East There is reference to anti-coalescence / strategic gap policy which is perhaps seen as an alternative way to keep the

0 Malling West Malling, East Malling and the growing community of Kings Hill separate. However it is felt that such a policy lacks

Local Green
Space site

Noted. Potential sites for Local Green Space designation will be

considered during the next stage of plan preparation.

of such sites was a matter to be brought forward at Reg 18 stage. The information set out below demonstrates the value and importance to the local community of several sites in my Ward and I ask that each be assessed for inclusion considered during the next stage of plan preparation. as Local Green Spaces in the Plan. All of these sites are either within or directly adjacent to the built areas of the village. None could be described as extensive tracts of land such that they would be excluded from designation.

Noted. Potential sites for Local Green Space designation will be

Carnation Green, Carnation Crescent

[photo provided]

Call for Sites reference 59449

- * This important green space is at the centre of the Stepstile estate and is a focal point within the development. It contributes to a sense of place and identity for residents of the estate and is a level, accessible space that all residents can share in and enjoy.
- * At the time the development took place the provision of this green was clearly considered to be of importance. It has remained largely unchanged since the estate was laid out in the post-war era save for the provision of some limited car parking spaces. However, as the surrounding area has continued to develop, including within this estate itself, the necessity for this green space has increased not reduced.
- * The estate was built within the former parkland of Grade 1 Listed Clare House. The largest trees on the green are remnants from this former park and make an important and positive contribution to character. They are likely to be

Table 9 - List of significant age and certainly of local heritage value.

of Sites - East * There is no play equipment, seating or other facilities. The green is enjoyed and cherished by the local community as a simple green space.

Local Green Space site

Noted. Potential sites for Local Green Space designation will be considered during the next stage of plan preparation.

46124545

0 Malling

Cottenham Orchard, south of Cottenham Close

[Not a site in annex B]

- * An 'abandoned' apple and pear orchard lying to the south of Cottenham Close.
- * The site is clearly defined and self-contained. The eastern boundary is close to properties at Chapel Street lying in the East Malling Conservation Area while the western boundary of the orchard is adjacent to the Ditton Stream in the Mill Street Conservation. The site contributes positively to the setting of these two Conservation Areas.
- * The site is accessible via a series of popular public footpaths, namely MR110 which runs north-south on the western edge from Cottenham Close to Well Street, MR106 which runs along the northern boundary adjacent to the railway from Cottenham Close towards Chapel Street, and MR106A which runs from Chapel Street along the southern boundary to meet MR110
- * The wider site is criss-crossed by a series of well-worn informal paths between the fruit trees.
- * This is a special, tranquil place enjoyed by residents for walking, dog walking, quiet recreation including birdspotting, and foraging fruit. It's beauty is in its wild untamed nature.
- * The orchard has not been worked productively for perhaps 35-40 years and has a high ecological potential due to the lack of intensive management. Essentially it has been left to 're-wild', becoming a haven for wildlife. Pyrimidal orchids are present. Red listed Nightingales have been video recorded on the site.

Table 9 - List * If the Borough Council adopts its previously proposed policy of extending the Green Belt eastwards to Wateringbury of Sites - East Road this site would be covered by that designation - that is, it is in an area that the Borough Council has previously 0 Malling considered requires high level protection.

Local green space site

Greens at Lime Crescent and Beech Road

* While the Clare Park estate is close to South Ward Playing fields, the long green at Lime Crescent (running between
these Playing Fields to the south and Beech Road to the north) and the two greens at Beech Road either side of Elm
Crescent are an important group of amenity green spaces that make a positive contribution to the look and feel of the
estate.

- * The long green at Lime Crescent in particular is frequently used for dog walking.
- * Paths lead through from it to the Playing Fields and also to New Road through a recognised gap in the ragstone wall. The wall is an important characterful feature on the eastern side.
- * There are some large trees on the greens again, some of these are trees that were in the former parkland of Clare House. These are important roosts for birds and bats.
- * The greens were clearly considered important features at the time the estate was laid out and they continue to be so. They are attractive areas that contribute positively to the health and wellbeing of residents.
- * The green at Lime Crescent has good connectivity to South Ward Playing Fields and gives a sense of leading in and out of it. Aside from providing good access for residents this connectivity is important for wildlife which is able to take advantage of the dense scrub along the eastern edge.
- * The Borough Council as landowner has itself attempted to re-wild a small section of the green at Lime Crescent for Table 9 List biodiversity/ecological benefit. This work could be extended.
- of Sites East * New Road is a busy road, and can become congested during peak/school times. The greens and the trees on them 0 Malling create and important buffer, and additionally the trees contribute towards carbon capture.

South Ward Playing Fields, New Road and Warren Woods Nature Reserve south of The Heath

Table 9 - List
of Sites - East I have seen the comments submitted by East Malling & Larkfield Parish Council seeking LGS designation for these two
0 Malling sites. Rather than repeat what they have said would you please record that I support their views.

Noted. Potential sites for Local Green Space designation will be considered during the next stage of plan preparation.

Local green

space site

Local Green
Space site

46124545

		59761 [Downs & Mereworth]	Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection	
		59740 [East Malling]	processes.	
		59834 [Medway]		
		59630 [East Malling]		
		59634 [Kings Hill]		
		59631 [East Malling]		
		59797 [Wateringbury]		
		59800 [Wateringbury]		
		59802 [Wateringbury]		
		59424 [Kings Hill]		Most of these sites
		59655 [Kings Hill]		are not in East Malling ward. Have
	Table 9 - List	We have particular objection to sites 59802, 59800 and 59797, and wish to express our extreme concern for the loss of green belt land and countryside as recreational use. These developments would also harm roads with quiet lane status and harm aquifer for streams. They are outside the boundaries of existing developments.		they been captured in their correct
42702881	0 Malling	[59450] [59448]	Comment noted.	wards?
43463745	Table 9 - List of Sites - East 0 Malling	[REDACTED] has copied us in to her request these greens be considered for inclusion in the Local Plan as important Green Spaces. The Parish Council would like to support this request and would consider the two greens should be treated as an entity. [59449] - I am writing on behalf of my elderly mother {REDACTED], a local resident in your constituency who has lived at Columbine Road for over 62 years, and am very concerned to hear of the proposed plans to build 19 houses on Carnation Green.	Comment noted	Redact
		These plans are absurd and would be disastrous, not just for my mother but for all of the surrounding residents, not to mention the wildlife and local dogwalkers who daily make use of this area.		
43800353	of Sites - East 0 Malling	Carnation Green is an extremely valuable green space for young and old members of the community alike; it is a jewel in the sea of buildings that must be preserved. If lost it would be massively detrimental to the mental health of residents in the entire area. [59740]	Comment noted	Redact
	of Sites - East	I live at [REDACTED], if this site was to go ahead it would not only ruin the beautiful country side we have but the area can not cope with more new residents. Before anymore sites are giving permission new schools, doctors surgery's need to be planned. This area is also has a high load of wildlife from small, rabbits to large, deer living		
44720609	0 Malling	here I urge you to look elsewhere		Redact

.---

Re: Objection to site 59740 Broadwater Farm's inclusion in the local plan.

As a Well Street resident [REDACTED], I strong object to the inclusion of site 59740 Broadwater Farm being put forward for inclusion in the next stage of the local plan.

The basis of my objection for inclusion is:

The site does not appear to be appropriate or suitable for inclusion in the Local Plan going forward, given the relatively poor rating against TMBC's Sustainability Assessment criteria.

Inclusion of the Broadwater site would result in:

Loss of high-grade farmland

Harm to many heritage assets including listed buildings, Conservation Area and landscape features

Coalescence of East Malling, West Malling, Kings Hill and nearby hamlets

Harm to the aquifer and lack of consideration of hydrogeology issues

Harm to Quiet Lanes and rural road network

Critical stress on existing road, medical & other infrastructure

Table 9 - List

0 Malling

of Sites - East Reduce existing access to outdoor space and further stress local overstretched health care provision

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Redact

L-- · ·- 1

Comment noted

I write as a resident of [REDACTED], to very strongly plead that this site be considered for designation as a Local Green Space, enabling it Green Belt Protection and therefore NOT considered as a possible site for housing.

I feel incredibly strongly /passionately that this site should be protected for a number of reasons, both personal and for the local community:-

On a personal level, it brings me joy each day, to look out onto a green space, when just beyond the green is densely populated with housing. It has a notable positive effect on my mental wellbeing, as I'm sure it has for other residents, whose homes surround the green. In a part of East Malling which lacks desirability /attractiveness, this little green counteracts that. We have been made aware of the benefits of nature /green spaces, particularly through the pandemic, so should be especially protecting those small green spaces, which stand alone within very urban settings.

The green is home to three very long-established trees, which MUST be protected (as well as a number of small ones), that are a haven to wildlife. It would be devastating to see these beautiful, HEALTHY trees cut down to make way for a few extra houses.

The green serves its local community daily, in a variety of ways. Local children play games /sports together, or with their parents (a healthy, free, outdoor activity that would be taken from them); local residents walk their dogs on the green and during the warmer months, people sit & socialise on the green. It is the hub of the local community.

The privacy of all residents surrounding the green would be significantly compromised.

There would potentially be noise nuisance, due to the increased volume of people living in such close proximity, in the Table 9 - List vicinity.

of Sites - East

45274017 0 Malling

Parking for current residents residing around the green (as it currently exists) would become a significant issue, as

Comment noted

Rather than repeat the many representations from the residents of East Malling, I would like recorded that I fully concur with them all especially those put forward by East Malling and Larkfield Parish Council and [REDACTED].

I would also like to have recorded that I support fully the putting forward the reinstatement of the previous draft local plan suggestion of an extension to the green belt eastwards to Wateringbury Road East Malling and southward to Pikey Lane. This I believe to be absolutely vital to prevent the coalescence of West Malling and Kings Hill with East Malling.

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East I note that some of the green spaces put forward are registered village greens and as such are protected from 0 Malling development.

Redact

Redact

We reside at [REDACTED] and are residents of the development on which you are proposing to build.

We are writing to express our strongest objections to any building works/housing units being being placed on the two Greens which form the landscaped entry way to the development. They are areas which comprise of cut grass and beautiful trees. They provide a safe green space for women to walk on their own. They provide peace, calm and tranquility. Walking in them helps to control anger and depression. It is well documented that green spaces greatly help mental heath. These spaces are used to walk, to exercise dogs, to meet other residents and feel a sense of community. They give a feeling of being in nature without having to travel to a nature site in a car - something which is obviously not environmentally friendly. They also provide a great habitat for local birds and wildlife.

These Greens are not abandoned areas of green in a urban setting. They are areas of green which the residents greatly value. Indeed they were an important part of the conditions when consent for this development was originally granted. They provide an important landscape feature and amenity value. Therefore they should not be regarded under the Urban Capacity Study as an area which could be developed to reduce the need to build on green field sites. They are valued and needed by the residents of this site!

Each house on the development is obliged to pay a fee each year to keep the Communal Areas of the development in good condition. The Communal Areas include these two Greens which have been well maintained at all the residents cost for many years. We also have been told that each household contributed to the cost of installing wooden fencing around the Greens to deter travellers from pitching caravans.

I quote from The Land Registry Transfer of Title, issued when houses are purchased, which states in the First Schedule:

Table 9 - List

0 Malling

of Sites - East Full right and liberty for the Transferee and all persons authorised by him (in common with all other persons entitled to the like right) to use the Communal Area for the purpose of rest and quiet recreation (not involving the playing of [SITE REF: 59740]

> As I live in [REDACTED], I was shocked and dismayed to see the Barclay Homes are submitting a planning application for 900 homes and Secondary School, Playing field on the south of Pikey Lane which will be overlooking my garden and house. It is going to destroy the Conservation area and tranquillity of rural life for which I bought the house 25 years ago when I joined Maidstone Hospital.

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East I am a BAG (Broadwater Action Group) member and I fully support BAG's assertion and submission of the Regulation 0 Malling

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Comment noted

Redact

Redact

45883745

 	 	,	···-υ

I reside at [REDACTED] and am a residents of the development on which you are proposing to build.

I am writing to express my strongest objections to any building works/housing units being built on the two green areas which form the landscaped entry way into the development. They are areas which comprise of cut grass and beautiful trees. They provide peace, calm and tranquillity and walking in them helps to control anger and depression. With the big issue of mental health, outdoor areas like these are very much needed. These spaces are used by many residents to walk, for children to play and ride their bikes in a safe space, like myself to walk dogs and to meet other residents and feel a sense of community. They provide a great habitat for wildlife and they give a feeling of being in nature without having to go anywhere by car which is a massive bonus with environmental issues.

Each house on the development is obliged to pay a fee each year to keep the communal areas of the development in good condition. The communal areas include these two greens which are well maintained at all the residents cost for many years. I also have been told that each household contributed to the cost of installing wooden fencing around the greens to deter travellers from pitching caravans.

These greens are not abandoned areas of green in a urban setting. They are areas of green which the residents greatly value and want to keep. They provide an important landscape feature and amenity value. Therefore they should not be regarded under the Urban Capacity Study as an area which could be developed to reduce the need to build on green field sites. They are valued and needed by the residents of this site.

The residents use the greens constantly, they pay to maintain the greens are kept in good condition and believe they have a right to keep them as outlined in the Land Registry Document.

Table 9 - List I am extremely angry that you are even considering building on our precious green spaces. Building houses on these of Sites - East greens would change our development and not for the better. I strongly request that the greens should not be carried 0 Malling forward to the next stage of planning.

> Site 59630 - OBJECT -This is propsed green belt land, this countryside we use to exercise and walk dogs, would be harm to aguifer for streams, this is outside the confines of existing development of kings hill. Harm to nature, Peregrine Falcons and Bats habitat this land. Destruction of conservation area to access site.

Table 9 - List Site 59631 - OBJECT - This is propsed green belt land, this countryside we use to exercise and walk dogs, would be of Sites - East harm to aquifer for streams, this is outside the confines of existing development of kings hill. Harm to nature, 0 Malling Peregrine Falcons and Bats habitat this land. Destruction of conservation area to access site.

Site 59740 - OBJECT - This is Grade A Farm land, Would be a loss of agricultural land. These are the areas you should protect and not develop. This would join East Malling to Kings hill which i object to. Kings Hill has lack of infrastructure processes. for existing residents let alone 3000 more homes. Traffic is gridlocked down the by-pass in the mornings heading to Table 9 - List tonbridge. This would just exacerbate the issue. These orchards are part of Kent's Garden of England. you would harm of Sites - East the aquifer for streams, Its outside the confines of existing development. Please Please target brown field sites before

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection

processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection

Redact

42522241

46118081

42522241

0 Malling

destroying the beautiful country side.

I object to this proposal due to the detrimental impact to the aquifer for streams and the negative impact on areas of natural beauty. It builds on green belt land and countryside. It is outside the confines of existing developments and will significantly increase the traffic on local roads.

59631

I object to the proposal at destroys the existing open publish space and countryside available for nearby residents and removes agricultural land. It increases traffic on quiet lanes and the rural road network. It is outside of the existing confines of local developments

59740

A significant number of dwellings draining on the existing stretch utilities and doctor's surgery. There will be harm to Table 9 - List conservation areas and is part of a proposed green belt. There will be harm to the aguifer for streams, to local nonof Sites - East listed heritage assets and increased traffic to quiet roads and rural road networks. It is outside the confines of existing 0 Malling developments and results in the loss of agricultural land. There is not a economic school location and no retail centre.

> Interesting to see Sire ID 57940 is shown as "TBC" in Potential Yield yet in Kings Hill Parish Council the map shows 3000. I wonder how many of the TBC sites are like this - are we being duped?

The explosion of population in and around Kings Hill will result in unsustainable demand on the capacity of the GP surgery that is already struggling. Previous developer promises to provide capacity have not been fulfilled. We cannot believe them without contractual and financial commitments. Previous and existing developers have compressed site occupation. Poor design and reduced internal space in dwellings result in atrocious conditions. Plus many of these Table 9 - List sites are green areas and are essential to the character and beauty of the whole area. To build like this would be a

of Sites - East planning disaster as it would deny the original concepts and the reasons why existing residents chose to move here. 0 Malling Surely professional planners in our council can see this.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Comment noted. 'TBC' relates to those sites proposed for mixed use, where the precise split between uses has yet to be determined.

42499105

Malling in June 1997.

Comment noted.

We have been made aware, via communication from the local Parish Council, that a recent Urban Capacity Study has identified two open spaces on the Bradbourne Fields estate as potential sites for further residential development, specifically:-

59448 Green at Bradbourne Park Road

59450 Larger green at Bradbourne Park Road

We do not believe that any development would be allowed on these spaces under the terms of the deed made in December 1995 between TMBC and the Trustees of the land upon which the estate is built.

I have attached a scanned copy of the deed (individual attachments for each page as I do not have access to a full document scanner)

I would draw your attention to :-

- * the site map which indicates the boundaries of the designated open spaces.
- * Paragraph 3(i) on page 2 "Covenants made hereunder ... are to the intent that the same shall bind whomsoever shall become a successor or successors in title to the Land or any part of it and are imposed indefinitely on the Land"
- * Planning Obligations on Page 5 paragraph 1 "To lay out and complete to the satisfaction of the council a public open space on the areas of land approximately edged green on the plan and to forever after maintain the same as

Table 9 - List public open space to a standard acceptable to the council such standard to be at least equal to that maintained by the of Sites - East Council in respect of public open spaces in the ownership and control of the Council"

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

On (insert date) 25.10.2022 Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to guiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

42707521

[Site reference 59449]

Good afternoon I am writing on behalf of our property on carnation crescent to oppose the plan to develop the current green into housing. The green is directly Infront of my house and our children play here regularly with the other local children. We are able to keep an eye on them and ensure they are safe without having to walk a long distance to the park. With already such large amounts of antisocial behavior in this area this will just add to the problem by removing it.

0 Malling

0 Malling

Our dogs are walked regularly in this area as well so removing this area alongside the other fields local to here already Table 9 - List up for development is really reducing the options we have not to mention creating even more parking issues here.

of Sites - East

The area is already so built up to remove the only area of green left here would be detrimental to everyone.

over zoom call. Its already over crowed as it is.

[Site reference 59449]

homes on the green opposite the flats. Personally I think it's disgusting and shouldn't be aloud kids play on that green and as for parking me and my partner have trouble parking our cars as it it. Parking is going to be worse if your going to build on the green its nice to see some grass just because its green doesn't mean you have to build on it. It's an absolute joke. The parking that is for the flats or not properly numbered. I moved here in April and I parked in bay Table 9 - List number 10 which that's my number next morning I had a letter on my car to say I can't park there as its residents only of Sites - East (I am a resident). Is there a council officer for this area as I would like a meeting to discuss this matter face to face or

I live at flat [redacted] meridian place east malling. I have come home to have a letter from you guys about building

0 Malling

42710529

42710497

Comment noted.

Comment noted.

[Site reference 59449] Comment noted.

Me and various neighbors of columbine road East Malling are not very happy with the decision that you have decided within yourself and commuity that it would be a good idea to start building on the green! My kids play on the green with all the children that live in around the green! There is no where for kids to play at such a young age where we Table 9 - List can keep a eye on them in this world now. It's lovely for the community to be able to get on and watch the kids all of Sites - East play together. I hope enough people come together and email you regarding the same thing. Look forward to hearing

42711073

from you

0 Malling

Comment noted

I am writing in opposition to the planned proposal for the building on Carnation green for the reasons stated below.

Firstly and most importantly local children, from toddlers to teenagers, meet up daily and play for hours upon end on the green, both in winter and summer, this promotes their physical and mental wellbeing as well as occupying the children's time. We have a pandemic of children lost for things to do, places to congregate, or areas to socialise, this is the reason for it!

Instead of looking to cash in on long term council tax payments and the money back handed by these large building companies, lining the pockets of politicians and CEO's, you should look to improve and maintain a healthy community, without looking to flip any green space for profit! Quite conveniently, I should think our village has already assisted with meeting the additional housing target due to the huge estate being built off of New Road East Malling as well as the farmer's fields off of the London Road being bought for housing!

Furthermore East Malling is a densely populated village being the 177th most populated area in England, with no additional schools, hospitals, doctors surgeries or amenities being provided or even planned for. This displays a lack of need for development in housing, when the village is already at capacity, currently needing further public services (to which you already have plenty of money to sort that out). Our neighbours and ourselves have also noticed a decrease in water pressure in the area since the completion of the flats facing Carnation Green. With more buildings agreed to, this without question, would put further strain on resources and utility networks, decreasing the quality in service for other residents.

0 Malling

Finally there are multiple old and new trees on the green to which provide a safe haven for numerous species of birds Table 9 - List year round, not to mention provide us with oxygen to breathe. Oxygen does in fact grow on trees! Local residents do of Sites - East not wish to live in concrete jungles with brick walls to look out onto, shading people's properties and being thoroughly unsightly. The new flats facing Carnation Green alone stick out like a sore thumb, but those in power don't live here so

[Site reference 59448 and 59450]

We strongly object to the proposal to develop homes on the Bradbourne Park Greens sites 59448 & 59450 for the following reasons:

This is the only green open space on this development where children are able to play and run around, families can socialise, widely used by dog walkers.

We ourselves will occasionally sit over the green and always end up chatting to someone.

We believe this would effect many peoples well being

The loss of trees and green space would be decremental to wild life.

There is already a bottle neck for people trying to get out onto New Road in their cars without the addition of even more cars.

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East There is already a huge new housing development underway just up the road, The Sweetings which is resulting in 42711585 O Malling hedging, trees and green space being concreted over.

Local Plan Individual Site Objections

Site 59630 Mixed Use TBC (est. 157) dwellings Fields North of Amber Lane

Reasons for objections • Proposed Green Belt • Countryside • Harm to the aquifer for streams • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Traffic restricted on local roads • Visual Impact from AONB

Site 59631 Residential 136 dwellings Wateringbury Road, Heath Farm fields next to Sports Centre

Reasons for objections • Existing Public Open Space for Kings Hill • Countryside • Harm to quiet lane and rural road networks • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted on local roads

Site 59740 Mixed Use (Est 3,000) dwellings Broadwater Farm

Reasons for objections • Harm to conservation areas or harm to significance of conservation areas • Proposed Green
Table 9 - List Belt • Countryside • Harm to the aquifer for streams • Harm to non-listed heritage assets • Harm to quiet lane and
of Sites - East rural road networks • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted
O Malling on local roads • Inadequate resources – KCC indicate suggested school location not economic, no retail centre

Comment noted.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes. The local plan development strategy and associated infrastructure demands will be reflected within the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

Site 59630 Amber Lane/ Warren Woods Nature Park/ adjacent Ancient Woodland. Objection

This site went to appeal and was refused permission due to the limited access and proximity to Ancient Woodland.

It is a green field site, not brownfield.

It is outside the brownfield developed site of Kings Hill, the old airfield.

Only one very narrow access road.

A valuable green space used for dog walking, picnics, exercise, and originally included as part of Warren Woods Nature Park, which it abuts.

Any development would be detrimental to the adjacent Ancient Woodland.

The prow 114 runs to one side of the site with mature trees either side of the path.

This land was until a few years ago valuable productive old apple trees which have been grubbed out. It was part of Heath Farm and the soil "is best and most versatile agricultural land."

We have climate change and a pressing need to grow more of our own food reducing the food miles travelled. If this Table 9 - List valuable land is used for housing it can never be utilised for farming .

of Sites - East

0 Malling

There will be more light and noise pollution and a reduction in air quality.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

		Objection	Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.
		1. This site would need to be accessed from a single track narrow lane under the railway bridge from Stickens Lane.	
		2. No width for passing cars or a pedestrian footpath.	
		3. Stickens Lane is a designated QUIET Rural Lane.	
		4. it is outside the village envelope and is valuable agricultural land.	
		5. This site borders the Mill Street/ Well street Conservation Area to the East and partly to the South.	
		6. There are no. 2 Grade 2 listed buildings to the south east corner of the site which are in the conservation Area.	
		7. The East Malling Stream rises in the corner of Well street and this water course flows along the eastern side to feed into the Medway. Any development could jeopardise the quality of the water and the biodiversity which includes water voles living in this stream.	
		8. In the old draft local plan this site would have been included in the GREENBELT EXTENSION to Wateringbury Road and I strongly support the exceptional circumstances for this Extension to the Green belt.	
42720404	of Sites - East	9. TMBC have a duty that "any change should enhance and preserve the features that make up its special character "also "Special attention is also paid to any development adjoining a Conservation Area to ensure there will be no harmful effects on the Conservation Area itself "Quotes from TMBC Conserving our heritage Mill Street East Malling Alterations to the Conservation Area leaflet.	
42720481	0 Malling	Site 59740 Broadwater Farm	Comment noted.
42720404		I strongly object to the proposed development on Broadwater Farm and heartily endorse the submissions by	
42720481	0 Malling	Broadwater Action Group.	Comment noted.
		[Site reference 59448 & 59450]	
42727393		I am very against the idea of any building on these sites, there are many dog owners on this estate and these greens are used by all of them, and during the summer the greens are used extensively by many families with children also. In many other parts of the country there is more room to build we just don't have the room or infrastructure to support all the extra space you are looking for, this area is built up to such an extent the traffic is ridiculous we cant see a doctor when we need one so who will see all these extra households?! And what schools will the children go to? All of These schemes are so short sighted, and I think these green spaces should be left alone and not built on.	
12, 2, 333	o maning	mese senemes are so short signices, and i chinic these green spaces should be left alone and not built on.	

Comment noted

Local Plan Consultation

I am writing to object to any proposed development on the Bradbourne Fields green spaces identified as 59450 and 59448 in the consultation document.

This is for the following reasons

- * The green areas were set aside as part of the planning consent for the development as it stands now.
- * I moved into Forsyth Close when it was first built, and the expectation was that the green areas would NOT be built on. I believe it to be enshrined in our deeds.
- * This is a private estate where residents pay a service charge for the upkeep of the green spaces, fences, and woodland management around the development boundary and therefore residents have a direct interest in its future and want it to remain as it is.
- * If planning was granted for more houses, these green spaces would be lost for good to the detriment of the residents and other members of the public that use it.
- * The view to Bradbourne house is another consideration, building houses on these areas would obscure the view. I understand that this is a covenant which was included as part of the original planning consent.
- * These green areas are also important from a holistic point of view, they are used for leisure, walking, running, dog exercising and picnics in the summer. All of which has positive benefit to all the residents and others.
- * There are no other green spaces within easy reach for residents, especially for those with mobility problems.
- * The green areas provide a "green lung" which offsets the pollution generated by the busy A20 which runs alongside the development. It would be detrimental to lose these spaces.
- * By further developing the green spaces at Bradbourne Fields with more houses, there would be an increase in traffic Table 9 - List to and from Bradbourne Fields onto an already busy New Road. This would also impact on the junction of New Road of Sites - East with the A20. We already know that the traffic in this area will increase from the "Sweatings" site further up New 0 Malling

Site 59630 - Reasons for unsuitability

- * Proposed Green Belt, countryside,
- * Harm to the aguifer for streams
- * Outside of the confines of existing developments
- * Traffic restricted on local roads
- * Visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Site 59631 - Reasons for unsuitability

- * Existing public Open Space for Kings Hill
- * Countryside
- * Harm to quiet lane and rural road networks

Table 9 - List * Outside of the confines of existing developments

of Sites - East * Loss of agricultural land

0 Malling

* Traffic restricted on local roads.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

42021921

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to guiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

42751809

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

of Sites - East 0 Malling

0 Malling

42754977

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to guiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

42755233

0 Malling

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Farm (59740) and other areas close to Kings Hill, these additional sites are wholly not suitable for the same reasons such as:

- * Development of these sites would cause harm to wildlife, invaluable green spaces & local roads & infrastructure.
- * Bring levels of over-population and congestion to areas that are simply not equipped for such a large influx of additional residents.
- * Bring previously separate villages and hamlets to each others borders thus creating a great urban sprawl that is frankly not desired or welcome in the area.
- * Stretch health-care provision that is already struggling to match the needs of the community.
- * Add more cars to areas where bus services are being drastically cut and train services are reduced and prohibitively expensive.
- * Place children in an area where there is very little access to secondary school education. Whilst the Primary school provision is fantastic, children moving into Year 7 are faced with long bus journeys to other parts of the borough.
- * Such bus journeys are already under-threat and with the huge increase of dwellings in the locality, the inevitable additional of more cars can only mean further congestion and increases to the aforementioned journeys.

All of the above points are particularly applicable to the proposals 59424, 59655, 59761, 59630, 59733, 59602, 59603 & 59634. In a time when we should be striving to protect our environment and stop reeking such damaging destruction on our ecosystems and climate, the suggestion of further concreting over our countryside appears madness and totally in conflict with any chance we have of stopping and hopefully reversing the damage that has already and continues to be inflicted.

Beyond the obvious environmental harm, such developments reduce access to outdoor spaces which is detrimental to health and well-being, especially in an area where health care provision is already over-stretched.

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East However, beyond these bigger proposed developments, I am frankly aghast with the suggestions to build on the very 0 Malling little open space that is left in Kings Hill, as outlined in the documents 59884, 59531, 59547, 59534 & 59544.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes. The local plan development strategy and associated infrastructure demands will be reflected within the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

59750 - The is marsh land and is also home to the Mere which gives Mereworth it's name. It would ruin the village to have a large development there. Not suitable for building.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

59749 - This is heritage land of beauty and of historic importance to the village of Mereworth.

59599 - This is marsh land. Important to wildlife and not suitable for building.

59752 - This is agricultural land and were it to be built on would leave no gap between Mereworth and Kings Hill that would just swallow up Mereworth. Infrastructure in this area from roads to doctors to schools is completely inadequate.

59816 - This land is marshy and prone to flooding and has been previously deemed unsuitable for building on.

59759 - Kent Street is a one vehicle track with dangerous access to Seven Mile Lane at one end and would leave no gap between Mereworth and existing houses at the other. Also important for wildlife.

59760 - As above...

59755 - This area is also on two single track lanes, no safe access to Seven Mile Lane and is an area important to birds and wildlife.

59758 - Answers the same as 59759, 59760, 59755

59757 - Answers the same as 59759, 59760, 59755, 59758

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East 59761 - This area is on a dangerously busy road that is already at breaking point. Also blurs the boarders between 0 Malling Mereworth and Kings Hill

42766561

I strongly object to the proposed houses in the Mereworth and West Peckham sites, notably 59792. This would be an extension of the building around Kings Hill and basically mean the loss of the identity of Mereworth and Wateringbury. The existing roads and infrastructure could not support these houses. We had a water shortage also this summer and yet more building will add to this for local residents.

I cannot stress enough how deeply unhappy we the residents are about these proposals. It is just not sustainable to build on the green belt land surrounding Mereworth, West Peckham and WAteringbury. The local roads, schools and medical facilities cannot cope as it is. These proposals would result in a drastic reduction in the quality of life for residents not to mention damage to the biodiversity and existence of wildlife.

Also the land is very fertile and as such should be kept for farming. The war in Ukraine has shown us surely that we in the UK need to be more self-sufficient in terms of food.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Table 9 - List of Sites - East 0 Malling 59792

These are: 59448 and 59450. The two green areas on Bradbourne Park Road within the Bradbourne Fields estate.

As a homeowner on the Bradbourne Fields estate I would like to bring to your attention that firstly, every house on the estate pays ground rent to a company called Homeground. This is ground rent for all the communal areas within the boundaries of the estate which includes the two grassed areas. Secondly, we have a management company (First Port) that deal with all the maintenance, upkeep and any legal matters of the estate including the communal areas, again this includes the two grassed areas and again every house on the estate pays this maintenance fee. In order to live on Bradbourne Fields, every house has a contract for as long as they reside on the estate, they are legally obliged to pay these fees.

These two fields are in constant use from either homeowners on the estate as well as people from outside the estate as they know it's a lovely quiet place to either walk their dogs or just go for some exercise. There are often families that picnic in the good weather on here or take their children to ride bikes, scooters, roller skates or other was to exercise. The older children meet up with friends and sit and chat in the grassed areas where they feel safe. During lockdown and since then, the older people on the estate use these grassed areas to help get some daily exercise in and some are not able to walk any further. East Malling and Ditton Recreational fields are the closest grassed areas, but these are quite a long way to walk, and some are not able to walk that far.

I would also like to point out that these two grassed areas are full of well-established trees. To build on these areas, they would have to be cut down which would be disasterous not only to East Malling but to the planet. Trees are essential to daily living, not only for humans but animals and birds alike. There are plenty of birds living on the estate as well as foxes and badgers within the undergrowth of the wooded areas within the estate and they would become homeless if removed for building houses.

Table 9 - List

0 Malling

of Sites - East I understand that there is a clause at land registry that the land can't be built on and that the only way this estate originally got the go ahead to be built was on a signed agreement that there will always be the two grassed areas at

> 59824 inappropriate density housing in a site with difficult vehicular access adding to existing heavy traffic in Clare Lane, Winterfield Lane, Mill Street and surrounding areas.

Loss of screened footpath, alterations to Clare Lane and loss of open space and food yielding farmland, light pollution and increased noise and reduced air quality will all be to the detriment of the settled village.

More focus should be on developing existing commercial land where existing uses no longer viable eg retail sites. 59698 this is an extension of site 59824 and similar comments apply.

59743 /59636 wholly inappropriate use of open spaces and quality agricultural land essential to food supply. Access around this area is already extremely limited as it is part of the ribbon of small lanes around the village which

Table 9 - List characterise its rural location.

of Sites - East Loss of food yielding farmland, light pollution, increased noise and reduced air quality will all be to the detriment of 0 Malling the settled village.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

42817889

area. The cumulative effects of these developments needs to be assessed together with the developments already agreed at Forty Acres and New Road as East Malling is being surrounded on all sides of the village. The additional urban development of Kings Hill and other proposed nearby larger developments will have a negative effect on East Malling particularly the conservation area, heritage assets, village character, environment and the loss of high quality farmland and trees. The additional traffic through the narrow High Street and Chapel Street will be an issue unless traffic can be diverted away

I therefore wish to object to the following sites, particularly Ivy Farm (59715) which is the closest site

Site ID 59715: This site is too large a development and is too close to East Malling village. It does not meet the SA Objectives. It will have a detrimental effect on the village due to the following:

Harm to the Conservation Area: A Conservation Area is an area which has a special architectural or historic character worthy of protection and enhancement. Conservation Areas are designated by the Local Authority to recognise the area's special character and to protect part of our Heritage. The Borough Council should be committed to the environmental improvement of its Conservation Area

This development directly adjoins the East Malling Conservation Area and would have a negative impact due to the appearance and harm caused by the increase in traffic through Chapel Street and the High Street which form part of the East Malling Conservation Area. It will destroy the rural gateway to the Conservation Area of East Malling. The Government's priorities regarding development state that the legislation governing conservation areas (of which part of East Malling is) is set out in the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990. The act places a duty on local authorities in exercising their planning functions to pay special attention to the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of the conservation areas. This development would not preserve or enhance the character or appearance of the conservation areas.

Table 9 - List the character or appearance of the conservation areas

of Sites - East

0 Malling

42795649

Harm to Heritage Assets: The access is proposed to come out close to Manningham House and the Listed Oast

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes. The local plan development strategy and associated infrastructure demands will be reflected within the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

In relation to site 59715:

I have already objected to the development of this site and I would make the same points again. This is an orchard and wooded area on the approach to a historic village and Conservation Area. A large development would completely change the character of the area and it would not be in keeping with the Conservation Area, in place since 1993. There are now few remaining orchards and these should be protected because they provide an important sustainable local food source, they increase biodiversity, they reduce water run off and flood risk, they provide cooling and shade in a rapidly heating climate, they provide habitats to wildlife including badgers, and they are a source of potential employment. This site is part of the valuable green space that is remaining around East Malling, and this is extremely important for mental wellbeing. A site of this size when developed would increase heating and temperature, increase flood risk, reduce biodiversity, reduce employment opportunities once finished, increase air pollution via gases and increased traffic, increase noise and light pollution, reduce available habitats and wildlife, and remove an important food source. Traffic is already a major problem in the village and a site of this size would significantly increase road traffic. There are no schools, shops or amenities within easy walking distance, and there is no regular public transport in place. Trains only run once per hour and buses are extremely few, and these have been further cut recently. Trains are very expensive and most households will have two cars in reality. Schools and health services such as GPs and dentists are heavily over subscribed in the local area and do not have capacity for a large influx. All of this will mean a poorer quality of life for existing residents. I also have concerns about the effect of long term large scale building works due to the increase in heavy traffic that is unsuitable for the small village roads, and the potential noise pollution that could disrupt family life and working life for those of us working from home, for the foreseeable future. Development of this site would, I believe, be hugely destructive to the local area and the people in it. It is very invasive to the properties on it's boundaries. It would also remove leisure opportunities by reducing green areas

Table 9 - List where people currently enjoy walking.

of Sites - East

42833729 0 Malling

In relation to site 59740, Broadwater Farm:

I strongly object to the proposed development of this site. I agree with all of the points made by Broadwater Action Group, which I know you already have. This site dwarfs the East Malling and its development would completely change the character of the area from rural to urban. Local residents have previously voted for an extension of the green belt between East and West Malling, and this extension had in fact been agreed. It is not the fault of residents that the previous local plan was not submitted in time. It is very undemocratic to renege on something that was previously voted for and agreed. We do not want East and West Malling to merge into urban sprawl. This would destroy the character and heritage of the area, and it would remove vital green spaces (lungs) that the local community currently cherishes. During lockdowns, we walked around this area daily to maintain our physical and mental wellbeing. We know that mental health is poorer in urban areas, and that we need green spaces for good health. In terms of environment, this area is Grade 1 farmland that provides an important food source as well as potential seasonal employment. It provides habitats to local wildlife and increases biodiversity compared to urban areas. It provides cooling and shade in a heating climate. It prevents water run off and reduces flood risk. It is part of a conservation area and contains listed buildings. Many people have already objected and we are not listened to. Building houses on this area would reduce the mental and physical wellbeing of current local residents, it would increase noise pollution, air pollution, traffic, and pressure on stretched services such as schools and GPs. There are no direct public transport links and no amenities within easy walking distance. This would increase the need for cars, realistically this will be two cars per household to add to significant traffic problems, parking problems and pollution that already exist. Quiet lanes would be destroyed and the benefits to local people would be lost - for example, the opportunity to walk, cycle or horse ride in a peaceful, safe network of quiet lanes. This proposal would significantly Table 9 - List damage quality of life for existing residents.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

42833729

Relating to site 59824

people - for example schools, GPs, dentists.

I think it is unfortunate that we have been asked to comment on each individual site when there are so many and I would have similar comments about them all. This feels like an attempt to wear people down, as continual objection is exhausting and depressing.

This site would extend the current boundary of East Malling, starting an urban sprawl towards West Malling that would erode the separation of the two. All green spaces are important for mental wellbeing, physical health, providing cooling and shade when compared to build up areas, reducing flood risk and water run off, providing habitats and increasing biodiversity. Getting rid of them means less opportunity for leisure, relaxation and destressing. Currently, local people enjoy walking out of the village via the footpath, and directly into a much valued green space. This is completely different to walking into a housing estate, which is what is proposed. As with other sites, there are no amenities within easy walking distance and public transport links are poor. Cars are currently essential, and this would mean a significant increase in car use and traffic should this proposal go ahead - typically an additional two cars per household. Additional cars would add to existing traffic and parking issues, as the small village Table 9 - List roads are not suitable for heavy traffic. Air, light and noise pollution would all increase, reducing quality of life for of Sites - East current residents. Services are already over subscribed and there will not be enough provision for a large influx of

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection

processes.

0 Malling

re sites 59448 and 59450. For as long as Bradbourne Fields has been in place, the residents have paid for the upkeep of these fields and feel they are ours. They support the well being of all the residents. Every child on the estate has learnt to ride their bike on those fields. They are used by families, dog walkers and residents for relaxing and provide a communal space for everyone. The nearest alternative space would be across busy roads in Ditton or Larkfield. The fields provide a separation between the estate and the main roads, giving us our privacy and a sense of seclusion. it would materially change the feel of the development.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Furthermore, the increase in the number of houses at the entrance would add considerably to traffic issues. At times it is already busy, the turning circle is used by people outside the estate to stop and make phone calls. this would make things worse.

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East Please reconsider, in the grand scheme of things not many houses can be built there, so please leave the green space 0 Malling as it is.

42834657

Table 9 - List

0 Malling

of Sites - East Objections to 59630 - use of agricultural/green belt. Outside the existing development. Would impact existing 0 Malling

42724257

development and further burden existing services. Impact on local / rural roads

Comment noted.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

I would also object for the following reasons if not already stated on each one:

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East Traffic/Parking - This is already becoming an issue because of builders/planners not reserving enough parking foreach household. Pressure on parking will increase with the implementation of yellow lines. Further developments will

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in processes.

Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

x] Site59740BroadwaterFarm mixeduseestimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources; KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre

I enclose our objections to some of the planning applications on the attached form. Our main objections are that nowhere is there an application for more infrastructure. Kings Hill is in desperate need of more Shops/ Pubs etc. and even a Garage - particularly given that with 6,000 new houses come at least 6,000 new cars and (probably) 12,000 people, which the present centre will not be able to handle.

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East It would be more acceptable if one of the builders could be persuaded to include infrastructure as part of the planning 0 Malling consent.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

42928353

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to guiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

42940961 0 Malling

> Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to guiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

of Sites - East

42948513 0 Malling

> Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in processes. Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

of Sites - East

42955905

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

> Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in consideration within the site analysis and site selection Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of 0 Malling existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into processes.

42955713

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to guiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

42963809

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Comment noted.

I am writing with strong objections to proposals 59448 and 59450 as resident and home owner of [address redacted]

On purchasing my property all searches established the two proposed areas to be free of development based on the line of sight from Bradbourne House, as stipulated in my and all resident's deeds. This proposal will negate this part of the deeds statement and impact on the future sales of bordering properties to the two sites.

These two sites are regularly used by residents as a calm and relaxing area to walk dogs and enjoy the green space promoting wellbeing in a busy, congested and over-developed part of East Malling.

Table 9 - List

43019073

of Sites - East Common sense must prevail in revoking these proposals on the grounds I have mentioned, with particular focus on 0 Malling the local infrastructure.

OBJECTION TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF 59448 AND 59450 BRADBOURNE PARK GREENS, EAST MALLING

Consent for Bradbourne Fields housing development was granted subject to this recreation being provided. The landscaping of these fields enhances the outlook of the area. The original Developer Redrow thought the fields improved the estate to such an extent they named it Bradbourne Fields, which probably increased the house prices.

For 22 years the residents of this estate have paid First port and other property services to maintain this land and fences. We never dreamed that this land would ever be considered appropriate for housing. There are many mature Table 9 - List trees on this land producing vital oxygen for this locality, that would have to be pulled down, at a time when people of Sites - East are encouraged to plant trees for the environment.

43020321 0 Malling We consider this amenity land completely inappropriate for any housing development.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

of Sites - East

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to guiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

0 Malling

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

of Sites - East

sites 549448 & 59450

Dear Sir/Madam,

As a resident of [redacted] I absolutely object to any development of any kind on the open green spaces on the Bradbourne Fields estate. I have been made aware that these two spaces numbered 549448 & 59450 are two places to be found in a list of possible sites for the future development of dwellings.

My objections are

- Any such development will impact negatively on the amenity value of the green spaces and will have a detrimental effect on the residents, including me.
- Such development will blight the area around my house, will be intrusive, will minimise light, vista and space and will devalue my property both physically and financially.
- I am advised that already my property might have taken a notional loss of value simply because of the inclusion of my road in the New Local Plan, which of course everyone knows about!
- My property was sold to me with the benefit of the amenity value of the green spaces and was priced accordingly. Should your plans come to fruition my property will certainly devalue.
- These green spaces are considered to be an important feature of the estate and their provision was part of the conditions when planning consent was originally granted.
- Any further housing along with the people living in them will put even more strain on the already inadequate and often overwhelmed educational, transport, medical and leisure services which are even now under strain. More people, more traffic, more pollution. It's not a good plan, is it?

Table 9 - List Additionally and most importantly, I do believe that I am correct in advising you that any development of the green of Sites - East spaces will not be legally possible because of restrictions and

42277217

0 Malling

Noted. The local plan development strategy and associated infrastructure demands will be reflected within the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

of Sites - East

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

of Sites - East

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

of Sites - East

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to guiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

43026529 0 Malling

> Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings. This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings.

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural ro

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

ad networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

Other Objections

Table 9 - List Traffic/Parking - This is already becoming an issue because of builders/planners not reserving enough parking for each of Sites - East household. Pressure on parking will increase with the implementation of yellow lines. Further developments will increase this further

consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into

I have carefully considered the contents of the above and it is obvious that you are trying to make this process more difficult than it needs to be.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

In my view we should at all costs avoid the amalgamation of East Malling, West Malling and Kingshill. This would protect the agricultural land of Broadwater and Eden Farms. The whole of the above makes no mention of upgrades to infrastructure to what I consider to be an overcrowded A228 and lack of improved transport connections, health and education facilities. In addition, the number of dwellings you are proposing will be a further strain on the utility infrastructure which to my mind is in serious need of an overhaul.

With regard to the Broadwater Farm development site 59740, this will cause serious harm to wild life, agricultural land and as stated above the A228, which is a main artery for the A&E at Pembury.

Rather than destroy local Hamlets, Villages and the like which have no amenities to support the needs of the increased population, full consideration should be given to release Green Belt to the west of the borough, which could be balanced with extending the Green Belt in the north east corner. There will also be severe damage to the aquifer and no consideration is ever given to hydrogeology issues.

I also see no reason why TMBC's housing allocation has risen to 72,000 and your comments in this regard would be welcome.

It is obvious that the population of the UK as a whole is completely dissatisfied with central Government and indeed your ham fisted approach to this planning consultation shows a total disregard for local views and whilst the above Table 9 - List purports to be giving your council tax payers a say, we all know that this is a sham. It remains to be seen what actions of Sites - East local people will take to prevent destruction of the local environment, which offers solace to the local population. The council is just making itself unpopular and not worthy of re-election.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the

Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X]59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane. Amber Lane. Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing public Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

42975297

0 Malling

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X]59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane. Amber Lane. Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing public Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X]59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane. Amber Lane. Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing public Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to guiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

43054881

0 Malling

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X]59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane. Amber Lane. Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing public Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

43178657

0 Malling

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

43191009

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

43191361

0 Malling

Site 59630 Mixed Use TBC (est. 157) dwellingsFields North of Amber Lane

Reasons for objections • Proposed Green Belt • Countryside • Harm to the aguifer for streams • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Traffic restricted on local roads • Visual Impact from AONB

Site 59631 Residential 136 dwellingsWateringburyRoad, Heath Farm fields next to Sports Centre

Reasons for objections • Existing Public Open Space for Kings Hill • Countryside • Harm to quiet lane and rural road networks • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted on local roads

Site 59740 Mixed Use (Est 3,000) dwellingsBroadwater Farm

Reasons for objections • Harm to conservation areas or harm to significance of conservation areas • Proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt • Countryside • Harm to the aquifer for streams • Harm to non-listed heritage assets • Harm to quiet lane and of Sites - East rural road networks • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted 0 Malling on local roads • Inadequate resources – KCC indicate suggested school location not economic, no retail centre

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in consideration within the site analysis and site selection Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into processes.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads. from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to guiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

43241089

42608289

0 Malling

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X]59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane. Amber Lane. Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing public Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

43252929

43253345

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

43253569

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

43254337

0 Malling

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X]59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane. Amber Lane. Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing public Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into
consideration within the site analysis and site selection
processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[x]59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane. Amber Lane. Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing public Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to guiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

> Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in consideration within the site analysis and site selection Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into processes.

43288097

0 Malling

0 Malling

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[x 159630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane. Amber Lane. Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing public Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to guiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

43288897

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

My reasons for objections - I believe this to be proposed Green Belt and the countryside will be significantly harmed! During lockdown. this area was an escape from the Hill. It is outside the confines of existing developments and traffic is restricted on local roads already with very narrow roads where residents can barely park cars as it is. The visual impact from the area of Outstanding Natural Beauty will be upset.

Site 59631 Wateringbury Road, Heath Farm next to Sports Park - 136 dwellings

My reasons for objections - At present this is existing pubic open space for Kings Hill which is surrounded by countryside. There will be significant harm to the quiet lanes surrounding this land and the rural road networks. It is outside the confines of existing developments and will cause loss of agricultural land locally. The infrastructure is not adequate enough for increased traffic and will cause local roads to be overwhelmed.

Site 59740 Broadwater Farm - mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

My reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on Table 9 - List local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre. The A228 of Sites - East and surrounding roads are congested at the best of times! The infrastructure is simply not good enough. I believe the ingress and egress is via the A228 which will be a nightmare for local residents and commuters

> Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

43354113

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

43355041

0 Malling

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

43356065

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

42720961

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[Site reference 59636, 59698 & 59824]

I have been following with interest and attended local meetings regarding TMBC's Local Housing Plan for the next few years and wish to give you my thoughts.

The government's NPPF scheme is one that I find to be flawed. Unfortunately we are going to bear the brunt of bad decisions that I don't think have been thought through properly.

Enforcing local councils to build ex number of houses every year until 2040, regardless of whether they have suitable land available and expecting them to destroy the countryside in doing so is totally senseless. Especially when we are not building the right type of homes.

If you take East Malling Village for example, which seems to have been particularly heavily targeted by these proposals, I fail to see how digging up our lovely fields surrounding the village and covering them with bricks and mortar is solving the housing crisis. Simply because the land here is prime expensive land and any houses built will be out of reach of those who need a roof over their head. Yes, there will probably be a small handful of affordable homes thrown in, but is that enough to justify wrecking the area, because none of these sites will bring anything positive to the local community. The only people to benefit will be the builders and the land owners and we will be left with more traffic problems, more pollution, more water problems, loss and damage to the countryside and all the other negatives that go with overpopulation of a village.

Also, most of the proposed sites are situated with access down narrow country lanes. Site 59636 in Stickens Lane for example. Stickens Lane is a very narrow and difficult lane to negotiate by car at the best of times, how on earth is it Table 9 - List going to cope with a large housing development opening onto it?

of Sites - East

0 Malling

0 Malling

The same problems apply to Sites 59698 & 59824

43356961

Comment noted.

Comment noted.

Probably the most disturbing Site is Broadwater Farm which appears to extend from Kings Hill right the way down to adjacent to West Malling Station. The thought is horrendous and I shudder to think of the catastrophe this proposed development will bring to such a lovely area.

With climate change and the need to be aware of looking after the environment how many of the proposed houses will actually be eco friendly? And what about the wildlife that will be affected by these sites - has anyone given any thought to that?

I wish I could feel confident and TMBC Planning Department will actually listen to our opinions and consider their options in our best interests. Unfortunately I don't, as we have been ignored and let down in the past.

I think it is worrying that the Borough Council's Advisory Boards have been scraped and we no longer have anyone other than the Conservative Councillors making decisions about the future of our community. I have the utmost respect for the Parish Councillors who work tirelessly and always in our best interests and I am concerned that councillors from other parties are no longer allowed to have their say.

I feel that we should all be questioning the government's NPPF scheme as there are better options of dealing with the housing crisis than just covering our countryside with the wrong type of properties and not being more forward thinking.

Table 9 - List I wish I had something positive to say about the Local Plan, as I do appreciate that more houses are needed, but I of Sites - East cannot see how any of the proposed sites that have been put forward will not have a negative effect on the local O Malling community.

[Site reference 59740 Broadwater Farm]

Development Site assessment

- * Development of this site ignores the long history of the fine agricultural land which has been the Garden of England, from the growing of hops to all kinds of fruit for so many years.thereby disturbing heritage sites, and much unusual wild life. Local roads and greater sound and light pollution will destroy the heart of the quiet countryside
- * With a continuation of an expanding building policy, the compact. individual communities of Kings Hill, East Malling and West Malling will soon becomes a sprawling Urban mass negating the peaceful country side that so many have been drawn to.

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East 3. The existing consultation for this site has already had over 1500 objections to this plan, and although we 0 Malling acknowledge the need for more housing, it seems disproportionate that the intensity is so great in a small area.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes. However, comments on specific live planning applications are Development Management matters.

43395361

[Site reference 59740]

I am writing to state my objection to the plans for site 59740 going forward to the next stage of the local plan.

I endorse the Broadwater Action Group's submission regarding and my own concerns/objections are based on the following:

- * This site does not seem suitable for inclusion in the Local Plan going forward given the relatively poor rating against TMBC's Sustainability Assessment criteria.
- 2. Specific reasons for excluding Broadwater site, in my view, include:
- * Loss of high grade farm land
- * Harm to many heritage assets including listed buildings, Conservation Area and landscape features
- * Coalescence of East Malling, West Malling, Kings Hill and nearby hamlets
- * Harm to the aguifer and lack of consideration of hydrogeology issues
- * Harm to Quiet Lanes and rural road network
- Table 9 List * Critical stress on existing road, medical & other infrastructure

0 Malling

of Sites - East * The existing consultation for this site elicited over 1,500 objection responses from official consultees, TMBC residents and other interested parties which should be taken into account.

Dear Sirs

We are writing to object to the proposal for the two above sites to be built on. We strongly object to the idea of new houses being built on the estate on the only remaining recreational space for the development.

We moved to Collinge Close in November 2002, having purchased the property from Redrow. Our understanding at the time was that our house was one of the last to be built on the site. One of the reasons for buying the property was the open field opposite the house.

We understand that the two fields were important landscape features with amenity value and an important part of the conditions when consent for this area was granted originally. We understand this is enshrined in our deeds.

All residents pay a service charge for the upkeep of these spaces - which includes maintenance of the green spaces, woodland and fences - we want and need it to remain as it is.

These two open spaces are home to beautiful trees and wildlife - many species of birds together with squirrels, foxes and bats are here. The spaces are used by the community for dog walking, socialising in the summer months and generally for people to be able to get fresh air in peaceful surroundings. We all saw how important open spaces were during the periods of lockdown for Covid. To remove these spaces would be a disaster. There are no other spaces nearby that local kids can play on without risk of serious injury crossing the adjacent A20 or New Road - a very popular rat run with cars always exceeding the speed limit. For people with mobility problems, there would be no local green spaces for them to access easily.

Access from the estate can be very difficulty during the rush hour and school runs. The A20 also gets built up when Table 9 - List anything happens on the M20.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

We trust that common sense will prevail here and the residents can soon be allayed of any fears of building works.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

We are writing to object to the proposal for the two above sites to be built on. We strongly object to the idea of new houses being built on the estate on the only remaining recreational space for the development.

We moved to Collinge Close in November 2002, having purchased the property from Redrow. Our understanding at the time was that our house was one of the last to be built on the site. One of the reasons for buying the property was the open field opposite the house.

We understand that the two fields were important landscape features with amenity value and an important part of the conditions when consent for this area was granted originally. We understand this is enshrined in our deeds.

All residents pay a service charge for the upkeep of these spaces - which includes maintenance of the green spaces, woodland and fences - we want and need it to remain as it is.

These two open spaces are home to beautiful trees and wildlife - many species of birds together with squirrels, foxes and bats are here. The spaces are used by the community for dog walking, socialising in the summer months and generally for people to be able to get fresh air in peaceful surroundings. We all saw how important open spaces were during the periods of lockdown for Covid. To remove these spaces would be a disaster. There are no other spaces nearby that local kids can play on without risk of serious injury crossing the adjacent A20 or New Road - a very popular rat run with cars always exceeding the speed limit. For people with mobility problems, there would be no local green spaces for them to access easily.

Access from the estate can be very difficulty during the rush hour and school runs. The A20 also gets built up when Table 9 - List anything happens on the M20.

of Sites - East

43410273 0 Malling

We trust that common sense will prevail here and the residents can soon be allayed of any fears of building works.

. - - - - - - - - - - - - -59740 59630

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

59631]

We feel that the plans for further development in the area is way beyond what the area can take bearing in mind the major development at Kings Hill over the years. We are setting out the reasons for our objections which basically apply to all these sites:

- * 1 Loss of amenity and recreational use of Ancient Woodland and all the environmental consequences of that. At a time when we are supposed to be planting trees in memory of the Queen you are planning to pull them down!
- * 2 We appreciate you have to build more houses in the region but surely there are many Brown field sites you can focus on before pulling down trees and digging up farmland.
- * 3. Excessive increase in traffic levels which are becoming a major problem already. In particular Site 59761, adjoins a very dangerous section of the A228 and the Kent Street intersection – an accident black spot. The A228 is not big enough to take all this extra traffic. It is already difficult and dangerous for us to turn right onto the A228 from Kate Reed Wood due to the traffic.
- * 4. As & when the permitted sites marked in purple are developed as well as sites currently under development, all services will be stretched to their limits: Doctors surgeries (now are critically short of doctors), as well as schools, water, drainage & other utilities. Also, where are all these people going to work?
- * 5. We also object to the possibility that Kings Hill should be classified as a town for planning purposes. It is already at Table 9 - List a critical point of over development after decades of continual building. Even the sites originally designated for offices of Sites - East have houses built on them.

43416833 0 Malling

* 6. The scale of sites 59740/59634/59630 in particular represents a massive and totally unacceptable level of over [59449]

Comment noted.

It has come to my attention that the green is being considered for housing.

I would like to say that I object to this. We live on Carnation Crescent and use this area every day to walk our dog. It is within sight of our house so it is safe to go there early in the morning and late at night without having to take the children with me when my husband is at work. Our garden is not that large so my children play football on the green and if we have toys that could get lost over fences, such as stomp rockets, the green is where we go to play with them.

Parking is already an issue in the side roads in this area so I can't imagine that more houses would help the situation and with the neighbouring fields between East and West Malling already being lost for housing, this green will be of Table 9 - List even more importance locally.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

If you wish for any more information, I'd be happy to help.

	[59740] Good day, following on from what seems to be your incessant pursuit of levelling off and building in this lovely area which I have lived in for 57 years here are a few points that I hope may help to dissuade you all slightly:-	Noted. The local plan development strategy and associated infrastructure demands will be reflected within the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.
43453409	* Insufficient road capacity (roads clogged already) * Critical stress on medical and other infrastructure * Harm to heritage sites, wildlife and agricultural land [59449]	Comment noted.
43454625	I think this is a bad idea to build housing on this green. My children play on here everyday as I can see them and don't want them wondering the estate. Also it would be caos for parking as its an issue up here now, and become a more dangerous place due to alot more cars that will be in and out. It is also nice to look out of your own window and see greenery and trees growing instead of buildings. [59448]	Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

The Parish Council writes to say it objects most strongly to these two areas of green being considered for housing.

The development here was on land formerly being part of "East Malling Research Station" and at least in part called "Larkfield Bank".

The development of this site close to Grade 1 Listed Bradbourne House was the subject of much local consultation including with this Parish Council.

These greens were provided to create an entrance to the estate as a feature retaining the trees and set back ragstone wall once the outer boundary of the former estate belonging to the Twisden family. In addition, a footpath was created from the greens out to the London Road.

In our view this design and layout concept should be retained.

43463745

0 Malling

We are aware that the residents pay for the maintenance of these greens and the Transfers to individual residents say the greens be used for the purpose of rest and quiet recreation. They are used by dog walkers and there is no similar area within the estate or within a reasonable walking distance.

Table 9 - List The Parish Council therefore requests these two greens should not go forward as potential housing sites. of Sites - East

[59450]

The Parish Council writes to say it objects most strongly to these two areas of green being considered for housing.

The development here was on land formerly being part of "East Malling Research Station" and at least in part called "Larkfield Bank".

The development of this site close to Grade 1 Listed Bradbourne House was the subject of much local consultation including with this Parish Council.

These greens were provided to create an entrance to the estate as a feature retaining the trees and set back ragstone wall once the outer boundary of the former estate belonging to the Twisden family. In addition, a footpath was created from the greens out to the London Road.

In our view this design and layout concept should be retained.

We are aware that the residents pay for the maintenance of these greens and the Transfers to individual residents say the greens be used for the purpose of rest and quiet recreation. They are used by dog walkers and there is no similar Table 9 - List area within the estate or within a reasonable walking distance.

of Sites - East

43463745 0 Malling

The Parish Council therefore requests these two greens should not go forward as potential housing sites.

[59449]

We wish to object to this Borough Council owned green on the Step Stile estate being considered as a housing site.

This green was provided as a local amenity when the estate was built by the former Malling Rural District Council. It is a landscape feature of the estate.

The Step Stile estate was previously part of the park surrounding Clare House and a few of the large trees were kept from what were parkland trees planted as part of the setting out that house over 100 years ago.

The trees on this green with others planted since are shown on the attached photographs. In our view it is important there are such green spaces within built up areas which was clearly the intention of the Malling RDC.

The green is used for informal recreation including dog walking and playing ball games. It is large enough for this purpose.

The Parish Council previously asked the green should be registered voluntarily as a village green by the Borough Council. We repeat this request to give this green spasce long term protection.

From a planning perspective we also request it be considered as an "important" open space" and included as such in the draft Local Plan.

Table 9 - List In conclusion we ask this site be removed from any consideration as a housing site.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

Please acknowledge receipt of this email.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Comment noted. Kent County Council are the body responsible for the regsitration of Village Greens.

		[59448] [59450] This is just to notify you a resident has been in touch to point out badgers are seen at night crossing the greens here which would indicate a sett nearby.	Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.
43463745	of Sites - East 0 Malling	Also, we are told there are green woodpeckers and Greater Spotted woodpeckers present. There are of course a number of trees along the A20 boundary and in the vicinity generally. We ask this be taken into account	Noted. However, comments on specific live planning applications are Development Management matters.
		[59715] This site is somewhat larger than that for which permission has been applied under TM/22/01570/OA extending further southwards.	
	Table 9 - List	We would refer to our detailed comments sent to the borough council on 18th August, 2022. In essence we think this site would extend East Malling village southwards into what is countryside and we feel that should be resisted so that the village feel of east Malling with its conservation areas is kept. Although adjoining the village it should be recorded apart from the one public house, the church, and a newly opened	
43463745		tea shop there are no facilities in the village. There is a station though not all the trains stop. The only bus that runs the no 58 is likely to cease if the KCC cuts to bus services are fully implemented. [59824]	Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.
		This site together with the adjoining one would expand the existing village of East Malling westwards reducing the green gap between the village and West Malling. The Parish Council seeks to keep the communities separate and not merge into one urban area.	
		It is also considered that there would be an adverse effect on the adjoining Conservation Area and views of the village such as from Broadwater Road, the railway and the public footpath between Mill Street and Broadwater Road much used would change from a rural route to one running between houses.	

The development of the whole site including the land out to Broadwater Road would, we think have adverse traffic implications. Stickens Lane is clearly not a suitable access and it would seem the access point would have to be off Clare Lane which in turn would have traffic implications for the crossroads at Couch Green with its "cocked hats" green and Winterfield Lane with its lack of pavements and put pressure on the junction with A20. There is also the Table 9 - List pinch point by the Gardners Cottage which is a Listed Building. Mill Street itself in the other direction is also restricted of Sites - East by parked cars and restricted alignment. It has pavements in part but mostly sub-standard. If these two sites were considered to be approved the KCC should be asked to do a full assessment and this be made public.

0 Malling

159630	

Please see development refusal at planning and appeal from 2019. Amber Lane Meadows is species rich grassland (when not destructively managed by the land owner). The grassland is full of invertebrates and pollinators, many of which you cannot find in residential areas of Kings Hill. Foxes, Bats, Slow Worms, Birds of Prey, scarce songbirds like the Bullfinch, dormice, Roe Deer, Badgers are all found here. The area is well used by the public for dog walking and is special because you cannot see any houses or urban environments. This is a countryside gem that should be managed better for wildlife and people. Adding further houses is a shocking waste of such a special location. This location has of Sites - East already been assigned as green belt. Road access is inappropriate and potentially damaging to ancient woodland the it would pass through.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

43466337 0 Malling

43463745

Comment noted.

[59630]

The Parish Council objects to this site being included in the draft Local Plan for housing.

The site was the subject of a refused planning permission and then a dismissed appeal. The principle, ground for refusal was the effect on the nearby ancient woodland which still exists and that issue in our view rules out the site.

At the Appeal, the appellants expert witness tried to argue the woodland was not ancient based on looking at an online copy of the Tithe Map. However, that was a misreading of the document and looing at the original it is clear it was shown as ancient woodland as much of this area was having been common land heathland.

If permission were given for this site, access would have to be via Amber Lane. It should be recorded there is a public footpath along the western boundary in respect of which there is an undetermined claim for byway status with KCC. It Table 9 - List is the Parish view the historical evidence for this is very strong.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

The site is a countryside site and the Parish Council feels that Kings Hill should not expand to take in this site.

[59631]

This site was the subject of a planning application which was refused and then a withdrawn appeal. It is clearly a countryside site and the parish council continues to object to it being used for housing.

It should be stressed this site is not connected to Kings Hill by any public road or by any public footpath or cycleway. The only route into Kings Hill nearby is south along Wateringbury Road as far as the North Pole PH and then along Teston Road either on foot or by cycle. There are no pavements along the section of Wateringbury Road concerned nor street lights. There is no vehicular access into Kings Hill via Teston Road.

The site has poor accessibility to community services save for the north Pole Public House. The drawbacks are;

- * There is no bus service along Wateringbury Road.
- * Going north to East Malling village there are no continuous pavements and sections of the pavements that do exist are sub-standard.
- * There are no shops any more in East Malling Village. The only facilities there are the church, The King and Queen Public House, a hairdresser and a recently opened tea rooms.
- * The nearest shops are at Twisden Road about a mile from the site. That is 1609metres.
- * The nearest doctors is the surgery in Martin Square, Larkfield about 2 miles away.
- * There is a train station at East Malling but not all trains stop.
- * The nearest bus service is the no 72 at Twisden Road above. There is a 58 that serves the village to the hospital but it is likely to be affected by the recent KCC budget cuts.
- * The nearest schools are the Malling School, beech Road, East Malling and St James Primary School in Chapman Way. Table 9 - List There is a primary school in Wateringbury but that is about 2 miles away.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

43463745 0 Malling

Lastly, we should say there has been no change of circumstances since the permission was refused.

[59740]

Loss of agricultural land, pushing UK food provision to less sustainable imports which is bad for the environment and climate. Loss of Winter forage by way of windfall apples and berry filled hedgerows to red listed bird species like Fieldfare and Redwing that migrate from Scandicavia to this location every year. Loss of local amenity, green space Table 9 - List and rural aesthetic. Increased traffic and burden on local services which are already strained. Damage to natural of Sites - East aquatic pond habitat and damage to aquifer which feeds local watercourse at Water Lane. Disturbance and harm to Watervoles (a protected and vulnerable species at this location).

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes. The local plan development strategy and associated infrastructure demands will be reflected within the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

.-- . .-, .-- .--,

Consultants have produced proposed sites for housing on behalf of TMBC at the above locations.

I am writing on behalf of my wife and I to strongly object to these green spaces being used for further development, in what is an area already saturated by housing.

This small area of trees and grass are used by the local residents on a daily basis for exercise and dog walking, with no other suitable area nearby.

Residents in BRADBOURNE PARK ESTATE have conditions written into their house deeds which clearly states Schedule 1 paragraph 8 that no building should take place on these sites and said areas be maintained for recreational use only.

There are already large developments under consideration, two hundred and fifty houses in fields off New Road and approximately one thousand on what is known as Broadwater Farm. Both are close by and will certainly impact on traffic, services and congestion.

I note that it doesn't appear that any consideration is being given to building surgeries, hospitals, schools etc to accommodate the occupants of the proposed housing, when these services are already stretched.

The land in BRADBOURNE PARK ROAD is aesthetically beneficial to the area albeit two small areas of grass and trees. I and other residents purchased our properties with this in mind, knowing that the area's were protected from development by our house deeds and a covenant relating to Bradbourne House. In my view the restrictions relating to building on these areas are such that no further development should take place. The areas were set aside during initial planning for building houses in the area and any change in the overall layout would be detrimental to many Table 9 - List residents both financially (in the value of houses adjacent to new buildings) and loss of open space.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

I hope the points I have raised will be taken into consideration and that these sites will be removed from proposed

43471681

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

.-- .--, .-- .--, Comment noted.

RE: Proposed (potential) development of Bradbourne Road (Greens – Sites 59448 & 59450)

Reasons against development: -

* I agree wholeheartedly with the Parish Council statement, viz: -

"It considered they are important landscape features with amenity value. They were an important part of the conditions when consent for this area was originally granted."

- * We moved here in February 2022. One of the main reasons we chose our current house was because we liked the feeling of openness of the entrance to the estate, especially since the houses are very close together and the roads are very narrow and there is no other green space on the estate where people can walk or take their dogs for a walk.
- * A major concern is that our house would be devalued by developing this open land and it would blight the housing stock for many months, if not years. The noise and other pollution so close to everyone's homes would be intolerable. Along with the nuisance value of the building site already underway at the 'Sweetings Estate' further down New Road, it would further add to the daily misery in this area.
- * There is no other open space on our estate. My wife is disabled and can't walk very far and these green spaces are the only ones nearby, within easy reach.

Table 9 - List of Sites - East

43472641

0 Malling

* We have several very young grandchildren living nearby who all enjoy going for a walk and run around in this area; it [59630 & 59740]

Site 59630 - object

- * Proposed green belt
- * Harm to the aquifer for streams.
- * Loss of ancient woodland
- * Traffic restricted on local roads
- * Visal impact from area of outstanding natural beauty

Site 59740 - object

* This would cause harm to conservation area

Table 9 - List * Proposed Green Belt

0 Malling

of Sites - East * Harm to non-listed heritage site * Harm to the aquifer for streams

[59740]

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East significant housing numbers with no supporting facilities, loss of country side, harm to streams and increased risk of 0 Malling flooding. Harm to existing road network and no improvements

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

42470529

This would have multiple negative impacts on the environment surrounding the area we live in by damaging natural Comment noted. habitats and eco systems. Pollution would also increase as residential areas expand which would impact all residents, including children. The infrastructure to support residential expansion doesn't exist. The schools are already over subscribed by current residents, as are the GP surgeries, dentists and so on. Table 9 - List of Sites - East Continued proposed expansion of residential properties in this part of Kent is disproportionate to the rest of the 43598241 0 Malling county, this cannot continue without challenge. Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes. [X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. [X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads. [X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre. of Sites - East 43598241 0 Malling

Comment noted.

[59740]

I wholeheartedly endorse Broadwater Action Group's submission and fully agree with the conclusions therein.

Table 9 - List I have also sent my personal responses to this and other questions in Regulation 18.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

43635649

[Entered into SA Annex 1 and questionnaire as appropriate]

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

43639137

0 Malling

Noted. However, comments on specific live planning applications are Development Management matters.

Q8 SITE 59740 BROADWATER FARM

OBJECTION TO THE INCLUSION OF BROADWATER FARM

AS FOLLOWS FROM KAREN WALLIS of Springhill Lodge, Well Street, East Malling ME19 6JW

- * This site was previously included in the abandoned Local Plan. However, it appears that the deliverability aspect was called into question. The access road was added at a late stage without proper consultation. It also incorrectly described the Conservation area. I remind you that the New Barns and Broadwater Farm Conservation Area was designated in 1993 for the views across and from this quintessential Kentish landscape, which consists of Listed Buildings, Oast Houses and Quiet Lanes. The impact of developing this area would certainly lead to actual significant harm to the landscape's character and quality as it would significantly encroach on these features.
- * There is a current application (TM/21/02719) being considered for this site. In addition to the 1,500 valid objections registered by local people, TMBC received many negative responses from official consultees; GWP Associates, Les Henry Associates, Wildlife Sub Committee report, West Kent Badger Group and Heritage Subcommittee Report. I bring those to the attention of TMBC again. The reality is that TMBC are now aware of numerous compelling and diverse valid reasons why this site is not suitable for large scale development. For example, I know that TMBC have been made aware of a KCC listed ancient monument heritage asset (an Anglo Saxon Cwylla) and a primary aquifer crossing the site less than 2m below ground level and these issues cannot be ignored. A detailed hydrogeology report by GWP has been provided to TMBC which indicates that any mitigation of the existing water courses to allow building will cause damage to both heritage assets and an important water source rising at Well Street. That water source is also an important habitat of the water vole which is on the line of distinction.
- * The development will destroy high quality agricultural land , which is currently on a rating at Grade 1 and 2 on the Table 9 List majority of the site. This exceeds 'the best and most versatile' description laid down under the Government of Sites East classification system.

43773921 0 Malling

* This site is currently the green lung between the villages and if it is developed it would simply mean that the area

Section A Overview of Lack of Site Suitability for Inclusion in Local Plan Going Forward

The Broadwater Farm site was included in the previously disallowed and abandoned Local Plan and was, apparently, regarded as a Strategic Site as it was thought to be both available AND deliverable. The former Local Plan also included the expansion of the Green Belt from the west of West Malling to the Wateringbury Road for any land not consumed by 900 homes and related infrastructure. However, it appears that the deliverability aspect of this site was called into question as a totally inappropriate access road was added at a late stage without appropriate consultation. It is also understood that the single Conservation Area of New Barns and Broadwater Farm was originally described as two separate Conservation Areas either side of the site. It is now clear that the New Barns and Broadwater Farm Conservation Area was designated in 1993 for the views across, out of and into this area of quintessential Kentish landscape. Standing in the centre of the public right of way (MR111) in the middle of the Conservation Area, the views to the west are of New Barns Hamlet and its oast complex and to the east, the Broadwater farmstead and oasts are prominent in the landscape with the historic buildings of Well Street visible beyond. To the north rises Springetts Hill with its backdrop of the North Downs clearly visible behind. To the south, there is rolling farmland that hides all but a single roof top in the Kings Hill development. This, and the below, argues that there was, and continues to be, no justification for Broadwater Farm to be included in TMBC's plans as a Strategic Site.

There is an outstanding current application (TM/21/02719) being considered for this site and which provides extensive information available to TMBC from many sources to draw on when considering whether this site should go forward to the next phase of planning. In addition to the 1,500 valid objections registered by local people, TMBC received many negative responses from the official Table 9 - List consultees. The reality is that TMBC are now aware of numerous compelling and diverse valid of Sites - East reasons why this site is not suitable for large scale development. Indeed, certain aspects of the

application have proven to be inaccurate (or even disingenuous). In particular, the presence of a

43485921

0 Malling

Noted. However, comments on specific live planning applications are Development Management matters.

I write regarding site 59740, Broadwater Farm in the Regulation 18 consultation on the new Local Plan. I wish to OBJECT to the inclusion of this site within the Plan for the following reasons:

- * The site contains the conservation areas of New Barns and Broadwater Farm and as such, should be just that, conserved.
- * The land within the site is high grade agricultural land, which supports large scale food production. As such development of the land would contradict with section 4.2.1 of the Regulation 18 Local Plan that requires the protection of high quality agricultural land.
- * Local residents are very concerned that the local towns and villages remain as self contained communities rather than becoming merged and loosing their individual historic identities. Development of this site would further erode any distinction between East and West Malling and Kings Hill.
- * The recent Bellway application seeks to build 900 new homes on the site. West Malling Parish contains approx. 1,200 homes. Such a development would not only destroy the character of West Malling as a distinctive historic town but would put unsupportable pressure on the local roads network, provision of schooling and healthcare locally. Local schools are full, the GP service is already at breaking point. While the Bellway application provides for a new GP surgery, local experience such as at Leybourne Chase to the North of West Malling, demonstrate that such provision does not come to fruition.
- * The NE of the borough has already seen it take a disproportionate about of development. Kings Hill, Leybourne Chase and the 40 Acres site on the A20, soon to be built out. Further development in this area is unfair and unsustainable.

Table 9 - List * There have been concerns raised by the Bellway application for this site as to its adverse and ignored detrimental of Sites - East effects on local hydrogeology. Any development of this site will have damaging effects over a much larger are than the site alone.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes. However, comments on specific live planning applications are Development Management matters.

Comment noted.

Building on Bradbourne Fields, East Malling

I was gobsmacked when I read these proposals for potential building development. No Way!

The aesthetics, the seasonal changing view, the 'little piece of countryside' is what visitors comment upon and why many of us bought properties on Bradbourne Park!

It was with the understanding that the Bradbourne Fields- these Greens, were 'ours' (hence us paying £200+ per annum for their maintenance) and they were safeguarded as important landscape areas for the residents and locality. I have even seen local office and shop workers sitting having their lunch as a tranquil spot from their busy day.

'Our Greens' serve as a peaceful, calming environment after returning from a hectic commute home from a stressful, exhausting job: upon entering Bradbourne Fields that 'weight' is lifted: it is my welcoming haven, I, (and others) need that for our mental health and well being. 'Our' green space to sit, to absorb, to walk around.

A neighbourhood should have its own green spaces and not continuous blocks of brick & mortar: that's why most new developments have them. You cannot thus, then bulldoze them away a few years later! The trees are maturing and established. Beneath them are some remains of the historic Roman market place which should be left undisturbed.

Environmentally, the trees, shrubs and plants absorb the CO2 from the nearby A20, and breathe back oxygen. The ground soaks up excess rain reducing flood risk which is a constant problem along this section of East Malling, Larkfield & Ditton.

Table 9 - List

0 Malling

of Sites - East You cannot carry forward a proposal to build upon our Bradbourne Fields: the residents, visitors and local workers need this green environment, this pocket of calm and tranquillity, not just for our leisure and pleasure but for our We write to object to the call for development of the following sites :

59740

59630

59631

Following my conversation today with your team I will set out the reasons for our objections which basically apply to all these sites:

- * Loss of amenity and recreational use of Ancient Woodland
- * As & when the permitted sites marked in purple are developed as well as sites currently under development, all services will be stretched to their limits: Doctors surgeries - now are critically short of Doctors, as well as schools,

Table 9 - List water, drainage & other utilities

0 Malling

of Sites - East * The scale of sites 59740/59634/59630 in particular represents a massive and totally unacceptable level of over development.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes. The local plan development strategy and associated infrastructure demands will be reflected within the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

I wish to make you aware of the strong objections that I have regarding any development on green sites 59450

As an immediate neighbour to the site of the proposed development, I am of the view that the proposed development will have a serious impact on my quality of living. Specific objections are as follows:

- 1. Detrimental impact upon residential amenities Throughout the year I regularly walk around the green for exercise as it's such a lovely large space. My grandchildren regularly played, picnicked and learned to ride their bikes there.
- 2. Protection of valuable open green space There are many houses on the development which are quite well spaced. With children not being able to go far from home it is imperative that they have somewhere safe to play nearby and keeping these open green spaces would allow this.
- 3. Protection of mature trees The government has indicated that the protection of mature trees is a priority and are essential for our wellbeing on this planet, losing more trees would be detrimental to everybody who lives here.
- 4. Protection of wildlife There are many birds, squirrels and other wildlife that frequent this green area. Removal of the trees and building more houses would be a criminal act and destroy a small natural habitat.
- 5. Protection of overwhelmed social services Schools, Medical facilities; hospitals, doctors and dentists are already overcrowded which is a huge concern for everybody adding to the two new developments; The Timings & the Forty Acre development, will have a huge impact on this infrastructure.

Table 9 - List 6. Water management. The networks provided by South Eastern Water and Southern Water is already inadequate and of Sites - East does not appear to have been addressed.

43797473

0 Malling

I wish to make you aware of the strong objections that I have regarding any development on green sites 59448

As an immediate neighbour to the site of the proposed development, I am of the view that the proposed development will have a serious impact on my quality of living. Specific objections are as follows:

- 1. Detrimental impact upon residential amenities Throughout the year I regularly walk around the green for exercise as it's such a lovely large space. My grandchildren regularly played, picnicked and learned to ride their bikes there.
- 2. Protection of valuable open green space There are many houses on the development which are quite well spaced. With children not being able to go far from home it is imperative that they have somewhere safe to play nearby and keeping these open green spaces would allow this.
- 3. Protection of mature trees The government has indicated that the protection of mature trees is a priority and are essential for our wellbeing on this planet, losing more trees would be detrimental to everybody who lives here.
- 4. Protection of wildlife There are many birds, squirrels and other wildlife that frequent this green area. Removal of the trees and building more houses would be a criminal act and destroy a small natural habitat.
- 5. Protection of overwhelmed social services Schools, Medical facilities; hospitals, doctors and dentists are already overcrowded which is a huge concern for everybody adding to the two new developments; The Timings & the Forty Acre development, will have a huge impact on this infrastructure.

Table 9 - List 6. Water management. The networks provided by South Eastern Water and Southern Water is already inadequate and of Sites - East does not appear to have been addressed.

43797473

0 Malling

I wish to make you aware of the strong objections that I have regarding any development on green sites 59448

As an immediate neighbour to the site of the proposed development, I am of the view that the proposed development will have a serious impact on my quality of living. Specific objections are as follows:

- 1. Detrimental impact upon residential amenities Throughout the year I regularly walk around the green for exercise as it's such a lovely large space. My grandchildren regularly played, picnicked and learned to ride their bikes there.
- 2. Protection of valuable open green space There are many houses on the development which are quite well spaced. With children not being able to go far from home it is imperative that they have somewhere safe to play nearby and keeping these open green spaces would allow this.
- 3. Protection of mature trees The government has indicated that the protection of mature trees is a priority and are essential for our wellbeing on this planet, losing more trees would be detrimental to everybody who lives here.
- 4. Protection of wildlife There are many birds, squirrels and other wildlife that frequent this green area. Removal of the trees and building more houses would be a criminal act and destroy a small natural habitat.
- 5. Protection of overwhelmed social services Schools, Medical facilities; hospitals, doctors and dentists are already overcrowded which is a huge concern for everybody adding to the two new developments; The Timings & the Forty Acre development, will have a huge impact on this infrastructure.

Table 9 - List 6. Water management. The networks provided by South Eastern Water and Southern Water is already inadequate and of Sites - East does not appear to have been addressed.

43797505 0 Malling

I wish to make you aware of the strong objections that I have regarding any development on green sites 59450

As an immediate neighbour to the site of the proposed development, I am of the view that the proposed development will have a serious impact on my quality of living. Specific objections are as follows:

- 1. Detrimental impact upon residential amenities Throughout the year I regularly walk around the green for exercise as it's such a lovely large space. My grandchildren regularly played, picnicked and learned to ride their bikes there.
- 2. Protection of valuable open green space There are many houses on the development which are quite well spaced. With children not being able to go far from home it is imperative that they have somewhere safe to play nearby and keeping these open green spaces would allow this.
- 3. Protection of mature trees The government has indicated that the protection of mature trees is a priority and are essential for our wellbeing on this planet, losing more trees would be detrimental to everybody who lives here.
- 4. Protection of wildlife There are many birds, squirrels and other wildlife that frequent this green area. Removal of the trees and building more houses would be a criminal act and destroy a small natural habitat.
- 5. Protection of overwhelmed social services Schools, Medical facilities; hospitals, doctors and dentists are already overcrowded which is a huge concern for everybody adding to the two new developments; The Timings & the Forty Acre development, will have a huge impact on this infrastructure.

Table 9 - List 6. Water management. The networks provided by South Eastern Water and Southern Water is already inadequate and of Sites - East does not appear to have been addressed.

43797505 0 Malling

Sites 549448 & 59450

Dear Sir/Madam,

As a resident of Bradbourne Fields I absolutely object to any development of any kind on the open green spaces on the Bradbourne Fields estate. I have been made aware that these two spaces numbered 549448 & 59450 are two places to be found in a list of possible sites for the future development of dwellings.

My objections are

- * Any such development will impact negatively on the amenity value of the green spaces and will have a detrimental effect on the residents, including me.
- * Such development will blight the area around my house, will be intrusive, will minimise light, vista and space and will devalue my property both physically and financially.
- * I am advised that already my property might have taken a notional loss of value simply because of the inclusion of my road in the New Local Plan, which of course everyone knows about!
- * My property was sold to me with the benefit of the amenity value of the green spaces and was priced accordingly. Should your plans come to fruition my property will certainly devalue.
- * These green spaces are considered to be an important feature of the estate and their provision was part of the conditions when planning consent was originally granted.
- * Any further housing along with the people living in them will put even more strain on the already inadequate and often overwhelmed educational, transport, medical and leisure services which are even now under strain. More people, more traffic, more pollution. It's not a good plan, is it?

Table 9 - List

0 Malling

of Sites - East Additionally and most importantly, I do believe that I am correct in advising you that any development of the green spaces will not be legally possible because of restrictions and charges which are set out in the Land Registry Charge

42277217

OBJECTION TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF 59448 AND 59450 BRADBOURNE PARK GREENS, EAST MALLING

Consent for Bradbourne Fields housing development was granted subject to this recreation being provided. The landscaping of these fields enhances the outlook of the area. The original Developer Redrow thought the fields improved the estate to such an extent they named it Bradbourne Fields, which probably increased the house prices.

For 22 years the residents of this estate have paid First port and other property services to maintain this land and fences. We never dreamed that this land would ever be considered appropriate for housing. There are many mature trees on this land producing vital oxygen for this locality, that would have to be pulled down, at a time when people

Table 9 - List are encouraged to plant trees for the environment.

of Sites - East

43020321 0 Malling

We consider this amenity land completely inappropriate for any housing development.

Comment noted

		[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings	consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.
		This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place	
		Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.	
	Table 9 - List	[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings	
43873729	of Sites - East 0 Malling	Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.	
			Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.
		[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings	
		Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.	
		[X] Site 59761 Kate Reed Wood (inc. Lumber Yard) Residential 86 dwellings	
	Table 9 - List of Sites - East	Surrounds Mitchell Road, Kate Reed Wood, Lapins Lane, Russet Way, Lambourne Drive, Meteor Road A228	
43873729	0 Malling	Reasons for objections - Ancient woodland (part of site), Green Belt, countryside, access issues to local roads. [Site references 59630, 59631, 59634, 59655, 59740, 59797, 59802 and 59800]	Noted. The local plan development strategy and associated infrastructure demands will be reflected within the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.
		site 59630, 59631, 59634, 59655, 59740, 59797, 59802 and 59800	
		countryside	
		traffic restricted on local road	
		outside of the confines of existing developments	
43878849		The above sites will increase the population of this area, Kings Hill currently is unable to cope with traffic, the doctor's surgery does not have enough doctors to serve the area. The area could possibly be flooded due the fact that the green space is being built on. Kings Hill is not an urban town but a village, building the sites around KH will merge West Malling, East Malling, Mereworth to one big town.	

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into

specifically identified in the subject line.

Comment noted.

Reasons for objection are as follows:

- * Loss of the ethical and holistic green spaces close to the already urbanised developments of Kings Hill
- * Loss of local natural play areas for children and families
- * Loss of conservation areas, insect and other animal friendly areas
- * Loss of calming areas near to housing especially needed for those with mobility issues who are not able to access green spaces easily.
- * especially relevant with the imminent opening of the retirement housing complex (Linear Park is not the solution, far to small a space)
- * Reduced value to houses looking over or with easy access to the green spaces
- * Loss of the vision of a 'garden village'!
- * Loss of , 'The friendly, neighbourly spirit in Kings Hill is developed through shared interests and experiences easy interactions encouraged by the landscape-first village design and involvement in local sports and activities.
- * Loss of, 'Landscape-first, the architecture is inspired by the Kent vernacular, but with a contemporary twist.

I have thirty plus years in construction, surveying and highway design and consider the following is not fully addressed for the specific sites identified and the overall proposal for the wider planning proposal for significantly more housing.

Table 9 - List * Lack of infrastructure support -

of Sites - East

43879777 0 Malling

* Schools are already oversubscribed, any additional school will not fulfil the additional burden

I am a resident of Stickens Lane East Malling and wish to object to the following proposed sites for development;

59715,59636,59698,59824,59743,59856.

My reasons for objection are;

* Access.

The roads in this area are already very congested at peek times, with Stickens lane in particular a single track road with gateway passing places. Further Stickens lane is designated a "Quiet Lane" which runs at odds with an enormous housing development on Stickens field, 59636. Secondly the pinch point junction of Mill street, New road and High street would become and even bigger disaster area.

* Doctors

If the above developments were to go head then the pressure on local doctors would be impossible. We have lost the west Malling surgery and have to travel to kings hill! Appointments are are as rare as hens teeth. A proposed new doctors on Broard Water would only serve the already aproverd new houses in north Kings Hill and 40 Acres. This is further compounded by the proposed withdrawal of the local bus service. Please note that the doctors at Laybourne Chase failed to materialise!

* Water

Table 9 - List We are currently in a hose pipe ban, adding 1000's of new homes in this area without any plan for increased water of Sites - East supply is a disaster waiting to happen.

43883169

0 Malling

Noted. The local plan development strategy and associated infrastructure demands will be reflected within the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

L----, ----, --- .-.

I submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams,

outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact

from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane

and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural

land, traffic restricted on local roads.

Table 9 - List [X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings of Sites - East

43882977

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation

Comment noted.

We wish to put forward our objections to the proposed housing developments in and around the area of East Malling.

The further loss of our local green spaces, trees and wildlife habitat.

Increased traffic volume on narrow rural roads, extra pollution with two busy roads M20 and A20 in close proximity, difficulty with people suffering from asthma and other chest complaints.

Local infrastructure/amenities ie Doctors, Hospitals, Schools are at present under pressure and this will only increase if these proposed developments go ahead.

The possibility of becoming one large housing estate and losing any identity we have.

With reference to sites 59450 and 59448 which are close to where we live.

These are local green spaces and are used for all people in and around the area.

We have seen the greens used for people gathering and talking, dog walking, children sitting doing homework, picnics and mothers and babies meeting.

It is difficult at present to exit Bradbourne Park Road onto New Road and with the possibility of 30 new dwellings on the small greens all with perhaps two vehicles per house this will increase the difficulty as there is only one road into and off the Bradbourne Fields Estate.

Table 9 - List We already have large sites designated for housing ie Forty Acres, Broadwater Farm and Ivy Farm.

of Sites - East

43894273 0 Malling

We need to protect all our local open green spaces and countryside.

Comment noted.

I am responding to the invitation to comment on the latest local plan.

Since there are many sites included within it, I shall start with some general objections to the amount of development proposed in the area, and then be more specific about certain plots.

My wife and I recently joined some friends who live in London for a West End dinner show. At one point while eating we contrasted the different nature of our evenings. The other couple had a fifteen-minute walk from their flat to the venue. For us it was a fifteen-minute walk to just to West Malling station! This was followed by a train journey of an hour and then two packed tubes. The cost of which added an extra £40 on top of the tickets, food and drinks. After the fun, we then had the reverse trip, arriving home well after midnight. Expensive and quite exhausting. The advantages of town living were clear. So many bars, clubs and restaurants that you could never visit them all. A huge choice of theatres, cinemas, museums, galleries sporting venues, centers of learning, shopping and many other things. It is all what makes London such a draw! For us to go there requires time, money and effort and we do so only on certain occasions. But our home is in East Malling. This is a conscious life choice. We, like many other in this area have chosen to eschew all the convenience and excitement of living in the center of a city, or its suburbs, for something we cherish more. Open space! A sense of the countryside, fresh air, wildlife, walking our dog and community.

[picture of fields with a rural village in the distance included]

(Open space under threat)

them become building projects it would destroy the character of the area as we know it. To take a walk in the Table 9 - List countryside is enjoyable not simply because of the path you are on, but for the views across fields to hedgerows and of Sites - East orchards beyond. In the distance behind those may be tall trees or the backdrop of the downs. It is the stretching sightlines and vistas that give a sense of space and rurality. As you walk, you open gates and climb styles, the buildings [58544]

While I recognise that at this stage many of the sites proposed in the plan are merely suggestions, even if just a few of

Table 9 - List I wish to object to building on site 58544 Cellini Walk.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

0 Malling This area of land is classed as a conservation site with heritage assets. Also it has a bat colony.

> I would like to strenuously object to the local plan and building on all the green sites around Kings Hill this will affect infrastructure and biodiversity as well as our standard of living. We need some green areas to exercise, walk the dog, children to play, for our mental health and well being and our way of life.

These proposed sites are ludicrous and totally wrong. I oppose these sites listed below.

Core sites for Kings Hill:

59630 Fields North of Amber Lane

Table 9 - List 59631 Wateringbury Road, Heath Farm fields next to Sports Centre

of Sites - East

0 Malling 59740 Broadwater Farm consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into

Comment noted.

43899361

44048545

Gladman are promoting Land off Clare Lane, East Malling for residential development. The site can deliver up to 150 dwellings, of which 40% will be affordable in a sustainable location in an urban area.

The site is adjacent to existing residential development and is in close proximity to the center of East Malling. There are a range of services and facilities within walking distance from the site, making the site a suitable and sustainable expansion to the settlement.

There are no known technical constraints precluding the site from delivering, the site lies beyond the East Malling Conservation Area and the proposals will be designed to respect the setting of the conservation area and associated heritage assets, including the nearby Grade II listed buildings. The site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 and there are no ecological designations. Ecology and biodiversity can be enhanced on site with SUDS, public open space and tree, wildflower and native orchard planting.

Table 9 - List The Public Right of Way that runs through the site will be protected and enhanced to of Sites - East provide new and existing residents pleasant access to the local facilities at East

43629217

0 Malling Malling and countryside.

As illustrated by the location of approved planning applications and the direction of growth is to the north of East Malling. The site is located north-west of East Malling, supporting the logical route for expansion of the settlement.

The site is bound by Clare Lane to the north, existing residential development along Mill Street and at Darcy Court to the east, the railway line to the south and open countryside to the west. Growth Tier

Policy CP11 of the Core Strategy states that development is to be concentrated within the urban areas of the Borough. East Malling is identified as one of these urban areas, and is therefore be highly suitable to focus residential development. There is currently a supply shortfall across the borough of 1,840 houses over the 5-year period 1 April 2021 to 31 March 2025, since the Core Strategy was adopted. The provision of up to 150 dwellings houses on this site provides the Council with an opportunity to rectify the shortfall and positively plan for residential development in a constructive and collaborative way.

Land at Clare Lane, East Malling is suitability located to deliver a new exemplar development, preserving the character and history of the settlement. The Council's settlement hierarchy outlined in the adopted Core Strategy 2006-2021 identifies East Malling as an urban area, where

Table 9 - List residential development is largely sustainable and is therefore to be of Sites - East concentrated within in order to aid the borough's growth.

43629217 0 Malling The site sits adjacent to existing residential development and is in close

Comment noted.

Comment noted.

[Site 59740]

- * Land within Site 59740 includes the New Barns and Broadwater Farm Conservation Area the visual amenity of which, from both within and without was a strong factor in its classification.
- * At the eastern side of the Site 59740, is a second Conservation Area, Mill Street, including f the historic hamlet of Well Street with its very large proportion of listed buildings e.g. 'The Barracks' reputed to be a militia house from the English Civil War.
- * Any development in this green space will impact Geology (Hydro)*, Wildlife, Access, Roads Infrastructure*

[Site 59449]

- * Site 59449 is specific green space that local residents use on a daily basis to enable their children to play in a safe space, dog walkers also use multiple times per day, this is particularly beneficial for lone women and children who walk their dogs in this area.
- * The area is immediately located next to two apartment blocks, both of which house children, there will be no local green space amenity within close proximity for these children to be supervised in a safe place.
- * Mothers bring their young children and babies down to the Green on a regular basis for picnics.
- * Fathers with their children play football and other sports.
- * Green space helps prevent anti-social behaviour and promotes healthy lifestyle through play and engagement and helps to fight against mental health issues and promotes the health of developing children.
- * Green space and trees help improve air quality by reducing urban temperatures.
- * Vegetation absorbs carbon dioxide and helps offset greenhouse omissions helping to significantly reduce premature

Table 9 - List air related deaths

of Sites - East * Also stores carbon helping to mitigate climate change and reduce the risk of flooding

44236769

0 Malling

* Bats are known to live in this green space and has been submitted to the national bat conservation group

consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into

Previous KHPC Objections to Site

.--- --- ---- -

Kings Hill has been described in the past as a beautifully created 800-acre development, providing a fully established mixed-use community creating the perfect balance between working & living. The Statement of Community Involvement in the planning submission shows that the vast majority of residents are strongly opposed to the Broadwater Farm plans in every aspect.

The proposed development is unsound in that it has not adequately considered and assessed reasonable alternatives, should not be on green field and use of the green belt land should be only in the most exceptional circumstances.

As documented in the withdrawn local plan, Kings Hill alone has provided roughly 25% of the requirement for new dwellings for Tonbridge and Malling Borough for roughly the last 20 years. Kings Hill Parish Council recommends that planning permission is NOT GRANTED for this development for these reasons and those outlined below.

Road Network and Access

The Parish Council believes that the plans for the Broadwater Farm development are unsound based on the impact on the highway network:

- Berkeley proposal acknowledges that "the existing and proposed roads within Kings Hill are inadequate...".
- Proposed access is through the green belt
- Traffic issues highlighted by KHPC for Phase 3 are unresolved & Kings Hill still set to grow by a further 1000+ dwellings before Broadwater development
- The connectivity with quiet lanes will result in increased traffic along those routes and make roads unsafe for walkers, cyclists, other road users and create congestion in surrounding villages
- Access to and from the proposed road junction exit onto the A228 from Broadwater development

Table 9 - List pose serious road safety concern including cutting across the cycle way. (There have already been two of Sites - East deaths on the A228 at the junction of West Malling train station).

44309601

0 Malling

• Layout increases the number of roads to cross when going to the station by foot or cycle, from three

Noted. However, comments on specific live planning applications are Development Management matters. The current [withdrawn] draft local plan shows the area being proposed for development as an extension to Kings Hill. However, the proposed access is through the area proposed in the current draft local plan as being green belt. This is not appropriate, given the visual and ecological impact on the area. Also, the travel distances to Kings Hill would result in distances of around 5 miles for each journey to retail facilities. West Malling is largely inappropriate for this, given the limitations on parking – even the available parking in the central car parks has been continually eaten away by infill housing developments, so as demand goes up due to developments in the area, availability goes down.

In addition, as well as the impact of the necessary works to install the junctions (there is no practicable alternative route for local traffic, as can be seen by the impact on the traffic jams through West Malling when the A228 was recently re-surfaced) the two proposed accesses onto A228 Ashton Way have serious shortcomings and should not be allowed.

The proposed junction for the primary access road is shown as offset from the opposite road to West Malling train station. During the evening rush hour, a noticeable queue can develop North bound at this junction with the current layout. If the proposed layout is adopted, the additional sets of traffic lights will further impede traffic flow, and because there is a space between the traffic lights on the primary access road and the Northbound traffic flow, that will essentially give the development effective priority over through traffic, additionally impacting traffic flow along the A228, possibly also temporarily blocking South bound traffic depending on traffic light sequencing, and seriously impacting on the ability to get out of the West Malling train station to the Kings Hill estate. I note that an additional lane is being proposed with merging of the right-hand land immediately after the lights. This has been shown to be a dangerous configuration and has been removed in numerous places. (E.g. where New Road crosses the A322 at Bagshot). For example, drivers trying to turn right will have a Table 9 - List track which crosses those drivers trying to quickly overtake a queue of vehicles in the second lane. The

0 Malling

,--- -- -- -- --

of Sites - East issue is not so serious at the Hermitage Farm lights, as very little traffic goes in and out of the farm, but there is likely to be a much higher level of traffic turning right at the West Malling station / [59636]

44309601

44309601

0 Malling

Travel to Kings Hill centre or West Malling village (assuming parking spaces are available) would result Table 9 - List in a significant round-trip distance, so even though the site is physically next to built-up areas, it is not of Sites - East strategically connected to it, and nearby roads are designated as quiet lanes; development would impact on their intended use.

Noted. However, comments on specific live planning applications are Development Management matters.

Noted. However, comments on specific live planning applications are Development Management matters.

Comment noted.

Comment noted.

Re sites within East Malling Parish [59631], [59698], [59824], [59636], [59449]

West Malling Parish Council has been in discussion with East Malling and Larkfield Parish Council regarding those sites that impact upon West Malling and we wish to make the following observations, which should be read alongside our more detailed responses to the Local Plan questionnaire and detailed comments on other sites:

Sites within the proposed Green Belt extension

All the following sites are within the proposed Green Belt extension and as such should not be included in sites for development:

Additionally, all will generate more traffic into Swan Street, West Malling which is already narrow and congested. As noted in our more detailed submissions sent separately, we should wish to note that parking is also under great stress in West Malling.

631 Heath Farm

698 and 824 Clare Lane

636 Stickens Lane

Further, we would wish to note site 449, Carnation Crescent – an attractive urban green space well used by local Table 9 - List residents. EMLPC have requested this be designated a village green, and we wish to strongly support this application. of Sites - East Similar sites exist within West Malling which have been highlighted in our detailed submission, and we would oppose development on this site due to the precedent that would be set for others in the respective parishes.

38330625

[site reference numbers 59450 and 59448]

To whom it may concern,

I am writing in protest of the potential sites being used for development. Myself and my family have lived on this development for over 20 years, and feel the addition to more houses on this small green space will make a big difference to the community as it is a well used space.

I am a dog owner and feel this is the ideal space for dog walking and socialisation with others from the community.

Furthermore, the increase in quantity of cars on the development will cause traffic congestion upon arrival and exit of Table 9 - List the development, especially when this is already problematic at peak times of the day.

of Sites - East

44407329 0 Malling Kind regards,

0 Malling

Noted. However, comments on specific live planning applications are Development Management matters.

I am writing to endorse the Broadwater Action Group submission on this matter.

I am the owner of [redacted] a Grade II listed property (https://historicengland.org.uk/listing/the-list/listentry/1292825) that sits within the New Barns/Broadwater Conservation area.

I strongly object to Berkely Homes's application (TM/21/02719/OAEA) for the development of a 900 building, housing estate in the countryside of East and West Malling and across two protected Conservation Areas. Not only is the development a blight on the landscape that will impact thousands of thousands of local residents, but it will have a monumentally destructive effect on the environment, heritage assets and wildlife.

We are living in a world where climate change is at tipping point. Scientists throughout the world have repeatedly stated that the destruction and urbanisation of the countryside is a significant contributor to global warming. It is also widely recognised that urbanisation projects of this nature will permanently destroy the biodiversity of the area which have significant knock-on effects. New Barns and Broadwater has some of the richest, unspoilt soils (Grade 1 quality) which are the building blocks of a flourishing ecosystem. To concrete over this land is not only environmentally irresponsible, but also criminal. The entire application is a nonsense, and should it go ahead, I believe all those that have wilfully contributed to the destruction of the environment, whilst aware of the need to preserve our countryside for the sake of the plant's health, should be prosecuted. Profit should not come before the environment.

submitted by Berkely Homes. I also take offence to the woefully inaccurate drivel contained within the Heritage Report and the fact the consultant claims to be unable to access my listed property due to it being private. Not once did they attempt to contact me to seek any consultation on the impact of the development proposals and instead Table 9 - List used a carefully selected photograph to illustrate how secluded our property is – the fact is that from our second of Sites - East floor, we have a prime view over the Conservation area, exactly where the access road is proposed. This will no doubt have a significantly detrimental effect on our lives and ruin the view our listed property has enjoyed over the last two

Furthermore, I would like to raise the appalling levels of inaccuracy and bias in the 'paid for' consultancy reports

44408289

Table 9 - List Appendix 2

of Sites - East

0 Malling

42006241 42006241 Malling [59715] OS Site Plan provided

Comment noted.

[59740]

42711745

0 Malling

1.1 Carter Jonas is instructed by Berkeley Strategic Land Limited ("Berkeley") to respond to Tonbridge and Malling Borough Council's ("the Council") Regulation 18 Local Plan 2040 ("the Local Plan") Consultation.

1.2 Berkeley has under its control the site listed in the consultation document as site ID: 59740 (this was previously proposed for allocation under Policy LP30: Strategic Site - Broadwater Farm, north of Kings Hill). This is particularly valuable in demonstrating the deliverability of development on the site, within the plan period and potentially bevond.

1.3 Berkeley's vision for Broadwater Farm (site ID: 59740) is for a collaborative exercise with the Borough Council and community to achieve a high quality and sustainable development of new homes and supporting facilities. Technical studies and surveys have been undertaken to support the submitted planning application on the site and, whilst discussions regarding certain details of the application are ongoing, these demonstrate that there are no insurmountable constraints to development. 1.4 Berkeley is pleased to comment on the Local Plan 2040 and supports the Council's ambitions and efforts to have an up to date and robust development plan to direct development in the Borough.

Table 9 - List 1.5 Berkeley has reviewed the Local Plan and its supporting documentation and of Sites - East evidence and sets out hereunder its responses to those questions in the consultation 25366913 25366913 Malling that are most relevant to Broadwater Farm.

Site 59630 Mixed Use TBC (est. 157) dwellings Fields North of Amber Lane

Reasons for objections • Proposed Green Belt • Countryside • Harm to the aquifer for streams • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Traffic restricted on local roads • Visual Impact from AONB

Site 59631 Residential 136 dwellings Wateringbury Road, Heath Farm fields next to Sports Centre

Reasons for objections • Existing Public Open Space for Kings Hill • Countryside • Harm to quiet lane and rural road networks • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted on local roads

Site 59740 Mixed Use (Est 3,000) dwellings **Broadwater Farm**

Reasons for objections • Harm to conservation areas or harm to significance of conservation areas • Proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt • Countryside • Harm to the aquifer for streams • Harm to non-listed heritage assets • Harm to quiet lane and of Sites - East rural road networks • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted on local roads • Inadequate resources – KCC indicate suggested school location not economic, no retail centre

Comment noted.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[x]59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane. Amber Lane. Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing public Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

44608609

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[Site reference 59740 Broadwater Farm]

Objections to the development

This site does not seem suitable for inclusion in the Local Plan going forward given the relatively poor rating against TMBC's Sustainability Assessment criteria.

Specific reasons:

- * Loss of high grade farm land
- * Harm to many heritage assets including listed buildings, Conservation Area and landscape features
- * Coalescence of East Malling, West Malling, Kings Hill and nearby hamlets
- * Harm to the aquifer and lack of consideration of hydrogeology issues
- * Harm to Quiet Lanes and rural road network

Table 9 - List * Critical stress on existing road, medical & other infrastructure

of Sites - East * The existing consultation for this site elicited over 1,500 objection responses from official consultees, TMBC 0 Malling residents and other interested parties which should be taken into account.

> I would like to strongly object to the above two sites 59448 and 59450 on the Bradbourne Fields estate being included consideration within the site analysis and site selection in your proposed local plan. Whilst I appreciate that you are required to find suitable sites for housing development by the government, these two sites should certainly not be even considered for one moment and am stunned that they ever arose.

There are many reasons which I shall outline below. However, firstly and most importantly, the development of these two sites is actually prohibited as detailed by the Title Register K764280 'Land on the south side of London Road, East Malling (Freehold)', see the relevant extract Section C: 6 (d) below:

Please note that the view from Bradbourne House has been obscured by shrubs and trees which were planted by their own estate management team. Also note that the covenant has a life span, the 'Perpetuity Period' of eighty years from 19th April 1999 (I believe).

I also attach the 'hatched green areas' from the From Planning Permission TM / 94 / 0362 OA dated 21st March 1994 referred to in the above Title Register which are clearly a match for sites 59448 and 59450:

image

I hope this alone should permit TMBC to rule out the sites from any further consideration for housing development until at least 2079!

Further reasons for not including 59448 and 59450 are:

Table 9 - List * There are already too many vehicles using the local roads here which cause traffic jams at junctions not just at of Sites - East traditional rush hour times but a couple of hours either side. The junction of Bradbourne Park Road, New Road and 0 Malling London road is a case in point. Yet more traffic is going to occur in this area indicated with the addition of more house

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into

processes.

Comment noted. However, comments on specific live planning

applications are Development Management matters.

[Site reference 59740 Broadwater Farm]

I fully endorse the submission by Broadwater Action Group. I agree with their assessments and the evidence they have submitted.

Allowing this development will have a severe detrimental impact:

Leading to the loss of separation between existing communities - West Malling, Kings Hill, and East Malling

Overwhelm and negatively effect the local conservation areas.

Cause traffic chaos and loss of quiet roads

The local infrastructure cannot cope with yet more houses and population here.

The impact of all these developments combined should be viewed as a whole: there should be equal development Table 9 - List across the whole area of Tonbridge and Malling. Far too much is being approved in the Malling area.

of Sites - East

44629217

0 Malling

I am strongly opposed to the development.

I strongly object to this site being developed:

This is a very narrow single track country lane with very limited passing places and no space for pedestrians.

It is a designated quiet rural lane.

The site would need to be reached via a narrow single track lane under a railway bridge.

The site borders the Mill Street and Well Street conservation areas and would have a severe impact on these 2 conservation areas.

There are also a number of listed buildings bordering the site in the conservation area.

The site borders a very special little valley which is also in the conservation area and known for its beauty and wildlife. Water voles have been rehomed here. Any development would jeopardise the biodiversity and the water quality.

The site would cause even more congestion on the narrow country lanes already impacted by all the Broadwater development.

The identity of the little hamlet of Well Street, in existence since Roman times would be lost with the merging into this development and the Broadwater Farm development.

TMBC have a duty that "any change should enhance and preserve the features that make up its special character. Table 9 - List Special attention is also paid to any development adjoining a conservation area to ensure there will be no harmful of Sites - East effects on the conservation area itself" (quotes from TMBC own leaflet - Conserving Our Heritage)

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

0 Malling

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

44631201

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

of Sites - East

44634017

0 Malling

0 Malling

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into
consideration within the site analysis and site selection
processes.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[Site reference 59630]

submit my objections below to the sites highlighted in the body of the email, which have been identified by Tonbridge and Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the local plan for proposals for development:

Table 9 - List 59630 fields north of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings - the basis of my objection is the loss of countryside/green of Sites - East space which is so important for residents mental health and physical well being. The impact on the doctors surgery and increased traffic is also a real concern.

[Site reference 59740]

Table 9 - List 59740 Broadwater Farm - I object based on loss of green space and increased pressure on facilities and infrastructure. of Sites - East The number of dwellings proposed would have a disastrous impact on the level of traffic and there are simply not adequate facilities to support it.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The local plan development strategy and associated infrastructure demands will be reflected within the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

44634305

44634401

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre. [Site reference 59740]

Comment noted.

Dear Sir/ Madam

I am writing in response to the TMBC Local Plan Regulation 18 Consultation.

I have read the detailed proposals and generally OPPOSE the suggested sites for development around West Malling, and SUPPORT the responses made by West Malling Parish Council and published on their website at http://www.westmallingpc.org/local-plan.html. I also support the arguments of Broadwater Action Group in relation

Table 9 - List to the Broadwater Farm development – 59740.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

44636545

44634465

0 Malling

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

-----,

Comment noted.

I am writing to object to the development of the above site [Site reference 59824 - Land at Clare Lane / Mill Street - OBJECTION TO DEVELOPMENT]

I am writing to endorse Broadwater Action Group's submission with respect to this site.

I object most strongly to the proposal to develop this beautiful area which is an oasis of tranquillity in an increasingly urbanised environment in this corner of England. If areas like this are developed, before you know it, the whole county will be completely concreted over and the amenity and character of Kent will be lost forever. A death by a thousand cuts.

I am writing to object to the proposal to develop the above site [Site Reference 59630 - Extension to Amber Lane - OBJECTION TO DEVELOPMENT] as the creeping urbanisation in this corner of England is destroying its amenity and character.

I am writing to object to the proposal to develop the above site [Site Reference 59726 - Land next to Hillberry Farm - OBJECTION TO DEVELOPMENT] as the creeping urbanisation in this corner of England is destroying its amenity and character.

Table 9 - List of Sites - East

42720417

0 Malling

Objection to proposed development site 59698

I am writing to object to the proposed development site on the corner of Broadwater Road and Clare Lane for the following reasons:

- * Threat to existing separation between East and West Malling. The proposed site is directly within the area that was going to come under the green belt in the rejected development plan. The extension of the green belt was proposed to maintain the separation between East and West Malling. When taken together with the proposed development at Broadwater Farm and other sites being suggested in the new development plan, especially site 59824, which is contiguous, and Forty Acres, which is already going ahead, and which is diagonally opposite both proposed sites, this separation is under serious threat. In fact, there will be little green land between the two villages if these proposals go ahead.
- * Inadequate infrastructure. The infrastructure in this part of Kent is already at breaking point particularly with regard to GPs. Kent and Medway has the fifth worst ratios in terms of GPs to patients in the country. Putting more houses in to this area will only make it even more difficult to see a GP when needed. This and the contiguous 59824 site will also threaten to overwhelm already stretched sewage treatment plants. These plants already have to release untreated sewage into the rivers because they cannot cope with the increased amount of effluent they are having to treat. In 2021 there were 16 storm overflows in the Tonbridge and Malling constituency and, more worryingly, 370 spills lasting for more than 3,000 hours. Further development will only increase the potential for further sewage spills. * Reduction in high quality agricultural land. The proposed development will cause good agricultural land to be lost.

Table 9 - List Yet we've seen a 100-fold increase in the loss of our best farmland to development since 2010. This is not the time to of Sites - East be covering yet more farmland with houses.

* Negative impact at the King & Queen junction. The traffic at the King & Queen junction is already very heavy at peak

Crispin Truman, Chief Executive of CPRE says that 'for the first time in several generations, our food security is at risk -

44666977

0 Malling

.--. .-,

I have never felt the need to engage with local councillors or planners until now, on examination of your 10 year plan.

Noted. The local plan development strategy and associated infrastructure demands will be reflected within the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

I fully understand the demand for new housing and in the past have accepted proposals that have been detrimental to my personal circumstance as they reflected the greater good. However, as a resident of Kings Hill I do feel it is time to say "enough is enough" – just because Kings Hill has been developed in the last 20 years, does not mean it should be taken as an "easy option" for the next 20 years as well.

I can count 16 or more sites proposed in and around Kings Hill, even though it is not classified as an urban settlement. It simply does not have the facilities to support the increase in population that the proposed development areas would bring. I would accept that there might be opportunities for "in fill" within the confines of the current development, but if I understand correctly, putting together site 59740, with 59797 and 59800 and 59802, would see you develop right across the green belt and effectively link West Malling through Kings Hill and south to Wateringbury. In doing so you would take away the supply of green space and recreational amenities at a time, when by definition demand for such facilities would increase. You must surely try to protect the individual character of West Malling, East Malling, Kings Hill and Wateringbury rather than seeking to create one homogeneous urban bloc.

Notwithstanding the above comments, I have watched the debate over Broadwater Farm (site 59740) in recent years, and I can see a reasonable case for development there given its proximity to West Malling Station and so long as sufficient infrastructure such as schools, doctors, and retail were in place to avoid needing to draw on other already overstretched resources elsewhere. However, to put this together with plans to extend out on the south and east sides of Kings Hill as well, would be acts of sheer vandalism.

I have lived on Kings Hill since 1999. There are already far more houses than were ever proposed as the balance Table 9 - List between residential and commercial has shifted. You cannot keep on taking the easy option and pushing Kings Hill of Sites - East more and more into the green belt.

44692417

0 Malling

Comment noted

[59740]

I am writing as a former resident of a property adjacent to this site: [redacted]. My family purchased and renovated the original house in the 1960s. They bought this property because of its quiet, rural, countryside location. My brother and I also worked on Broadwater Farm in the summer months. Having deep personal roots in this area, I would like to express my opposition and concerns to this proposed development.

Precious, vital English countryside and farmland is being incrementally lost to development and suburban sprawl. The future of our planet, and the quality of our lives living on it, depends on preserving these lands wherever possible. The Broadwater Farm site has high values as countryside, farmland and conservation lands. Broadwater Farm is high grade agricultural land and should be preserved as farmland and orchards. The sustainability of our future food supplies will depend more and more on localised agriculture. Quiet, rural countryside will become rarer and more valued to maintain the quality of our lives. Our connections to nature will become compromised; wildlife habitat will be negatively impacted. These conservation values, all prevalent in the Broadwater Farm site, will be lost forever if this development goes ahead.

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East Plans for future land use should be far-sighted and give serious consideration to these inherent and sustaining values 0 Malling of East and West Malling.

.-----

Comment noted

I would like to express my views on some of the proposed development areas in the forthcoming Local Plan. These relate to two specific areas that are close to my home address and as such, I have extensive local knowledge of the traffic and pedestrian movements in this area.

Specifically:

Site 59698 - residential development

I do not believe this is a suitable location for development for the following reasons:

* It is hazardous to pedestrian safety

There are no footpaths on Clare Lane, Broadwater Road or Winterfield Lane and the proposed development would require road access onto one or more of these routes. The roads are all narrow country lanes and there is insufficient width to build a pedestrian footpath. Pedestrians currently walk in the road and are sometimes forced to jump into a hedgerow to avoid traffic, especially during the hours of darkness. Schoolchildren also use these roads to travel to The Malling School.

The current situation is already hazardous and the development at Forty Acres could make matters worse if Winterfield Lane becomes a "rat run".

Table 9 - List The addition of this new site would lead to an incredibly hazardous situation for pedestrians, but also for road traffic. of Sites - East The likely access route would be adjacent to the extremely narrow blind bend at the junction of Clare Lane, Broadwater Road and Winterfield Lane, greatly increasing the risk of road traffic accidents. Add the pedestrian

I think that this site should be withdrawn from going forward to the next stage of the local plan.

There have already been 1,500 objections to this site being developed.

I fully support Broadwater Action Groups submissions to you.

Their detailed information from extensive research into Heritage, Conservation Area, Coalescence of East Malling, West Malling, and Kings Hill among many other considerations, has proved the plan for this area to be wrong.

The natural underground water courses have not been taken into consideration.

The narrow lanes which form part of the character of the area at present are reasonably safe to walk on and carefully drive through, would be gridlocked.

Table 9 - List

0 Malling

of Sites - East It is widely known that the Dr's surgeries in the local villages have been under pressure or in West Mallings case, 0 Malling closed, for years and have passed breaking point already.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

44712065

^{*} It is hazardous to vehicle safety

.-- : :-, -- :-- :

Re: Bradbourne Park Road Greens – Sites 59448 & 59450

When I moved to the development, the presence of the greens was a selling point, as they look attractive and have always been well maintained. Their presence is important to the whole look of the development and everyone benefits.

I know that the land is owned by Redrow, who built the development. These greens were set aside as part of the planning consent for the development as it stands now. The residents pay a service charge for the upkeep of the green spaces, fences and woodland in and around the development. If these green spaces were built upon, it would worsen the traffic which has already increased due to the new Sweetings Development in New Road. London Road is already a busy single lane route with heavy traffic at peak times and more houses would add to this. In addition, when there is a problem or incident on the M20, traffic is routed through Larkfield and Ditton via London Road, adding to pollution in the area.

After heavy rain, we have flooding halfway up New Road and at the bottom of New Road leading onto London Road. Increased housing would add to the problem.

The First Schedule (Rights granted to the Transferee) Full right and Liberty for the Transferee and all persons authorised by him (in common with all other entitled to the like right) to use the communal areas for the purpose of rest and quiet recreation (not involving playing games), subject to such regulations for the common enjoyment thereof as the company may from time to time prescribe quote from the Land Registry Transfer of title, issued when houses are purchased which states in the First Schedule.

44716769

0 Malling

Table 9 - List As a resident, we all have to pay a fee for the upkeep of the communal areas of the development, including the 2 of Sites - East greens mentioned above. The greens have been maintained at the residents cost. This has included installation of additional wooden fencing around the greens to prevent travellers from parking on them. These areas are used for We do not feel the greens should be used for housing .They are an important place that we use every day walking our Comment noted. 2 dogs .it is important to have an area of green close by that we can use and is one of the reasons why we moved into the area .we need green space .Also the amount of traffic that it would create from adding more housing would mean Table 9 - List it would be chaos getting out of the estate.

of Sites - East

44716897

0 Malling

Why can't you look at using the old golf course ground oast park for housing instead ?snodland ?

Comment noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

.-- : :-, -- :-- :

We are contacting you to raise objections to the proposed building of houses on the 2 greens at Bradbourne Park Greens, site 59450 and site 59448.

Noted. The local plan development strategy and associated infrastructure demands will be reflected within the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

As residents the greens were a big part of what drew us to live in this area. We feel they provide important landscape as you drive onto the estate and that other potential buyers would feel the same so to lose this will not only affect the overall landscape but also de-value our properties due to losing the green space.

The street parking on this estate is already becoming a problem especially near the corners of roads, there are often times where this almost has lead to accidents. If we add more cars to the equation (new residents who cannot fit all cars on drive and their guests) and it will only make the problem worse.

We pay ground rent to live here at £... per year. As far as I am concerned that is for the luxury of having that green space. Residents will not be happy to pay ground rent for that to be taken away and replaced with houses.

For the elderly and more vulnerable with disabilities preventing them from walking far and some from driving off of the estate it provides them some green space for a short walk with their dogs.

Putting our personal views aside and looking at the wider community, surely the reason to bring more people to an area is to provide them with not only somewhere to live but access to amenities. You are bringing more people to an area that is already at capacity, not forgetting that you are already building a large number of houses on the field opposite Lunsford Lane on the London Road less than half a mile away.

0 Malling

The local doctors are not taking anymore patients. They are at their maximum and clearly struggling. It is impossible Table 9 - List to get an appointment. The prescription system is also struggling both with timescales and also items being missed off of Sites - East as staff struggling with workload. This crosses over to the chemists in the area. Prescriptions are never ready on time and when collected there are items missing due to staff rushing to get things done. [59740]

44716961

I wish to object to houses being built on the above site.

This is currently a high grade working farm giving employment to local people.

This is a conservation area which should be saved.

This is a quiet area used by walkers, cyclists and residents and should remain so.

0 Malling

The current infrastructure copes but would not sustain any more dwellings. The narrow roads, the medical Table 9 - List requirements, the schools, station parking, the devastating effect it would have on the small town of West Malling. of Sites - East The continuous development of Kings Hill is pressure enough without completely developing the quiet, rural site of Broadwater Farm.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes. The local plan development strategy and associated infrastructure demands will be reflected within the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

[59740]

I feel the need to email and oppose the planned development of the broad water area

I have lived east Malling area for the past 12 years and within the local area all my life. I am shocked and disgusted that these plans are even able to be contemplated as this will be causing harm to the local heritage; the wildlife; agriculture land, the local communities and local infrastructure.

I fear that this is creating a merging of boundaries of the areas of kings hill ,west Malling ,east Malling and Ditton . There has already been a vast amount of homes built and even more development planned for this immediate area and we are merging with Maidstone with the development at the back of hermitage lane

I am part of kcc education and support young people with their anxieties and mental health and fear the emotional damage to our young people and future generations will be catastrophic.

I implore that you reconsider these plans being allowed to blight, not only the natural beauty of this area any further but also place your communities and future generations well-being above the financial gains for a minority that Table 9 - List probably won't be impacted in any way but who seem to be the only thing that matters.

of Sites - East

44719521 0 Malling Your communities voice should be heard.

[59630]

* Green Belt land

* Loss of countryside

Table 9 - List * Harm to wildlife

of Sites - East * Traffic restricted on local roads

44719553

0 Malling

* Impact from too much traffic for local residents

[59631]

* Existing open space for Kings Hill

* Loss of countryside

* Harm to wildlife

Table 9 - List * Loss of agricultural land

of Sites - East * Increase in traffic

44719553

0 Malling * Pressure on existing infrastructure

[59448, 59450]

I am emailing in response to your local plan, specifically your suggestion to build houses on two of my local green Table 9 - List spaces off Bradbourne Park Road (sites 59448 and 59450). These spaces are enjoyed hugely by myself and many local

of Sites - East residents. I play hide and seek with my 3 year old amongst the trees; I walk my baby in his pushchair along the paths. 44720673 0 Malling

Please don't take these lovely spaces away from us.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Comment noted

Please register this email as confirmation of my endorsement of Broadwater Action Groups recent paper submission highlighting concerns relating this site remaining in the local plan:

~ Loss of high grade farm land

~ Harm to many heritage assets including listed buildings, Conservation Area and landscape features ~ Coalescence of East Malling, West Malling, Kings Hill and nearby hamlets ~ Harm to the underground water sources and lack of consideration of hydrogeology issues ~ Harm to Quiet Lanes and rural road network

Table 9 - List ~ Critical stress on existing road, medical & other infrastructure ~ The existing consultation for this site elicited over of Sites - East 1,500 objection responses from official consultees, TMBC residents and other interested parties which should be 0 Malling taken into account.

44721473

I am writing to you to object to any proposal to build on the green areas on the Bradbourne Fields development. They are identified as 59448 and 59450 in the consultation document.

My objection is based on three main reasons.

- a) Covenants which preclude the development
- b) The possible loss of important green space.
- c) Traffic conditions.

Covenants

When I bought my house in Reeves Court in 1998, I was assured that there was no intention of building on the green areas identified in the estate plans.

I was told that covenants existed between the developers and the owners of Bradbourne House which stated that those major green areas could not be built on.

I believe that the retention of the green areas which are maintained at the cost of the residents is part of our deeds. **Green Space**

Any loss of the green spaces would be detrimental to the well-being of the many residents who use it.

During the pandemic lockdown period and during the summer heatwave especially, the open spaces and shady trees helped to bring some joy and relief to those who walk, or exercise their dogs and those who just sit under the trees perhaps with a picnic.

The loss of these green spaces would be of great detriment to the residents and other locals especially as it provides an area that offsets the pollution created by the heavy traffic on the A20.

Traffic Conditions

The entrance/exit from Bradbourne Park Road onto New Road is already heavily congested and at busy times it is very difficult to get out of the estate and onto the A20.

This has already become worse with the extra traffic from "The Sweetings" site further along New Road.

Table 9 - List If there is an incident on the M20 this is made even worse as the traffic is directed onto the A20 through Ditton and of Sites - East Larkfield which greatly increases the levels of pollution and makes it almost impossible to leave our estate.

0 Malling

If further houses are built on the Bradbourne Estate, then pollution will increase, there will be a tragic loss of highly [59631]

Table 9 - List Reasons for objections • Existing Public Open Space for Kings Hill • Countryside • Harm to quiet lane and rural road of Sites - East networks • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

44721569

Reasons for objections • Harm to conservation areas or harm to significance of conservation areas • Proposed Green
Table 9 - List Belt • Countryside • Harm to the aquifer for streams • Harm to non-listed heritage assets • Harm to quiet lane and
of Sites - East rural road networks • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted
O Malling on local roads • Inadequate resources – KCC indicate suggested school location not economic, no retail centre

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Site 59715 & 59630. Broadwater Farm. This seems a sensible extension to Kings Hill – but only if it is limited to the area south of Pikey Lane. But already builders are pushing the boundaries.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

59715 Ivy Farm. Unacceptable loss of orchards. Access is directly through East Malling village narrow streets which cannot cope even now.

59449 Carnation Crescent. This is the village green in a dense residential area. What idiot put this forward.

59636 Stickens Lane. Valuable green belt. Access only through narrow country lanes already designated Quiet Lanes.

59698 & 5924. Clare Lane. Unacceptable loss of farming land. Access is directly onto Clare Lane which has a very narrow dangerous pavement-less section outside Clare Cottages at west end, and into the narrow Mill Street at the other. Totally unsuitable.

59743 Another infill extension to the Forty Acres site. Removes any separation of East & West Malling.

59631 Wateringbury Road. More green fields lost. Access is only through the narrow East Malling village streets to the north, and Teston & Wateringbury villages to the south.

59450 & 59448. Bradbourne estate. These are the village greens in a dense residential area. What idiot put this Table 9 - List forward??

of Sites - East

0 Malling

59673. Park House East Malling. Acceptable for sympathetic residential development.

42144033

I am writing to object to any proposed development on the Bradbourne Fields green spaces identified as 59450 and 59448 in the consultation document.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

This is for the following reasons

- * The green areas were set aside as part of the planning consent for the development as it stands now.
- * I moved into Forsyth Close when it was first built, and the expectation was that the green areas would NOT be built on. I believe it to be part of our deeds.
- * This is a private estate where residents pay a service charge for the upkeep of the green spaces, fences, and woodland management around the development boundary and therefore residents have a direct interest in its future and want it to remain as it is.
- * If planning was granted for more houses, these green spaces would be lost for good to the detriment of the residents.
- * The view to Bradbourne house is another consideration, building houses on these areas would obscure the view. I understand that this is a covenant which was included as part of the original planning consent.
- * These green areas are also important from a holistic point of view, they are used for leisure, walking, running, dog exercising and picnics in the summer. All of which has positive benefit to all ofthe residents.
- * There are no other green spaces within easy reach for residents, especially for those with mobility problems.
- * The green areas provide a "green lung" which offsets the pollution generated by the busy A20 which runs alongside the development. It would be detrimental to lose these spaces.
- * By further developing the green spaces at Bradbourne Fields with more houses, there would be an increase in traffic to and from Bradbourne Fields onto an already busy New Road. This would also impact on the junction of New Road with the A20. We already know that the traffic in this area will increase from the "Sweatings" site further up New

Table 9 - List Road.

0 Malling

of Sites - East * Congestion in the whole area at peak times creates difficulties and delays for the emergency services reaching their destination. This is further exacerbated if there is an incident on the M20, as traffic is routed through Larkfield and

Comments noted

I would like to object to the proposed development of Site 59740.

I list the well known reasons below and would like to add my firm conviction that we are all going down the wrong 'cul-de-sac' of a way forward.

There is already plenty of development land available.

In town centres.

And if there isn't now there will be within a few short years as retail 'dies a death'.

All very sad but completely unstoppable as the public move on-line for their shopping experience.

To return to the more specific case against building on site 59740.

This site does not seem suitable for inclusion in the Local Plan going forward given the relatively poor rating against TMBC's Sustainability Assessment criteria.

Reasons include:

Loss of high grade farm land.

Harm to many heritage assets including listed buildings, Conservation Area and landscape features.

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East Coalescence of East Malling, West Malling, Kings Hill and nearby hamlets.

42617505 0 Malling

39012577

I feel this site does not seem suitable for inclusion in the Local Plan going forward given its relatively poor rating against TMBC's Sustainability Assessment criteria. Specifically I wish to make the following points as further reasons for my objection:

Noted. However, comments on specific live planning applications are Development Management matters.

- * Loss of high grade farm land
- * Harm to many heritage assets including listed buildings, Conservation Area and landscape features
- * Coalescence of East Malling, West Malling, Kings Hill and nearby hamlets
- * Harm to the aquifer and lack of consideration of hydrogeology issues
- * Harm to Quiet Lanes and rural road network
- * Critical stress on existing road, medical & other infrastructure
- * The existing consultation for this site elicited over 1,500 objection responses from official consultees, TMBC

 Table 9 List residents and other interested parties which should be taken into account. 1,500 objections is a significant amount

of Sites - East and if the council is to show themselves as democratic at all then this huge weight of objection mush be taken into 0 Malling account.

L----, ---- ., -----, --- .-.

To whom it may concern.

processes. We realise that you have to find sites to build houses but we feel that you are putting forward too many sites in this part of The TMBC area. The area covers a wide range of towns and villages and ideally it would be better to build one or two in each area where possible so that villages in this area East Malling/West Malling/Wateringbury and Kings to become one destroying our country life. We already have two sites in progress in East Malling on the Research Centre

These are the sites that concern me most:

and about to start off Winterfield Lane.

Site 59698 and the adjacent 59824 Clare Lane

These sites are off a narrow lane, parts are in a conservation area looking over an Oast house and in flood risk 3! The roads cannot take the amount of traffic that these would produce. There are also no safe way of walking between East Malling and West Malling. We have no shops, possibly losing our bus service so the only reason to live here is to enjoy the countryside and you wish to destroy it.

Site 59824 backs onto Darcy Court, which is in the conservation area and has an Oast. When these houses were built certain things were put in place, no sheds, permanent buildings in the gardens and only post and rail fences could be used because they were not to spoil the view over the countryside for people enjoying the views when using the public footpath and now you want to put up to 150 houses plus the extra site 59698 where more would be built. This is also a big area used by wildlife which would be destroyed.

Table 9 - List Site 59636 Off Stickens Lane of Sites - East

44864417

0 Malling

This site would also have to come out onto Mill Street which is Flood risk 3 which again runs to Clare Lane, flood risk [59740]

I strongly believe site 59740 Broadwater Farm should not go forward for development in the next stage of the Local Plan for these reasons:

- ~ Loss of high grade farm land
- ~ Harm to many heritage assets including listed buildings, Conservation Area and landscape features
- ~ Coalescence of East Malling, West Malling, Kings Hill and nearby hamlets
- ~ Harm to the underground water sources and lack of consideration of hydrogeology issues
- ~ Harm to Quiet Lanes and rural road network
- ~ Critical stress on existing road, medical & other infrastructure
- \sim The existing consultation for this site elicited over 1,500 objection responses from official consultees, TMBC residents and other interested parties which should be taken into account.

Table 9 - List It is essential that the green spaces, open countryside and farmland between Kings Hill, West Malling and East Malling of Sites - East are kept free from inappropriate development. I strongly request that the voice of the people and local community is heard and respected in this instance. The development is not needed.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

L--- - - - 1

I agree with and totally support the carefully considered response to this plan, by BAG Broadwater Action Group.

My main concerns are:

Health Care: It is a matter for record that the medical services for this area are unable to cope with the existing numbers of patients.

What will be done to ensure a safe service is in place BEFORE any further development is agreed?

Education: Another service over stretched and under huge pressure, we need affordable home for these key workers.

Transport: The number of journeys using the small country roads and quiet lanes by huge lorries, and speeding cars has increased exponentially, these roads/lanes were never intended for such traffic, the road surfaces, buildings and sadly pedestrians are at risk, as ever more 'rat runs' are used to avoid the queues of traffic at every junction in our area.

The number of houses mooted to be needed is based on out of date pre 2014 population figures.

Homes are needed not 4 + bed houses that you cannot swing a cat in, real homes for our service workers, and enduring social homes owned by local councils that cannot be sold! The mix of homes should be weighted towards smaller dwellings to suit the needs of a changing population

Green Belt Land: This precious Green belt, it is vital to protect Green Belt land at all costs, it checks unrestricted urban Table 9 - List sprawl, prevents towns merging into each other, safeguards the countryside, preserves the setting and special of Sites - East character of our historic

42720929

0 Malling

Noted. The local plan development strategy and associated infrastructure demands will be reflected within the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

Site reference 59636

I object to this site being agreed as suitable because:

- * A) This 16 acre field is totally inappropriate for any development and this was recognised as such on August 31, 2018 when TMBC served an Article 4 Directive which remains in force. Please include the TMBC documentation supporting this Article 4 Directive in this current Section 18 consultation documentation.
- * B) moreover, TMBC proposed inclusion of this field in the Green Belt (GB) extension detailed in the previous Local Plan which was refused by the Inspectorate. The original arguments and reasons for the proposed GB extension remain and in fact have strengthened following all the developments that have subsequently been approved in and around the area proposed as GB extension.
- * C) This field abuts the Mill Street/Well Street Conservation Area for about 250 yards and would have an extremely detrimental impact upon it if it were to be developed in any way.
- * D) The only road access is via Stickens Lane which is recognised as a Quiet Lane by KCC. This Lane could not take any increased traffic that development would bring (it is already very difficult for vehicles to use).
- * E) This field is outside the East Malling development envelope.

0 Malling

Table 9 - List * F) This field is important to keep the very old Hamlet of Well Street separate from the village of East Malling proper. of Sites - East We must strive to maintain the individual character of the hamlet of Well Street and stop any development that would cause it to merge into East Malling proper &/or radically and adversely affect it's character &/or setting.

I object to this site being agreed as suitable because:

- * A) It is outside of the present Village Envelope of East Malling(EM) and if it were to be accepted it would significantly extend the envelope towards West Malling(WM), Larkfield (LF), and Leybourne (LB) and would be a significant step towards the unwanted coalescence of EM, WM,LF, and LB. ... N.B. The already approved "40 Acre" site between Winterfield Lane and the A20 already compromises the countryside spaces between these villages and should be included when considering this request.
- * B) It would destroy a large area of good farmland at a time when as a nation we should be focused on maintaining such areas and keeping them in food production.
- * C) vehicle traffic would be via Clare Lane and Mill Street which already have difficult traffic issues which will be impossible to adjust for the large increase this site will generate. This increased traffic will also adversely impact existing road congestion across the area especially on the network of small country lanes many of which are designated as Quiet Lanes by KCC.

Table 9 - List

0 Malling

of Sites - East * D) such developments cause increased pressures on local services such as schooling, doctors, policing, etc. which are already suffering from the surge in house building in this part of Tonbridge and Malling.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

44891009

I object to this site being agreed as suitable because:

- A) It would destroy a very large part of the New Barns/Broadwater Farm Conservation Area (CA) (N.B. this is one contiguous CA not two separate CA's), and would seriously harm/adversely affect all of the remaining CA to which it would abut. Moreover, such development(s) would also harm/adversely affect the Mill Street/Well Street Conservation area which it would also abut and which has many listed houses.
- B) This very large site would cover a large portion of the area proposed by TMBC as an extension of the existing Green Belt in the previous Local Plan that was refused by the Inspectorate. As such it would almost eliminate the natural countryside buffers between Kings Hill, East Malling/West Malling ie cause coalescence. We need this Green Belt extension to help avoid the merging of Kings Hill, West Malling, and East Malling into one urban sprawl.
- C) Such a development(s) would be mainly upon Grade 1 & 2 farm land which as a nation we need to preserve and keep in production.
- D) Such a development of say 3500 homes plus schools, doctors surgeries etc. would result in unacceptable pressures on local highways several of which have KCC Quiet Lane status and which are impossible to upgrade without destroying the character of this lovely rural area making it in fact an urban township.
- E) This site has unusual and important hydrological characteristics which development would disturb and probably harm. Waters from this site feed into the East Malling Stream which rises within the Well Street Conservation area which abuts this site. This stream has almost unique characteristics here in South East England in that it never "flinches" no matter what weather we have it always produces a very large flow of water which never varies in quantity or high quality.

Table 9 - List quantity or high quality ... development of this site would jeopardise both.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

44891009

F) Development on this scale would have serious and harmful effects upon the flora and fauna of this area.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to guiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

0 Malling

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to guiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

44940993

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to guiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

44943073

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to guiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

44944705

0 Malling

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to guiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

44949249

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

44952865

0 Malling

.-- : :-, -- :-- :

We write to you to register our objection to the proposal that the two valuable green spaces in Bradbourne Fields be included in the Local Plan currently being drawn up. (Sites 59448 & 59450).

Sites 59450 and 59448 comprise the only significant green spaces on this dense development of over 260 homes and are currently well used by residents for social and health purposes. During lockdown these 'green lungs' were a saviour for countless residents and habits started during those dire times are being continued and removal of these spaces would be cruel.

The development is circa 25 years old and the trees planted on these green spaces as a condition of the original permission for the development are now reaching a good size and will be contributing to improving the urban air quality. In addition they will be capturing particulate pollution drifting onto the development from the adjacent A20 which is a major arterial road,

Over the 25 years these trees have been growing and surrounding shrubs developing a wildlife corridor has been created encouraging a diverse range of wildlife to visit and pass through this space. Destroying the green space and removing established trees will sever that corridor and will have a negative impact on bio diversity.

By absorbing Carbon Dioxide and letting out Oxygen trees help purify the atmosphere and stand in the very forefront of the battle against climate change. Hacking them down to be replaced by energy consuming and pollution emitting buildings would be contributing to the damage to our planet.

In light of the recent statement by the UN regarding climate change and poor progress globally it would be reckless in the extreme for Tonbridge and Malling Borough Councillors to agree to the removal of any trees, young or old that Table 9 - List are making such a positive contribution to our climate.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

0 Malling

The green spaces under threat significantly contribute to the aesthetic appeal of this housing development and to in [59740, 59630]

- 1) Loss of amenity and recreational use of Ancient Woodland.
- 2) Extensive increase in traffic levels which are already becoming a major problem.
- 3) Services put further under pressure and stretched to their limits. Doctors surgeries, schools, water, drainage and other utilities.
- 4) Loss of historical local identity by over development within the area.

Kings Hill is currently at a critical point of over development after an extensive build period.

Table 9 - List Sites 59740 and 59630 in particular represent the scale of over development. of Sites - East

We also object to the possibility that Kings Hill be classified as a "Town" for planning purposes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes. The local plan development strategy and associated infrastructure demands will be reflected within the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

44953377

		[59631]1) Loss of amenity and recreational use of Ancient Woodland.2) Extensive increase in traffic levels which are already becoming a major problem.	Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes. The local plan development strategy and associated infrastructure demands will be reflected within the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.
	Table 9 - Lis	 3) Services put further under pressure and stretched to their limits. Doctors surgeries, schools, water, drainage and other utilities. 4) Loss of historical local identity by over development within the area. 	
44953665	of Sites - Eas 0 Malling		Comment noted
		[59715, 59631, 59726] Sites. 59715. 59631. 59726 All Residential	
		st I believe that our green spaces and rights of way help to boost peoples mental health and well being, our quiet lanes	
42715073	0 Malling	encourage walking and cycling creating an environment that benefits us and the wildlife. [59698, 59824] Sites. 59698. And. 59824 Residential	Comment noted
42715073		These two sites are connected to the west of East Malling whilst development here would affect less people it affects the current boundaries of East Malling. Already in this general area there is the Forty Acres development should further development happen a new primary school would be needed as most new development attract young marrieds with growing families. Most new developments do not have an age group balance that takes a long time to develope. These areas remain a mile from shopping and medical facilities so the need for cars continues. [59715]	Comment noted
42715073		This area which extends Kings Hill feels like a further encroachment on East and West Malling, wiping out more fields and boundaries. The edges of this development would stretch along the road considered a bypass for West Malling to those who would live there its traffic 24 hours a day. The idea that living in Kings Hill you could walk to all points of your community frankly wouldn't apply the developed area is already very large. I accept that employment areas are required.	f
42715073	Table 9 - Lis	[59630]	Comment noted

[59448, 59449, 59450] Comment noted

Table 9 - List

0 Malling

44626017

of Sites - East These sites should be green spaces for their communities so young children can run around near their homes. It is the 42715073 0 Malling only green space in the area.

> Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to guiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

44954657

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

0 Malling

0 Malling

of Sites - East

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to guiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

44617089

0 Malling

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to guiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre. 59630 - this site had already been put forward as green belt. It is outside the confines of the existing development and Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into has restricted access through narrow roads.

59631 - is existing open public space and would put too much traffic onto rural surrounding roads.

Table 9 - List

0 Malling

44626049

44960929

of Sites - East 59740 - harm to conservation areas, proposed green belt and would mean the surrounding areas of East Malling and 0 Malling West Malling losing their identity.

consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes. The local plan development strategy and associated infrastructure demands will be reflected within the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to guiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

42444257

0 Malling

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to guiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

44974273

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

44974977

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

> Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to guiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

0 Malling

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to guiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Site 59630 Mixed Use TBC (est. 157) dwellingsFields North of Amber Lane

Reasons for objections • Proposed Green Belt • Countryside • Harm to the aguifer for streams • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Traffic restricted on local roads • Visual Impact from AONB

Site 59631 Residential 136 dwellingsWateringbury Road, Heath Farm fields next to Sports Centre

Reasons for objections • Existing Public Open Space for Kings Hill • Countryside • Harm to quiet lane and rural road networks • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted on local roads

Site 59740 Mixed Use (Est 3,000) dwellingsBroadwater Farm

Reasons for objections • Harm to conservation areas or harm to significance of conservation areas • Proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt • Countryside • Harm to the aquifer for streams • Harm to non-listed heritage assets • Harm to quiet lane and of Sites - East rural road networks • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted 0 Malling on local roads • Inadequate resources – KCC indicate suggested school location not economic, no retail centre

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

44976481

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to guiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

44977985

44978529

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in consideration within the site analysis and site selection Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

Table 9 - List of Sites - East 0 Malling

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to guiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

44981377

0 Malling

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

44983137

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

44984001

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

44984609

consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

44985825

0 Malling

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

44988577

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to guiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

44989505

0 Malling

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

44994849

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

44995329

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre. [59740]

> With reference to the consultation on Regulation 18 Local Plan I would like to make the following comments regarding Q8 Site 59740 outlined in the Tonbridge and Malling Local Plan, Interim Sustainability Appraisal Report, July 202. In addition I would like to fully endorse and support the information compiled and submitted by the Broadwater Action Group in response to Regulation 18 Local Plan, Consultation Document, September 2022. I would also encourage TMBC to take into account the 1500 objections to the Berkeley Homes Planning Application 21/02719/OAEA related to this site.

I would suggest that site 59740 appears to be inappropriate for inclusion in the TMBC Local Plan.

This conclusion is weighted towards this for the following reasons:

- * Loss of high quality farmland
- * Damage to valuable heritage buildings, historical features and ancient landscapes
- * Coalescence of small and larger settlements
- * Damage to the delicate hydrology of the area resulting in flooding and impact on the ancient Cwylla
- * Increased light, air, noise pollution impacting on humans and wildlife

Table 9 - List * Impact on the rural landscape including severe consequences for the Quiet Lanes and the interconnecting rural of Sites - East lanes.

45000225

44999649

0 Malling

* Infrastructure, including medical, educational and community provisions, being overstretched.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45002241

0 Malling

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into
consideration within the site analysis and site selection
processes.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

	Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green
Table 9 - List	Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural
of Sites - East	road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local
0 Malling	roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[59630]

Site 59630 Mixed Use TBC (est. 157) dwellingsFields North of Amber Lane

Table 9 - List

45007489

45009697

45009697

45009697

of Sites - East Reasons for objections • Proposed Green Belt • Countryside • Harm to the aquifer for streams • Outside of the O Malling confines of existing developments • Traffic restricted on local roads • Visual Impact from AONB [59631]

Site 59631 Residential 136 dwellingsWateringburyRoad, Heath Farm fields next to Sports Centre

Table 9 - List Reasons for objections • Existing Public Open Space for Kings Hill • Countryside • Harm to quiet lane and rural road of Sites - East networks • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads

[59740]

Site 59740 Mixed Use (Est 3,000) dwellingsBroadwater Farm

Reasons for objections • Harm to conservation areas or harm to significance of conservation areas • Proposed Green
Table 9 - List Belt • Countryside • Harm to the aquifer for streams • Harm to non-listed heritage assets • Harm to quiet lane and
of Sites - East rural road networks • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted
O Malling on local roads • Inadequate resources – KCC indicate suggested school location not economic, no retail centre

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections – This is also outside the existing line of development and will encroach into the countryside and green countryside space. It is in an area of outstanding natural beauty which should not be built on. Local country lanes would not be able to cope with the volume of traffic. It would also have a detrimental effect on the views of the area.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections – Detrimental effect on the quiet lanes and rural road networks and would cause traffic issues. It is once again outside of the existing development and there would be a loss of agricultural land which we need.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections – a massive development that would cause harm to the existing conservation areas, countryside, and heritage assets. This area should be Green Belt and protected as such as it allows a natural break between the villages and the special character of the area. There will be considerable harm to our road network, traffic problems and harm to the quiet lane network. This is agricultural land which we need our food.

I have had a look at the plans to build even more houses at Kings Hill. We moved here in 2006 and one of the reasons was that Kings Hill was a new garden village with plenty of green space around it, it had a medical centre in the centre along with an array of shops for everyday needs, a bus service that could take one to Tunbridge Wells and Maidstone and a railway station not too far away.

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East Over the years the bus services have been cut and now there is a very limited buses with restricted hours and

O Malling extremely limited service over the weekends. I understand that the X1 and X2 are going to be cut out altogether from

45011329

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Attached please find my detail objections to the relevant sites of the local plan proposed for additional dwellings in the Kings Hill area of the borough. [KINGS HILL PROFORMA ALSO SUBMITTED]

Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

Noted. The local plan development strategy and associated

infrastructure demands will be reflected within the

I also raise the following overarching objections in connection with all the sites I have listed if not already stated for each one separately:

GP Surgery alreadynot coping - The local surgery cannot cope at the moment, and many people are forced to use private medical services because they cannot get an appointment. Adding 1,000's of additional houses will only increase this problem.

Road Access to Kings Hill already inadequate – The A228 is already overburdened during rushhour particularly the non dual carriageway section all the way to/from Mereworth. Access to Kings Hill is limited to two chokepoints which are easily blocked in the event of traffic problems. These issues will only be worse if additional dwellings are built.

Public Transportto Kings Hill already inadequate and being cut back – Already poor & expensive links to West Malling, Maidstone, Tonbridge, London etc will be hugely overburdened with the proposed development of 1000's more additional dwellings

Traffic/Parkingon Kings Hill already a problem - This is already an issue because developers/planners have not allocated enough parking for existing households. Pressure on parking will increase with the implementation of proposed yellow lines. Further developments in Kings Hill will only exacerbate this situation and make it worse.

Schools - The local primary schools are already full, and with no secondary school on Kings Hill, pupils are forced to Table 9 - List use public transport or parents vehicles.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

0 Malling

Stores/Shops - The existing stores are at capacity with the current population and people who travel in from outside.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45012641

0 Malling

processes.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45013249

0 Malling

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45015073

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45015745

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45016097

45017793

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45018913

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45019585

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

44984001

0 Malling

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45028897

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to guiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45030017

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45031617

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45032513

45033185

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45033697

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45039649

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

42719585

0 Malling

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45042529

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45044641

consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45046561

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

X | 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45047201

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

42723073

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45049409

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

42719585

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45060289

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45061697

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45062817

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45064769

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45067745

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road

Table 9 - List networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

of Sites - East

45068321

0 Malling

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45069185

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45070209

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45071425

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45072161

45072289

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45072801

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45074273

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45075201

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to guiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45076737

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

of Sites - East

45076673

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45076417

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45091969

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45092321

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45093441

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

42196961

45094177

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45094369

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45094433

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45096801

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45097569

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

L	 -	-	

I would like to object to the proposed development of Site 59740.

I list the well known reasons below and would like to add my firm conviction that we are all going down the wrong 'cul-de-sac' of a way forward.

There is already plenty of development land available.

In town centres.

And if there isn't now there will be within a few short years as retail 'dies a death'.

All very sad but completely unstoppable as the public move on-line for their shopping experience.

To return to the more specific case against building on site 59740.

This site does not seem suitable for inclusion in the Local Plan going forward given the relatively poor rating against TMBC's Sustainability Assessment criteria.

Reasons include:

Loss of high grade farm land.

Harm to many heritage assets including listed buildings, Conservation Area and landscape features.

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East Coalescence of East Malling, West Malling, Kings Hill and nearby hamlets.

42617505

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Comment noted

0 Malling

[59740]

I am writing to endorse Broadwater Action Groups submission.

This farm (which should have been made green belt) is the buffer between Kings Hill and East Malling /WestMalling; if it gets developed it will all become one.

I can still visualise my returning walk from Manor Park ,one early summers evening , and first seeing the stunning view across a cornfield with the oast house in the background . Also a lovely afternoon walk through rows of red apple trees. Are we not supposed to be heading to being more self sufficient? Why carve up a farm ,why not a brown site?

The chaos that would be caused by bringing another junction onto the West Malling bypass and more traffic onto Leybourne traffic lights. Already one of the busiest roads in this area especially when there is a problem on M20.

Table 9 - List The building of houses in New Road, East Malling and behind Cherry Orchard, Ditton is already going ahead plus on of Sites - East main A20 at Larkfield so please reconsider this development . It is only by walking between East Malling , West Malling 0 Malling ,Wateringbury and Nettlestead that one realises how vast Kingshill has already become.

45100961

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45101729

consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45102081

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45102561

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45103137

45103233

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

42719137

0 Malling

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources -

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

of Sites - Ea 41 0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green
Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

42729441

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45107649

consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45109377

45117569

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45117569

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45119041

45121441

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45122145

45122849

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

Table 9 - List of Sites - East 0 Malling

45123489

45125601

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into

consideration within the site analysis and site selection

processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45126113

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45127937

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45128545

45131169

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45131329

45132129

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

44937729

45132737

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45132961

45133089

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45133185

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45133793

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45134369

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45138273

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45138657

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45142337

45142433

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

GREED

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings GREED

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Table 9 - List GREED !!

of Sites - East

45143873 0 Malling

Total Desecration!!

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

x Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45144481

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45145377

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45147457

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45164705

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45165409

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road

0 Malling networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45160097

consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Table 9 - List

0 Malling

of Sites - East Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

45169857

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45171201

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45171905

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45172961

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing public Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45172641

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing public Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing public Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45175777

45176801

consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing public Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing public Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45177217

45177857

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing public Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing public Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45178561

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing public Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing public Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45180833

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing public Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45181345

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing public Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45182433

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing public Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45184193

consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing public Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45184417

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing public Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken in	to
consideration within the site analysis and site selectio	n
processes.	

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing public Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Table 9 - List

45185441

45185889

45186337

of Sites - East Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

Very close to home for me is the preposterous idea to build on the two greens within the Bradbourne Redrow development.

(Site 59450 and 59448)..

Really, for the sake of everyone's health both mental and physical, we have to retain what little green spaces that we

Table 9 - List have left.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

I am sure I am just one of many objecting to this local plan.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Comment noted

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45186657

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45187201

45187233

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing public Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45187521

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing public Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mix	ed use estimate 3000 dwellings
------------------------------------	--------------------------------

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

of Sites - East

0 Malling Lack of resources. GP cannot cope as it is. No secondary school and buses are awful

> Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing public Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45189025

0 Malling

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection

processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing public Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

0 Malling

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing public Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road Table 9 - List networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads. of Sites - East

45189953

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing public Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45190881

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing public Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to guiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

45201057 0 Malling

> I subject my objections below to the sites detailed and identified by Tonbridge and Malling Borough Council in Regulation of 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development: -

* Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Site 59630 Mixed Use TBC (est. 157) dwellingsFields North of Amber Lane

Reasons for objections • Proposed Green Belt • Countryside • Harm to the aquifer for streams • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Traffic restricted on local roads • Visual Impact from AONB

Site 59631 Residential 136 dwellingsWateringbury Road, Heath Farm fields next to Sports Centre

Reasons for objections • Existing Public Open Space for Kings Hill • Countryside • Harm to quiet lane and rural road networks • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted on local roads

Site 59740 Mixed Use (Est 3,000) dwellingsBroadwater Farm

Reasons for objections • Harm to conservation areas or harm to significance of conservation areas • Proposed Green Belt • Countryside • Harm to the aquifer for streams • Harm to non-listed heritage assets • Harm to quiet lane and rural road networks • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted on local roads • Inadequate resources – KCC indicate suggested school location not economic, no retail centre

Table 9 - List on local roads • Inadequate resources – KCC indicate suggested school location not economic, no retail centre of Sites - East

44955681

0 Malling

This is my objection to any development of the areas numbered by TMBC as 549448 and 59540 (Bradbourne Fields estate green quiet spaces).

I object to any development on these green spaces for the following main reasons:

- Space for nature and wildlife
- Quiet area used by Bradbourne Fields estate and the wilder community
- Stated in land registry deeds as a designated quiet/green space

The following expands on these points

Nature and wildlife

These 2 areas are a green space to nature and wildlife as well as the local community. With the open grass areas plus mature native trees I have seen bats, foxes, badgers, owls, spotted and green woodpeckers plus a whole suite of Table 9 - List native birds such as finches, tits, blackbirds, thrushes, nuthatches, etc. Also the number of trees much be a of Sites - East considerable carbon sink and improve air quality from the busy local roads and communities.

45201377

0 Malling

Site 59630 Mixed Use TBC (est. 157) dwellingsFields North of Amber Lane

Reasons for objections • Proposed Green Belt • Countryside • Harm to the aquifer for streams • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Traffic restricted on local roads • Visual Impact from AONB

Site 59631 Residential 136 dwellingsWateringbury Road, Heath Farm fields next to Sports Centre

Reasons for objections • Existing Public Open Space for Kings Hill • Countryside • Harm to quiet lane and rural road networks • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted on local roads

Site 59740 Mixed Use (Est 3,000) dwellingsBroadwater Farm

Reasons for objections • Harm to conservation areas or harm to significance of conservation areas • Proposed Green
Table 9 - List Belt • Countryside • Harm to the aquifer for streams • Harm to non-listed heritage assets • Harm to quiet lane and
of Sites - East rural road networks • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted
O Malling on local roads • Inadequate resources – KCC indicate suggested school location not economic, no retail centre

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Comment noted

45203073

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in processes. Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road

Nalling networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

We are also experiencing more and more flooding in the area which we don't seem to be doing anything about

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East The roads, doctors can't cope with what we have now let alone more houses with no parking. Also there are so many 0 Malling primary schools but no local secondary schools. Buses are terrible

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

45206017

44988481

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45207905

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

I submit my objections below to the sites identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

Site 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments,, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty, over development with inadequate resources(e.g. Schools (particularly secondary), Doctors, transport links) on Kings Hill.

Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing public Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45216865

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

I submit my objections below to the sites identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

Site 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments,, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty, over development with inadequate resources(e.g. Schools (particularly secondary), Doctors, transport links) on Kings Hill.

Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing public Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45217153

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into
consideration within the site analysis and site selection
processes.

I Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

- [x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. Loss of space for recreation and exercise of local population and dogs
- [x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings Reasons for objections Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.
- [x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings Reasons for objections Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for Table 9 - List streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of of Sites - East existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated 0 Malling suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[59740]

- * Land within Site 59740 includes the New Barns and Broadwater Farm Conservation Area the visual amenity of which, from both within and without was a strong factor in its classification.
- * At the eastern side of the Site 59740, is a second Conservation Area, Mill Street, including f the historic hamlet of Table 9 - List Well Street with its very large proportion of listed buildings e.g. 'The Barracks' reputed to be a militia house from the of Sites - East English Civil War.

45217569 * Any development in this green space will impact Geology (Hydro)*, Wildlife, Access, Roads Infrastructure* Comment noted

42689473

0 Malling

- * Site 59449 is specific green space that local residents use on a daily basis to enable their children to play in a safe space, dog walkers also use multiple times per day, this is particularly beneficial for lone women and children who walk their dogs in this area.
- o The area is immediately located next to two apartment blocks, both of which house children, there will be no local green space amenity within close proximity for these children to be supervised in a safe place.
- o Mothers bring their young children and babies down to the Green on a regular basis for picnics.
- o Fathers with their children play football and other sports.
- o Green space helps prevent anti-social behaviour and promotes healthy lifestyle through play and engagement and helps to fight against mental health issues and promotes the health of developing children.
- o Green space and trees help improve air quality by reducing urban temperatures.
- o Vegetation absorbs carbon dioxide and helps offset greenhouse omissions helping to significantly reduce premature air related deaths

Table 9 - List o Also stores carbon helping to mitigate climate change and reduce the risk of flooding of Sites - East

45217569

0 Malling

o Bats are known to live in this green space and has been submitted to the national bat conservation group

59630 Fields North of Amber Lane

59631 Wateringbury Road, Heath Farm fields next to Sports Centre

59740 Broadwater Farm

Site 59630 Mixed Use TBC (est. 157) dwellingsFields North of Amber Lane

Reasons for objections • Proposed Green Belt • Countryside • Harm to the aquifer for streams • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Traffic restricted on local roads • Visual Impact from AONB

Site 59631 Residential 136 dwellingsWateringburyRoad, Heath Farm fields next to Sports Centre

Reasons for objections • Existing Public Open Space for Kings Hill • Countryside • Harm to quiet lane and rural road networks • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted on local roads

Table 9 - List roads

of Sites - East

0 Malling

consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green
Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Table 9 of Sites

Of Malling

45218273

Noted. However, comments on specific live planning applications are Development Management matters.

•The ancient Anglo Saxon Cwylla, designated as an historic monument by KCC, situated within the New Barns and Broadwater Area Conservation Area first documented over 1,000 years ago

·The Cwylla should be considered by English Heritage as an "At Risk" asset

·Strong links to the English Civil War, has numerous listed building

·A network of sunken roadways or hollow-ways which have evolved over hundreds of years and are a unique landscape feature protected by "Quiet Lane" status .

·The Berkeley application for Broadwater Farm pays that insufficient to the devastating heritage impact its development would have in its current form.

 $\cdot A \ large \ and \ critical \ body \ of \ evidence \ from \ official \ Consultees, \ BAG \ and \ many \ others \ details \ the \ harm \ that \ developing$

Table 9 - List Site 59740 has been provided to TMBC

of Sites - East

45217569 0 Malling

·This body of evidence should be taken into consideration at this stage of the planning process for the new local plan.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in processes. Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road

Nalling networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45219041

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

.----

I am appreciative of the difficulties that the Government has imposed on the local councils in order to deal with the housing crisis however the houses that seem to be built in our area will do very little in dealing with the issues of needed assisted housing.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

We are fortunate to live in a lovely green belt allocated area and developers by their nature will always push for larger houses where the profits are larger. I moved to East Malling from Kings Hill in order to escape the large stereotype developments and to live in a more rural area. The proposed plan will merge all these local villages to create almost like a new town. Traffic, although this plays no part in your decisions is horrendous in East Malling at rush hour and Mill Street was never built to take this amount of traffic. We have no shops in the village so anyone moving here has to have the use of one or two cars to go out of the village and this is mostly through Mill Street in order to reach London road or West Malling. We have no safe footpath walking to West Malling and now the local bus will also be terminated. The Doctor Surgeries' capacity has exploded in the last years with no new provisions or Doctors available.

My main concern is the following sites:

59824 Clare Lane and 59698

Both sites are off very narrow lanes where the "pinch point" at the top of Clare Lane towards West Malling is a disaster waiting to happen with no possibility of widening the road and very poor visibility. Mill Street with its start of the footpath through these sites is a Conservation area including Darcy Court. The Mill Street Conservation area was set in an area designated as being part of local importance. The Conservation area was tightly drawn & building development immediately outside the boundary can have a significant impact which must be taken into account (to quote your own 2001 Mill Street Conservation Document). The entrance to Darcy Court and the foot path is Table 9 - List surrounded by 3 listed properties of importance to the village as well as the refurbished Oast House in Darcy Court of Sites - East visible from the field being developed and the foot path as well as Mill Street. The Council has highlighted the importance of preserving the old Oast Houses both materially and visually. In Darcy Court strict Covenants were put

45221089

[59740]

Table 9 - List I thoroughly endorse Broadwater Action Group's submissions regarding the Broadwater Farm plan site 59740. of Sites - East

42252641

0 Malling

0 Malling

And I also support all the comments submitted by The Parish Council against all the sites on the Local Plan .

Comment noted

West Malling/Kings Hall/East Malling.

I simply cannot see how any of the current proposed development sites in this area can be approved for development, let alone opening the gateway for more. I find it highly disappointing that there is already large amounts of development going on in the area due to the lack of an existing 5 year plan and that it seems that developer's profit is more important than the environment, infrastructure or concerns/wishes of existing residents.

I am aware that the policy regarding infrastructure is that developers make financial contributions that go towards road improvements, medical services etc. However that completely by-passes and ignores the fact that the current infrastructure is not fit for purpose. These issues need to be addressed and fixed BEFORE new development can go ahead. My specific concerns on infrastructure are:

Roads/Transport

The local roads (East Malling, West Malling etc) are already hugely busy and there is no way they can be 'improved' by financial contributions. There is not room to widen them, increase pavement size, include cycle paths

The A20 is constantly busy and backed up with traffic and there is no easy way to mitigate this either

The local bus service in the area has just been cancelled by the council – this forces more people to have to use private transport, which will exacerbate the situation further

Whilst Tonbridge may have good train services, the train line to Maidstone is not so good. In East Malling, outside of rush hours, there is 1 train an hour between London and Maidstone and there are no 'cross-county' services. For Table 9 - List example, you can drive to Bluewater in 25minutes but it takes around 2 hours to get there via public transport

of Sites - East

0 Malling

To walk from East to West Malling means walking along a narrow, fast road that does not have a pavement. The

[59740]

I am emailing as I endorse the Broadwater Action Group's submission.

I live locally and feel this will be deeply damaging to our area. I personally enjoy long walks enjoying our precious country side, and very saddened to hear how more of this will be lost to housing and development.

Our roads are already busy and struggling to cope with the impact of climate change let alone more traffic on the roads.

I find it impossible to get doctors appointments or a local dentist due to the sheer amount of people in the area.

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East Please take Broadwater Action Group's submissions into careful consideration, as we the local community are the 0 Malling people behind if.

Noted. The local plan development strategy and associated infrastructure demands will be reflected within the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

Noted. The local plan development strategy and associated infrastructure demands will be reflected within the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

45221537

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection

processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45221601

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

I endorse Broadwater Action Group's submissions regarding the above plan and as a local resident I would like to Table 9 - List register my concern at the level of traffic and pollution it would create and at the extra demand for local amenities of Sites - East such as GP Surgeries, Dentists, Hospital and Schools which are already under such pressure to cope. I wholeheartedly 0 Malling support the extension of the Green Belt boundary from West Malling to Wateringbury Road, East Malling.

Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

Noted. The local plan development strategy and associated infrastructure demands will be reflected within the

45222561

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45223937

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in processes. Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road

0 Malling networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45225409

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45226177

0 Malling

Site 59630 Mixed Use TBC (est. 157) dwellingsFields North of Amber Lane

Reasons for objections • Proposed Green Belt • Countryside • Harm to the aguifer for streams • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Traffic restricted on local roads • Visual Impact from AONB

Site 59631 Residential 136 dwellingsWateringbury Road, Heath Farm fields next to Sports Centre

Reasons for objections • Existing Public Open Space for Kings Hill • Countryside • Harm to quiet lane and rural road networks • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted on local roads

Site 59740 Mixed Use (Est 3,000) dwellingsBroadwater Farm

Reasons for objections • Harm to conservation areas or harm to significance of conservation areas • Proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt • Countryside • Harm to the aquifer for streams • Harm to non-listed heritage assets • Harm to quiet lane and of Sites - East rural road networks • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted 0 Malling on local roads • Inadequate resources – KCC indicate suggested school location not economic, no retail centre

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45228673

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45230433

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45231969

45252353

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45252577

Comment noted

[Sites 59448 & 59450]

I write with reference to the sites mentioned above, which have been identified in the Local Plan.

The sites in question (Bradbourne Fields, East Malling) form part of the 1999 Bradbourne Fields Development. The development consists of some 200+ houses, both private and housing association). The residents pay an annual fee to a management company for the upkeep of the fields mentioned in the Local Plan, albeit they are hardly fields and are in fact just small green spaces planted out with a variety of trees and shrubs.

I wish to raise my objection to the prospect of further housing being built on either of these sites. They have been provided for the benefit and enjoyment of the current residents, as determined by the planning permission granted for the site in the late 1990s.

Further development would detract from the current ambience created by the greenspaces and would be to the Table 9 - List detriment of everyone, whilst creating yet more of a strain on the already stressed biodiversity of the area.

of Sites - East

42277121

0 Malling

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

> I find myself writing to you as a resident of Columbine Road, regarding my objection to housing being built on Carnation Green, in the email I will relate many reasons why the land should not be built on.

Children – in the area regularly use the green in the area. With the current economic climate and the cost-of-living rising parents may find themselves working more and not having a means to travel further afield to take their children to other green areas. The impact of removing the green area with have a social impact and health on the children, they may become socially isolated or may have to find other means of entertainment such as antisocial behaviour that will have a cost on the local council. Removal of the green area will reduce a safe area for children to run around in, if children do not have this area to exercise this may add to childhood obesity. This again would break the government's policy on tackling childhood obesity by promoting heathy eating and a healthy lifestyle through exercise (Childhood obesity: a plan for action, chapter 2).

Community - the area has over the years provided a key focal point for families to meet with each other and mix with the community. This has ranged from many activities from playing sports, walking pets, and relaxing on the grass in the summer.

Mental Health:- it has been noted that there is an environmental factor to mental health, to quote gov.uk, 2019 "this can include exposure to negative stressors such as violence, crime or lack of public green space". The Green area can provide an area of relaxation or moments break for those experiencing a mental heath episode.

experience the area was utilised and key to my own health and exercise. After a workplace injury, my age and home circumstances meant that I did not qualify for social services support, the green area allowed me to have access to a Table 9 - List close by area get much needed exercise and build on my strength, this allowed me to return to work sooner than of Sites - East expected. Had I not had such area I would have had to wait until I was able to go further afield to get exercise.

Exercise:- As previously stated thus are provides a key space for children to exercise. To use my own personal

Comment noted

processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection

45254017

0 Malling

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45254881

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[59740]

0 Malling

This proposed development would mean the loss of an important amenity for the residents of Kings Hill. At present Table 9 - List this area provides an "escape" from this built up area into the quiet countryside. It is increasingly recognised that such of Sites - East areas are a vital contribution to peoples' well being. We moved to Kings Hill ten years ago precisely because of this local amenity which would be lost if this development goes ahead.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Comment noted

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

42730209

45273729

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45276929

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45280065

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection [X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings processes. This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. [X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 45283073 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre. Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection [X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings processes. This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. [X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road Table 9 - List networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads. of Sites - East 45283777 0 Malling Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection [X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings processes. This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

of Sites - East

0 Malling

45284673

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road

Table 9 - List networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45285633

45286433

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45287585

45288129

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45288609

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road

0 Malling networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in processes. Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road

0 Malling networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

42166177

45290497

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to guiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45301377

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45304929

45305473

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[Sites 59448 & 59450]

We are writing with reference to the two greens either side of Bradbourne Park: Sites 59448 & 59450.

We object to these as plans for future building as it is already heavily congested with traffic entering New Road.

These greens are well used as picnic areas and for dog walking. The houses [built] onto the ground would suffer from being overlooked and of course would seriously impact on their resale value.

These is already a considerable number of new builds in the area & many more planned. The infrastructure cannot Table 9 - List support this ie doctors & schools are already oversubscribed.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

We urge you not to consider the aforementioned plans.

Comment noted

45307265

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45307681

45308481

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45308705

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45312257

45312577

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45313441

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45315489

45315585

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

Amber Lane would not be a suitable principal access road to this site.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

Loss of amenity space.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Loss of quality agricultural land and A228 is already over capacity.

Table 9 - List of Sites - East 0 Malling

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45318529

45323041

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green
Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural
of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local
roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Broadwater Farm [SITE REF: 59740]

42766465

BAG Executive Committee members have spent a significant amount of time studying TMBC's Regulation 18 Consultation documents (effectively some 45 man hours, so far). It have been a complicated process and we have found it difficult to summarise our response in simple terms. However in essence, BAG's main comments are:

- The high-grade agricultural land of Broadwater and Eden Farms needs to be preserved
- The hydrogeology of the area should not be ignored
- The Conservation Areas of New Barns and Broadwater Farm and Mill Street must be protected
- Coalescence of communities must be avoided
- Transport infrastructure and the effect of extra traffic on West and East Malling cannot cope with a 30% uplift in population

Table 9 - List • The disproportionate development of the north east of the Borough is unfair and of Sites - East unsustainable.

43485921

0 Malling

Central Government's demands for increased housing need to be robustly challenged.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Broadwater Action Group's position

BAG's terms of reference are limited to those matters that will have a direct effect on the Broadwater area. BAG notes the following:

1) Threat to High Grade Farmland

Broadwater Farm is a major producer of high quality fruit and supplies all the national retailers with UK-grown fruit. In the past Broadwater Farm produce also included quality pears, cherries, runner beans and asparagus grown from its high grade soil suited to the production of a wide variety of fruit, vegetable and arable farming crops. The farm has a high proportion of grade 1 Ggricultural land as identified in the Medway Gap and Vicinity survey carried out by ADAS Resource Planning Group in 1991 as part of the then Local Plan formulation. This reports that 71% of land at Eden Farm, West Malling, is Grade 1 and some 50% of land at Broadwater farm is Grade 1 and 2 with 70% being above Grade 3, contributing significantly to the quality of the apples harvested there. In addition to the soil quality, there remains an abundance of water within two principal aquafers (underground watercourses) very close to the surface and hence the name Broadwater. Proposals to develop this land would be contrary to Chapter 4.2.1 of the Reg 18 Local Plan which specifically requires protection of high-quality agricultural land.

2) Green Belt Extension & Heritage Asset Protection During the formulation of the now withdrawn, 2019/2020 Local Plan TMBC was persuaded to allow circa 900 homes to be included on the southern part of Broadwater Farm as an Table 9 - List extension to the north of Kings Hill. This came with a 'trade-off' that the development would of Sites - East be limited by an extension of the Green Belt from west of West Malling town across to Wateringbury Road, East Malling. This provision seems to have as yet been omitted in the

43485921

0 Malling

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes. However, comments on specific live planning applications are Development Management matters.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into

consideration within the site analysis and site selection

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection

processes.

processes.

45336705

45338497

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre. [SITE REF: 59740 - BROADWATER FARM]

Comment noted

INCLUDES:

- * [ANNEX A*: ASSESSMENT OF THE ORIGIN OF WATER SUPPORTING CWYLLA POND WITHIN THE NEW BARNS AND BROADWATER FARM CONSERVATION AREA BY GWP ASSOCIATES]
- * [ANNEX B: AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION MEDWAY GAP AND VICINITY LOCAL PLAN: LAND AT EDEN FARM/BROADWATER FARM, WEST MALLING 1991]
- * [ANNEX C: MAP OF POTENTIAL AND ON-GOING DEVELOPMENT SITES IN AND AROUND BROADWATER FARM AND **SURROUNDING SETTLEMENTS**]
- * [ANNEX D (1, 2 & 3)*: HIGHWAYS TECHNICAL APPRAISAL & APPENDICES BY LES HENRY ASSOCIATES]
- * [ANNEX E*: BAG WILDLIFE SUB-COMMITTEE REPORT]
- * [ANNEX F*: WEST KENT BDAGER GROUP]
- * [ANNEX G*: BAG HERITAGE SUB-COMMITTEE REPORT]

Table 9 - List

0 Malling

of Sites - East * The documents associated with these Annex were originally submitted to TMBC as response to the consultation regarding Planning Application TM/21/02719 for the development of Broadwater Farm. 0 Malling

43485921

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre. I am writing to object to all proposed housing development sites in East Malling, West Malling and surrounding green Comment noted areas including King's Hill.

These areas are already ruined by over development. They feel crowded, polluted and cannot be allowed to deteriorate further. There is already a ridiculous amount of litter being generated and the area is increasingly beginning to feel unsafe.

Table 9 - List The impact of any new housing on the environment, character and rural nature of the area is irreversible. Any of Sites - East destruction of natural habitats, historic rural features is inexcusable. The green belt should be extended to fully 0 Malling encompass these areas and the land preserved for future generations.

45343521

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45344481

45346593

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45347233

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45348161

45348321

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[59448 59450] Comment noted Dear Sir / Madam, I wish to object to the inclusion of sites 59450 &59448 as potential future housing development land. When the Bradbourne Fields development was granted planning these areas were designated as green open space available for the enjoyment of the local community, and as such should be protected. Such areas are few and far apart. The land in question is used extensively by families for enjoyment and by numerous dog walkers, there being no other similar facilities for some distance. I understand that the Parish Council intends to ask that these two areas be deemed as Local Green Spaces, and my Table 9 - List wife and I fully support this. of Sites - East 0 Malling Yours faithfully, Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes. [X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. [X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green

Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local

roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

45348801

45349313

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45350689

45355361

consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Table 9 - List of Sites - East 0 Malling

0 Malling

45360737

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45361249

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45362049

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45362753

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

I would like to strongly suggest that the #59740 Broadwater Farm site is excluding from going forward to the next stage of the local plan this time. With all the recent objections to the submitted plans, including some data which was probably not known last time around, I do feel that there is an extremely strong case from excluding it. More specific points are as follows:

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes. However, comments on specific live planning applications are Development Management matters.

- * There would obviously be harm caused to the heritage assets in the nearby vicinity, which include listed buildings, Conservation Areas and landscape features
- * There would obviously be harm caused to the extensive and varied wildlife in the vicinity
- * There would obviously be harm caused to the aguifer

. - - - - - - - - - - - - - .

- * There would obviously be harm caused to the high grade agricultural land
- * There would obviously be harm caused to the local roads & infrastructure, which contain many Quiet Lanes and historical sunken roads, enjoyed for leisure by many local communities
- * Excluding this site would give us a real chance of retaining the unique individual villages and hamlets that we enjoy. However, included it, guarantees a coalescence of East Malling, West Malling, Kings Hill and nearby hamlets
- * The existing planning proposal for this site elicited over 1,500 objection responses from official consultees, TMBC residents and other interested parties - this cannot be ignored, it is such a strong statement from the community that this is not where they would like additional housing built. We have taken more than our fair share of development over the recent years.
- * Other infrastructure is already creaking and groaning with the current population specifically roads and the medical services
- * The existing planning proposal did not take in due consideration of the hydrogeology issues, these cannot be simply resolved. You only have to look at the flooding issues on the main roads on and around Kings Hill when it rains heavily to realise that further building on open land in this area is a terrible idea, just storing up problems for the future.

Table 9 - List * Although I understand that the houses and apartments need to go somewhere, I cannot fathom how there is not an of Sites - East alternate solution than to build on valuable high grade agricultural land.

45369697

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre. [site 59715]

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East I am writing to object to the proposal to develop the above site as the creeping urbanisation in this corner of England 0 Malling is destroying its amenity and character.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Comment noted

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

45375713

45370689

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into
consideration within the site analysis and site selection
processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre. [59740]

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Site 59740 - harm to conservation areas or harm to significance of conservation areas, proposed green belt, Table 9 - List countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non listed heritage assets, harm to quiet Lane and rural road of Sites - East networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, 0 Malling inadequate resources

> Thank you for the opportunity to respond to the consultation on TMBC's draft local plan options. I strongly object to the five options as drafted as they will increase development in already constrained areas in terms of local infrastructure. Most notably, this is in the form of already congested roads and lack of access to medical facilities (in particular, GP appointments and access to dentists).

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

I would also like to submit objections to the following specific sites as listed:

[59630, 59631]

Site 59630 - proposed green belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from AONB.

Table 9 - List

0 Malling

of Sites - East Site 59631 - existing public open space for Kings Hill, countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside 0 Malling of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads

45382209

45376737

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection [X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings processes. This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

> Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Table 9 - List of Sites - East 0 Malling

0 Malling

45386113

45384865

45383617

0 Malling

Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] Site 59424 Residential 164 dwellings woods end of Clearheart Lane

This development is rear of Redwell Grove, Bancroft Lane, Hollandbury Park, Cellini Walk, Alderwick Grove, Quindell Place, Clearheart Lane, McArthur Drive, Victory Drive.

Reasons for objections - DEFRA Forest Inventory, Ancient Woodland and TPO protected trees, over development with inadequate resources on Kings Hill, harm to protected species, outside of, the confines of existing developments, impact on local residences of main access, impact on wildlife corridor.

[X] Site 59531 Residential 13 dwellings Tower View Green South East

This development surrounds Tower View, Melrose Avenue Braeburn Way, Alfriston Grove, Bovarde Avenue

Reasons for objections - change of character of the area, traffic impact to and from Kings Hill centre, loss of public open space.

[X] Site 59534 Residential 18 dwellings Tower View Green North West

This development surrounds Tower View, Woodford Grove, Cardinal Walk, Baxter Way, Hazen Road, Kendall Avenue

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East Reasons for objections - Change of character of the area, traffic impact to/from Kings Hill centre, loss of public space.

45387521 0 Malling

[59636]

Objection

Access – Stickens Lane is renowned to be an extremely narrow, single dangerous country 'quiet' lane with limited passing which even the locals try and avoid! In one week alone it was impassable through one and a half tones of rubbish being fly tipped half way down and then by a fallen tree and debris of rocks from the cut out rock-faced banks which is a regular occurrence.

As you drive up Stickens Lane at the latter part of the day, you are completed blinded by the winter sun which is another dangerous hazard that developers would be unaware of.

This parcel of land is only suitable for grazing which is how it currently stands.

Water Pollution – The stream that runs adjacent to this site from Well Street (dating back to the Domesday Book) has Table 9 - List had water voles introduced to it and development could jeopardise the quality of the water and the biodiversity.

of Sites - East

45387777 0 Malling

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[Site reference Site 59726]

RESPONSE TO THE REG 18 LOCAL PLAN CONSULTATION

I regret that I am unable to respond through your preferred method; hence this letter, which I trust will suffice as an acceptable means of commenting on the Plan. I am acting on behalf of Hilden Enterprises, the owners of the land set out as Site 59726, being south of Hillberry Park, and which is on the east side of Wateringbury Road. The post code is ME19 6JG.

Hilden Enterprises is located at Hillberry House, Wateringbury Road, East Malling, West Malling, ME19 6JG. My details are at the heading to this letter, and I am a planning consultant.

I have viewed the Consultation Document, which is principally concerned with comments on the alternative strategies set out in the document, and discussed it with Hilden Enterprises.

I can advise that Site 59726 is ready to be brought forward for development. Part already has planning permission, and the whole site adjoins existing development to Table 9 - List the north and west, is located in an area where there are no environmental of Sites - East designations limiting new development, and is within reach of the services of East

45388257 45388225 Malling Malling, Kings Hill, and Watering bury.

45388321

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Comment noted

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45389441

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45391873

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45394305

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

.--. .-,

Strongly object to development on site 59740

This site is also Outline Application 21/02719/OAEA which was validated by TMBC on 8 October 2021. There are over 1000 objections to this development which are also applicable as the same site is now Site 59740 in Reg. 18 of the Local Plan. This site is described as Mixed Use.

I support all the objections from Broadwater Action Group.

This site is "best and most versatile agricultural land" which the government has a duty to protect from development as we need to produce our own food and reduce imports. This site is sustainable as a viable farm.

This site has Quiet Lanes.

Ancient sunken roads with ancient hedgerows.

This site has 2 Conservation Areas.

- * New Barns and Broadwater.
- * Mill street and Well street Conservation Area.

Listed buildings

The Cwylla, and stream.

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East These all need recognition and protection.

42720481

0 Malling

However, comments on specific live planning applications are Development Management matters.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection

processes. However, comments on specific live planning applications are Development Management matters.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

0 Malling

However, comments on specific live planning applications are Development Management matters.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45399169

However, comments on specific live planning applications are Development Management matters.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

However, comments on specific live planning applications are Development Management matters.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45399777

However, comments on specific live planning applications are Development Management matters.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Quiet Lane Network and Footpaths. Coalescence of East Malling and Kings Hill. Harm to heritage assets including listed consideration within the site analysis and site selection buildings – We bought our Grade 2 listed rural property in Well Street, opposite Broadwater Farm as we own horses and needed the quiet and safety of our immediate surrounding 'quiet lanes' when riding out to the adjoining bridle ways. Our quiet lanes need protecting as they have already become very busy from increased local traffic and delivery vehicles. We appreciate the footpaths around the woods and orchards which separate our hamlet in East Malling from Kings Hill Estate for dog walking. We cannot afford to lose the identity of our villages by the loss of the Green wedge.

Impact on Nature Conservation and Wildlife. High Grade Farm Land – We have an orchard which produces a bumper crop of organic Bramley apples due to the surrounding high grade farm land. We rely on an endangered population of bees to pollinate the apple trees. We presently have an abundance of wildlife in our area. The introduction of the water vole, newts, bats, owls, woodpeckers, badgers and butterflies to name but a few. This is their habitat which

Critical stress on existing road and infrastructure. Environmental harm with risk of groundwater pollution due to hydrogeology – Well Street is built upon underground springs. The lanes and surrounding orchards are susceptible to flooding. As a local resident in Well street I have first-hand experience of all the surrounding lanes flooding after heavy rain; Pikey lane, The Heath, Well Street and Broadwater lane where I have not been able to drive a car out until the water has subsided. I'm sure this will only get worse due to climate change.

At a time where we all have a responsibility to protect our planet we should start with our immediate environment and community and should be planning to plant more tress and protecting our ever decreasing natural world, not turning our beautiful historic countryside and surroundings into a concrete jungle with an outcome that can never be

Table 9 - List reversed.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

I register support for Option 1 to extend the Greenbelt.

[Site 59636 - Stick Lane and Well Street]

needs protecting.

Access to stick in line is too narrow it's a quiet lane people enjoy walking down lane. Horses graze in that field, it Table 9 - List should be greenbelt, you're taking all the nature away once it's gone it's gone forever, we have water volesin the of Sites - East stream very rare. it's very quiet if you build houses they'll be like pollution noise traffic the roads are too small. It is a

45414657 0 Malling

45387777

conservation area

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

42706049

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45400385

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to guiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in processes. Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads. 0 Malling

45430337

Comment noted

This document offers a "bullet point" overview of our response to the above consultation. We have only included bullet points for which we have an insight; others will cover areas relevant to their own concerns and locations.

Introduction

Section A of this response gives an overview of our areas of concern regarding the Regulation 18 Local Plan.

Executive Summary

Detailed below are our responses to the call for sites identified as part of the urban capacity study for potential housing.

Our main comments are:

- * Creation of new Local Green Spaces to retain recreational areas and "green lung" for health purposes: The Carnation Green area should be preserved as a Local Green Space as well as East Malling Playing Fields and Warren Woods Nature Reserve.
- * Requesting that the Green Belt is extended eastwards from West Malling By Pass. This will protect the character of East Malling and West Malling and prevent its coalescence. It would protect the countryside between the three communities including the network of quiet lanes and rural public paths, the conservations areas within it, and Table 9 List continue to provide a "green" area appreciated by the residents of the adjoining built up area.

of Sites - East

45430305 0 Malling

Our countryside is precious and intrinsic part of the character of our villages. It provides a physical separation [Site 59740]

Comment noted

I would like to let you know how I feel about building houses on land that we need to keep. What's going on in the Table 9 - List country at the moment I need to grow their own food. once this is gone it's gone forever. light pollution and traffic of Sites - East West malling can't cope with the traffic now can't get parked build them somewhere else where the land is not agricultural land.

I wish to comment on the above sites within the area which I live.

Both these areas are very important to all the residents on this estate and people in the surrounding areas.

These areas are important for the following reasons:

Almost all the dogs which live on this estate get there regular walks there, it is a meeting place to stop and talk and make new friends. Many older people take a daily walk within these areas and it is a short cut to the local shops. Children ride there bikes and scooters and people picnics there during the summer. It's has become very much like a village green, and we all pay large amounts of money to keep the greens and surrounding areas respectable.

This/these areas are very important for peoples well being both physical and mental a known fact.

Should these greens go, the nearest areas to walk would be properly be about 3/4 mile away not good on a rainy day or people with mobility problems and who wants to walk around housing estates.

There are many traffic problems at the entrance of Bradbourne Park, when you try to turn right out of the estate, this occurs several times a day with the amount of traffic queuing at the lights. It has been brought to the attention of KCC , in fact I have on numerous occasion's had to turn left and do a de tour of a mile or so.

Lastly I would like to point out that I was advised by my Doctor, that the air pollution on the A20 is not good, as there are several sets of traffic lights running parallel with the estate and there is a permanent traffic jam/ stationery traffic churning out bad vapour from exhausts.

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East It looks like, there are going to be many large housing estates within this area in years to come, so I'm asking you to 0 Malling consider this small but very important t part of our estate not to be built on.

I am writing to object to the over-development of our area.

- * I object to Kings Hill being treated as a town. It is not an urban area.
- * There will be loss of amenity and recreational use of woodlands.

Development of Ancient Woodland will have a deleterious impact on wildlife and wild plants.

- * I particularly object to site 59740. Broadwater Farm is good quality farmland. There are also heritage assets which will be harmed. The scale of this development is at an unacceptable level.
- * There is already excessive traffic on the A228 through Kings Hill.

Site 59671 at the Wood Yard is on a particularly dangerous section of the road.

Table 9 - List * I object to the large development proposed at site 59797. This will put great strain on roads and services.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

* Access roads to Kings Hill are inadequate.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection

processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection

infrastructure demands will be reflected within the

Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

processes. The local plan development strategy and associated

45431297

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45430529

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[SITE REF: 59740] Noted. However, comments on specific live planning applications are Development Management matters. **OBJECTION** 59740 Broadwater Farm To allow any development on this site would cause harm to heritage assets, the demise of wildlife, the los of valuable agricultural land, increased congestion on already congested roads, and increased burden on infrastructure - schools, hospitals, GP surgeries, police and fire brigade. Further should this application be allowed the Quiet Lanes and rural road network would be heavily impacted and a nonsense made of "Quiet Lanes" as a scheme, due to inevitable increased traffic. In addition, with increased traffic movement, air quality will be reduced. There is a very real danger that the identity of local villages and hamlets will be swallowed up in one great urban sprawl should this application be allowed. Table 9 - List of Sites - East There have already been over 1500 objection responses from official consultees TMBC residents and other interested 45434561 parties which must be taken into account. 0 Malling [SITE REF: 59630] Comment noted **OBJECTION** 59630 Amber Lane Table 9 - List The objections raised to the applications for the foregoing sites are restated here. In addition it is hard to understand of Sites - East why development should be allowed here as it will inevitably hurry the demise of wild life through loss of habitat, 45434561 0 Malling destruction of woodland and a natural social amenity. Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into [SITE REF: 59824] consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes. **OBJECTION** 59824 Clare Lane Residential development here would be a disaster. Access would have to be from 'Clare Lane where the sight lines are very poor, with the narrowing of the lane at one end and the very sharp bend at the other. It would be dangerous in the extreme. The layby into Clare Park is extremely busy with school buses and cars collecting and dropping off children during school terms. The lay by in front of Nos. 1 - 7 is a private layby and is not for use for casual parking. Any proposed residential development will mean, yet again, the loss of use of valuable farmland and with the promise of reduced global food production, agricultural land lost to residential development is just the result of greedy Table 9 - List developers lining there pockets and certainly of no benefit to our village. of Sites - East 45434561 0 Malling Any objections listed on the other sites listed above also apply to Clare Lane.

		[SITE REF: 59698]	Comment noted
		OBJECTION	
		59698 Broadwater Road	
45434561	Table 9 - List of Sites - East	Any development here is totally out of the question given the access. The lanes are narrow and totally unsuitable for anything other than very light local traffic.	Comment noted
	0 Malling	Again objections listed under the headings of foregoing sites apply here.	
		[SITE REF: 59636]	
		OBJECTION	
		59636 Stickens Lane	
		Stickens Lane is so narrow. It is only just over a car's width and to contemplate development is laughable. There is no safe access.	
		Again, this is farmland and we cannot afford to lose it. The lane itself is a haven for wildlife which would be lost if developed. It is also a social amenity well used by walkers, hikers and bicyclists. This would be lost if development were allowed.	
	Table 9 - List of Sites - Fast	The identity and definition of East Malling as a village would be lost should the application for this site and the Clare	
45434561	0 Malling	Lane site be allowed. It is already compromised by the proposed development of the Winterfield Lane 40 acre site.	
		[SITE REF: 59715]	Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.
		OBJECTION	
		59715 Church Farm Wateringbury Road	
		There is already a severe traffic problem in Chapel Street/Wateringbury Road. This is admitted by the fact that there is traffic calming table just after the junction of Gillette Lane with Wateringbury Road together with a "pinch" at no. 164 Wateringbury Road. This must be an official admission that there is already a severe problem with traffic volume and	
	Table O. Par	speeding. On this ground alone the application should be refused.	
	Table 9 - List of Sites - East	To allow it would erode the village character, loss of heritage with the destruction of orchards, all to the detriment of	
45434561	0 Malling	local residents.	

are not within proportion to the area.

In general the area is not made to accommodate this many houses, the GP surgery already has too many patients on their books, there is no local nhs dentist. There is only 1 pub and parking is terrible. If the area needs to expand than this sort of infrastructure should expand with it, not just houses, but also facilities to accommodate the people that will be living here.

There is only access to Kings Hill from the a228. On Thursday the 20th of October there was an incident near Kent Road on the a228 towards Tonbridge and the whole of Kings Hill got closed off by traffic. What Kings Hill need is extra access roads on other sides of Kings Hill. Towards East Malling and wateringbury would be a good idea. The Malling school is our closest secondary school, yet it is oversubscribed and several children living on Kings Hill could not get a place fir this academic year. I know one of the plans has a secondary school on it, but it will be years before that is built and we are already struggling. I oppose to all building in the area unless these issues with access to Kings Hill, a Gp surgery, dentist and education are sorted.

I strongly object agains building on the following sites on top of the general objection written above.

Site 59531 13 dwellings on Tower view.

This bit of green is a recreational grounds we have on kings hill, kids play there all the, it would be a real shame to loose that. Building there would change the character of Kings Hill. The tower view area is already one of the busiest in Kings Hill with 3 supermarktets alongside it, so part from residential traffic it is heavy in traffic visiting the supermarkets. Additional house and building will just add to the congestion on that road.

Table 9 - List Site 59534 18 Dwellings on Tower view.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

This bit of green is a recreational grounds we have on kings hill, kids play there all the, it would be a real shame to

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The local plan development strategy and associated infrastructure demands will be reflected within the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

45434721

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45438785

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45439169

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[59740]

Please note that I am in support of Broadwater Action Group's submissions regarding this site. This part of our county has had a huge number of new homes built on iin the past few years - with building still ongoing. The infrastructure to support building already in progress is not adequate - roads, GP surgeries, public transport and numerous other services are already stretched without adding extra burden.

I don't want to see our green areas, which are vital for each and every one of us, particularly for our mental health, Table 9 - List disappear under concrete, bricks and tarmac. The Green Belt was put in place for a reason and I believe that rather of Sites - East than being built on, it should be extended. I would like to register my support for extending the Green Belt boundary 0 Malling from West Malling through to Wateringbury Road, East Malling.

45439905

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Comment noted

45440641

Dear Tonbridge and Malling Council

I wish to convey my concern over the number of possible housing sites that are within the new Local Plan, in and around the villages of East Malling and Larkfield. As a resident of East Malling I have huge concerns over the following developments that have been put forward as possible sites for housing. Our village which is used as a traffic run for thousands of motorists daily, will become even more of a health hazard for local residents. With air quality being so poor now, by developing more pockets of countryside and orchard land in and around East Malling, these developments will only add to the horrendous pollution levels to the village. The extreme levels of pollution now caused by the traffic movements is going to get worse if even one of these developments is given the go ahead.

East Malling village has high grade agricultural land and has many orchard land providing a variety of fruits to the population. Losing this agricultural land to housing must not happen, we need our local orchards not only to provide fruit for our future but also for air quality and CO2 absorption.

We want to keep our villages separate and not become one large spread of villages without separation. We want to keep the rural aspect of East Malling and protect the countryside and extend the Green Belt eastwards of Wateringbury. The latest local plan has so many pockets of countryside and orchards up for development, we have to protect this land, as once it has gone then we cannot get it back.

We do not need these additional houses in our village, this is not sustainable. There are no spaces at the local doctors surgeries, no dentist places available, schools are already over subscribed. Where are new residents going to get there Table 9 - List children into school? Where can they register for a doctors appointment? They cannot as we are already of Sites - East oversubscribed.

45442081

0 Malling

0 Malling

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into
consideration within the site analysis and site selection
processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[SITE REF: 59630]

Example reasons for objections

- * Proposed Green Belt
- * Countryside
- * Harm to the aquifer for streams
- Table 9 List * Outside of the confines of existing developments

of Sites - East * Traffic restricted on local roads

45435777 0 Malling

45441185

* Visual Impact from AONB

[SITE REF: 59631]

Example reasons for objections

- * Existing Public Open Space for Kings Hill
- * Countryside
- * Harm to quiet lane and rural road networks
- Table 9 List * Outside of the confines of existing developments

of Sites - East * Loss of agricultural land

45435777 0 Malling * Traffic restricted on local roads

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[SITF	RFF.	597401
JJIIL	11L1 .	JJ/401

Example reasons for objections

- * Harm to conservation areas or harm to significance of conservation areas
- * Proposed Green Belt
- * Countryside
- * Harm to the aquifer for streams
- * Harm to non-listed heritage assets
- * Harm to guiet lane and rural road networks
- * Outside of the confines of existing developments
- * Loss of agricultural land

Table 9 - List * Traffic restricted on local roads

of Sites - East * Inadequate resources - KCC indicate suggested school location not economic, no retail

45435777 0 Malling centre

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

L	,	,

I have been having a look at the proposed planning applications for Kings Hill, Wateringbury and East Malling and I understand today is the deadline for submitting my views.

Looking at all these applications, it is a very frightening thought that there are proposals for 6,000 houses to be built in addition to the huge number of houses that have already been built in these areas.

I oppose every application here for the following reasons:

A totally inadequate road network and infrastructure.

Struggling health services, with a woeful number of patients per doctor.

Very little sensible secondary education facilities and very little public transport to get them to the schools in Maidstone and Tonbridge.

Emergency vehicles cannot get to Kings Hill at peak times now, let alone if all these houses were built.

Economic costs of people sitting in traffic jams is not taken into account.

The doctor's surgery is completely overloaded, there are not enough schools and as for the road network, it is impossible to get in and out of Kings Hill, especially at peak times as the roads are insufficient for the traffic volumes.

I notice two applications have been put forward for either side of the A228 going down to Mereworth and I have seen Table 9 - List another document that says if the A228 were to be widened the houses near Kent Street would need to be of Sites - East demolished. Well, if you build on both sides you cannot widen the road in the future which cements in the current traffic problems and the worsening of these if these proposals go ahead. You don't need to be a scientist to work out [Site 59740 - Broadwater Farm]

> I am sending this message because I am a member of the Broadwater action group and strongly endorse the groups submission.

In addition I am very concerned that the vast majority of the sites are situated in the NE of the borough, this areas infrastructure cannot cope today let alone with the proposed development.

Table 9 - List

0 Malling

of Sites - East The green belt as submitted in the original plan should be reinstated Kings Hill, West & East Malling should not 0 Malling become a housing estate.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes. The local plan development strategy and associated infrastructure demands will be reflected within the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

Comment noted

45443585

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings. This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings.

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road

Nalling networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

Comment noted

Having seen the Local Plan, drawn by Tonbridge and Malling Borough Council I feel seriously concerned about the mental state of the local planners. The plan borders either on complete lunacy, or a distasteful joke. The sites earmarked for potential development include number of car parks in West Malling (used by residents on a daily basis to their full capacity), number of fields and village greens in East Malling, and even a car park at Larkfield Leisure Centre (again- used to it's full capacity).

The approach shown by the planning officers proves short-sightedness and complete disregard for local communities, who are already struggling with lack of sufficient services (waste collections are being regularly missed, the access to GP is bordering on impossible, the schools are overcrowded and narrow local roads like Lucks Hill, Clare Lane, Mill Street or Church Street in East Malling are plainly dangerous and unprepared for the levels of increased traffic the further development will cause).

Furthermore, in the wake of the war in Ukraine causing global food shortages, the process of turning local farms and orchards with the most fertile soils in the whole of the country into housing estates (i.e. so called 40 acres, Broadwater Farm and a number other green fields), appears somehow irresponsible and ill-advised, while so called brown fields in the local area (i.e. ex-Aylesford Newsprint site) remain unutilised. Is Kent not meant to be the Garden of England? How can we justify that? And how can we justify the irreversible damage this process will cause to the environment and to the local heritage (the village has number of listed buildings, oast houses, it has not been designed to be urbanised to the extent proposed in the plans). Narrow country lanes can not take any more traffic, this is simply unsustainable and will have a catastrophic impact on the local community.

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East Both West and East Malling should be protected and regarded as the areas of outstanding beauty, and protected from 0 Malling further development, otherwise the historical significance of this place will be irreversibly lost.

45444545

We would like to express to you, our great sadness and utter dismay, that 300 new housing sites have been put forward by the Borough Council. Our worry is that however strong the opposing evidence is against these sites, they will still get permission to go ahead anyway.

The impact these developments are having on the countryside, heritage, agriculture, rural beauty and wildlife in our villages is very destructive and once destroyed this can never be reclaimed.

We have already seen dramatic changes to the landscape in recent years. East Malling is loosing its village status and is almost connected to the urban sprawl that now runs form Maidstone to Larkfield and has almost joined us from Kings Hill. We have the massive Broadwater Farm planning application currently being contested.

Our local public services are already very strained, it is hard to imagine what will happen when the new developments are built. Hospital services are pushed to breaking point, the ambulance service is struggling, social care is in crisis and GP surgeries and dentists are full to capacity. It is easy for developers to say they will include doctors surgeries, dentists, schools etc on their sites but these have to be filled with qualified workers.

Lets address the problem of traffic and air pollution. As a local villager we are very concerned with the high volume of traffic which already passes through the country lanes of East Malling/ West Malling / Wateringbury etc and other adjacent villages. Our roads and country lanes were never designed to cope with the amount of traffic they are now bombarded with. We often walk from East Malling to West Malling which is becoming quite dangerous due to the increased volume of cars. The speed which some people travel, and the lack of footpaths makes this area very Table 9 - List hazardous. At the current time my husband and I already refrain from walking to West Malling at busy times for our of Sites - East own safety (i.e. school run time, rush hour).

45457985

0 Malling

[Site 59740 - Broadwater Farm]

My name is [redacted], as a resident who lives at [address redacted] I would like to register my objection to the above proposals listed.

My reasons are listed as follows:

This would have multiple negative impacts on the environment surrounding the area we live in by damaging natural habitats and eco systems. Pollution would also increase as residential areas expand which would impact all residents, including children.

The infrastructure to support residential expansion doesn't exist. The schools are already over subscribed by current residents, as are the GP surgeries, dentists and so on.

Continued proposed expansion of residential properties in this part of Kent is disproportionate to the rest of the Table 9 - List county, this cannot continue without challenge.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

Thank you for your consideration in my objections to this proposal.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes. The local plan development strategy and associated infrastructure demands will be reflected within the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

Comment noted

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45443393

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

> Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in consideration within the site analysis and site selection Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

0 Malling

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in consideration within the site analysis and site selection Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

of Sites - East

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into processes.

45460129

45459937

45460289

0 Malling

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in consideration within the site analysis and site selection Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into processes.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

of Sites - East

0 Malling 45460289

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45462721

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45465697

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45466561

consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[SITE REF: 59449] Comment noted

I am writing to you to voice my concern on the proposed planning on the communal green space on Carnation Green, East Malling.

This is an incredibly important space for everyone surrounding it and beyond. And I strongly object to any potential planning this Green may encounter.

- Not only is it a safe place for children and families to play and socialise, it encourages a healthy lifestyle. Especially to those who either have small gardens or no gardens at all. Which is the situation many of the surrounding residents have.
- It is a proven fact that green spaces increase people's happiness and decrease stress levels.
- The estate is still prominently council owned and a lot of people do not have the resources to travel to further open safe green spaces.

Table 9 - List - There is a large amount of wildlife that also benefit from this green, dozens of varieties of birds enjoying it's of Sites - East surroundings. The 3 large trees are important for birds, bees and insects. And lowers pollution from the main roads 0 Malling just a few yards away.

45467105

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

> Reason for Objection: Poor accessibility to services render site not sustainable as appropriate location. Loss of countryside. Development outside of established settlement boundaries. Loss of green asset; traffic impact on surrounding constrained/over-capacity infrastructure of East Malling, Wateringbury and Teston.

Site 59450 and Site 59448 – Objection to inclusion as potential development site

These two site are critically important as gateway and place-making features to the Bradbourne Fields estate and are regularly used by local residents. Their loss would adversely affect the character of the area and represent a substantial urbanisation of the area and loss of a green asset.

I would strongly argue that both sites should be removed from the list of potential development sites and instead be protected by designation as Local Green Space.

Site 59631 – Objection to inclusion as potential development site

This site represents a significant intrusion into the important countryside that characterises and provides separation of the village settlements of Teston, Wateringbury and East Malling. It plays a key role in maintaining separation from the now major development of Kings Hill. The location is poorly served by transport and other services, lacks safe pedestrian access on Wateringbury Road which itself is badly constrained by traffic limitations at East Malling and Wateringbury crossroads as well as the low quality network of country lanes and quiet lanes around the Heath. As such the site is in our view scores poorly on sustainability. We therefore consider it should be removed from the list of potential sites on grounds of countryside loss and lack of sustainability.

Site 59636 – Objection to inclusion as potential development site

This site has poor road access from restricted routes of Mill Street, Stickens Lane, Winterfield Lane etc. The area Table 9 - List provides a significant part of land characterised by agricultural and countryside uses that establish the nature and of Sites - East separation of settlements of West Malling and East Malling with a consequent risk of subsequent coalescence to the detriment of both. In our view this should be included within the extension of Green Belt designation and this site

45467873

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

0 Malling

[59740] I strongly object to development site 59740	Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.
As a member I support all the objections submitted by Broadwater Action Group.	
The site is part of the only remaining green space between of East Malling, West Malling and Kings Hill and should be protected to maintain their identities and prevent urban sprawl.	

Development would result in a loss of open space and rural enjoyment of footpaths and quiet lanes.

The setting of existing listed buildings and the two conservation areas would be diminished.

I agree with the original local plan proposed extension of the Green Belt to Wateringbury Road. This would protect the area from future development and prevent the complete urbanisation of what was once a beautiful part of the Kent countryside.in this over developed area.

Table 9 - List

0 Malling

of Sites - East Before developing such large areas in the countryside I would support much smaller developments on brown field 0 Malling sites and within existing villages.

> Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45471553

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre. [SITE REF: 59740]

> I object to site 59740 being considered in the local plan and I do not believe that site 59740 should go forward to the next stage of the local plan for the following reasons:

- ~ Loss of high grade farm land
- ~ Harm to many heritage assets including listed buildings, Conservation Area and landscape features
- ~ Coalescence of East Malling, West Malling, Kings Hill and nearby hamlets
- ~ Harm to the underground water sources and lack of consideration of hydrogeology issues
- ~ Harm to Quiet Lanes and rural road network
- ~ Critical stress on existing road, medical & other infrastructure

Table 9 - List

0 Malling

of Sites - East ~ The existing consultation for this site elicited over 1,500 objection responses from official consultees, TMBC 0 Malling residents and other interested parties which should be taken into account.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

45472705

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45473889

consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45475553

42141985

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45477473

45477729

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45478177

45487521

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45488257

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45489601

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45491489

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[Broadwate	r Farm	- Site	597401
ı Dı Gauwatc	ı ı aıııı	- 3110	JJ/401

I would like to recommend that the Broadwater Farm site is removed from the call for sites list recently published by the parish council.

Having attended the recent parish council meeting I a struggling to understand why there are listings on the call for sites that are clearly not approved by the landowner, namely those listed on the Kings Hill Golf Course.

I do feel that there is case from excluding all of these sites.

- * Harm will be caused to the heritage areas on the Broadwater site
- * The conservation areas will disappear, including wildlife and habitats
- * Quiet lanes will be disrupted these are regularly used by walkers and visitors to the county
- * The infrastructure and road access will decimate these quite English landscapes

Table 9 - List

0 Malling

0 Malling

of Sites - East This list is not exhaustive and I am sure that you will appreciate the importance of keeping our countryside and heritage in tact.

45499585

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45504321

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45509025

Comment noted.

* Site 59449 is specific green space that local residents use on a daily basis to enable their children to play in a safe space, dog walkers also use multiple times per day, this is particularly beneficial for lone women and children who walk their dogs in this area.

o The area is immediately located next to two apartment blocks, both of which house children, there will be no local green space amenity within close proximity for these children to be supervised in a safe place.

o Mothers bring their young children and babies down to the Green on a regular basis for picnics.

o Fathers with their children play football and other sports.

o Green space helps prevent anti-social behaviour and promotes healthy lifestyle through play and engagement and helps to fight against mental health issues and promotes the health of developing children.

o Green space and trees help improve air quality by reducing urban temperatures.

o Vegetation absorbs carbon dioxide and helps offset greenhouse omissions helping to significantly reduce premature air related deaths

Table 9 - List o Also stores carbon helping to mitigate climate change and reduce the risk of flooding of Sites - East

45509121

0 Malling

o Bats are known to live in this green space and has been submitted to the national bat conservation group

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into
consideration within the site analysis and site selection
processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of 0 Malling existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of Table 9 - List existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. of Sites - East

45510273 0 Malling

45509313

45510145

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45510817

45511489

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45511777

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

> Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45512929

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45513185

42330433

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45524193

consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

Too much traffic already. Huge increase for 157 houses. Loss of green space.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

Local facilities will be over developed. Meaning a loss to existing residents.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Table 9 - List Kings Hill already suffering from over development. Excess traffic, not enough doctors, No facilities.

of Sites - East

45527009

45525633

0 Malling

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45496225

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45534753

45536289

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

> Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in consideration within the site analysis and site selection Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

Table 9 - List

0 Malling

of Sites - East Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of 0 Malling existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into processes.

45539201

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to guiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

Table 9 - List of Sites - East 0 Malling

0 Malling

45070721

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

45543713

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45548257

45566785

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45567137

45579841

consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45592513

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road

0 Malling networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[SITE REFS: 59448 AND 595450]

Unbelievable! What small mind comes up with building on car parks and greens. The same small mind I guess, that doesn't consider the affect that 15941 new homes will have on the roads, schools and hospitals in the area.

We moved into Reeves Court in December 2015 as we liked the layout of the estate, the mix of house styles and the cleanliness of it all. Then there was the approach to the houses from New Road, how impressed we were. The greens are enjoyed by the residents, they can walk their dogs, their children can play or, like my wife and I that don't walk far, can take a stroll around the green.

I don't imagine we will be the only ones who would feel cheated and deceived, in particular those residents backing Table 9 - List onto the greens, if this site is approved.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

As the Parish Council have stated, these greens are important landscape features.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

Comment noted.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Table 9 - List of Sites - East

0 Malling

45596801

45595297

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45597665

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre

45603073

processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

45603649 0 Malling

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green

Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road

Table 9 - List networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

of Sites - East

45608193

0 Malling

59740. Broadwater Farm - 112.75 hectares - mixed use

This site is identical to that of the yet to be determined 2021 planning application (21/02719), except for the omission of the area of land to the west of the bypass which was to be an open space. The site is outside the confines of West Malling and Kings Hill and is therefore designated as countryside. NPPF Para 174 applies 'planning decision should contribute to and enhance the natural and local environment by recognising the intrinsic character and beauty of the countryside'.

The site impacts on both the New Barns and Broadwater Farm Conservation Area and the Mill Street Conservation Area.

The Kings Hill development was originally agreed to remain within the confines of the former airfield. The extent of the proposed site would cause unacceptable coalescence of Kings Hill with the urban areas of West Malling and East Malling. The quantum of houses that could be accommodated on the site would cause severe congestion in the local road network, and in particular to the Quiet Lanes in the area.

The site is in an area of high grade agricultural land. Footnote 58 of the NPPF states "Where significant development of agricultural land is demonstrated to be necessary, areas of poorer quality land should be preferred to those of a higher quality".

The existing planning application is for 900 houses. KCC therefore require two vehicular accesses. It is vital that neither creates harm to the Conservation Areas of New Barns and Broadwater Farm and Mill Street and that the accesses are directly to the existing Kings Hill urban area.

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East Other concerns are the harms to the aquifer for streams into East Malling and to non-listed heritage assets.

25349153 0 Malling

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into

consideration within the site analysis and site selection

processes. However, comments on specific live planning

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection

processes.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

45619809

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

For the following reasons:-

This area is open countryside rich with wildlife and local beauty that divides East Malling and West Malling communities.

This area Provides open space for both East & West Malling residents for the much needed recreational area for exercise, walking, dog walking, family time and for the much needed 'well being' space for mental health.

The local area is also very popular with sports facilities for all ages young and old.

The proposed area leading off the Wateringbury Road also has very important issues which is detrimental to residential housings development

No Pavements

No Lighting

No Bus Service

No access to schools -The fields leading across to Kings Hill are pitch black after dark far too dangerous for young children to walk unattended.

This is already a very busy road leading to a bottle neck of East Malling heritage high street and a very congested Table 9 - List cross roads at Wateringbury and roundabout at Mereworth

of Sites - East

0 Malling 45621505

No Doctors Surgery

Comment noted

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45622817

45623681

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road Table 9 - List networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

of Sites - East 0 Malling

0 Malling

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into
consideration within the site analysis and site selection
processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre. The two green spaces indicated above, and which have been included in the local plan for possible residential development are intrinsic to the wellbeing of the Bradbourne Fields estate. They are the only green spaces near to the estate and are extensively used by children and parents as recreational areas. I therefore object to these areas being Table 9 - List included in the plan.

Comment noted.

of Sites - East 45624513 0 Malling

0 Malling

0 Malling

45624353

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road Table 9 - List networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads. of Sites - East

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

45624833

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45627777

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Comment noted

I am writing to object to Carnation Green in East Malling being considered for housing.

This is a small village green in a built up, mostly housing association and rented, area. This is the only bit of green space in this estate. If it is taken away, there are no longer any green areas in this estate. Which is bad for mental health, physical health and also will have a negative impact on antisocial behaviour on the area.

Table 9 - List

0 Malling

of Sites - East It is ridiculous that this area would even be considered when it is clear it would have such a damaging impact to the 0 Malling wellbeing of the residents of that estate.

> Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45631425

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre. I am writing to you with regard to my objection to include the two greens, on your site numbers above, for further housing.

Comment noted

I am in complete dismay that this area has even been considered as a potential site for housing. I have lived at Bradbourne Fields since my property was built in 2001 and there was no mention of these greens being used for this purpose in the future.

These greens are used by my family constantly. My daughters both meet their friends there after school and enjoy sitting on the grass to have a chat, which is good for their well-being and it also gets them away from their screens. We also enjoy taking our dog out for a walk round this area, which we have now been doing since we purchased our first dog in 2005.

It is also a beautiful area to drive down with the trees either side of the road and the open ambience the greens give. To close this off for housing, for me, and I'm sure many others on this estate, would be a disaster and it would make the whole estate feel cluttered.

Table 9 - List

0 Malling

of Sites - East I strongly object to these greens being used for further housing and would hope that they are not carried forward as a potential site 0 Malling

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East Please reconsider building in East Malling Kent is now becoming a town and the small villages will slowly disappear if 0 Malling you allow this to happen please please reconsider

Comment noted

45632865

45633185

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into
consideration within the site analysis and site selection
processes.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

> Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45635489

0 Malling

Comment noted

Having received your information leaflet regarding possible housing sites in the East Malling Area, we felt the need to contact you.

We live on the Bradbourne estate, & feel that both site 59450 & site 59448 should most definitely be considered as local green spaces! With the craziness of the past few years - these areas have been imperative to us & our young family! As we are sure many people have agreed, they were so greatly appreciated & used throughout this time.

However, even before COVID & since, we still use these lovely areas to get us & our children out in nature (just last week collecting for autumn crafts - over the half term period). We often see many of our neighbours out walking their dogs & mummies out with their babies, not to mention the older couples that enjoy a stroll through this area. So the usage by the residents of this estate alone is high! Please take this into consideration throughout your decision process. As we are sure you would agree, the influence of nature on everyone's mental health has been quite clearly proven!

We also wish you to consider the absolute inconvenience of such projects, considering we only have one way in & out of the estate! The stress & unrest this could cause, again could greatly effect everyone's mental health.

Table 9 - List We thank you for carefully considering our points, & for taking the time to read this email. of Sites - East

45635585

0 Malling

0 Malling

With quite a few options, we hope you feel compelled to leave us our beautiful green space.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

____,

I live at Kings Hill, not far from the proposed development on 59740 Broadwater Farm.

My objections to the Broadwater Farm development are:

1) Building on a farm land - Broadwater Farm is a working farm, not a wasteland or a former airfield. This proposed development would mean destroying hundreds of apple trees that are covered with apples every year. It has a fertile soil that is suitable for farming. We are on a verge of climate change where we should be planting trees and not destroying them. Also with Brexit and all the food shortages we should aim for food self-sufficiency and not destroying one of our food sources based in the UK. I believe the only reason why this working orchard hasn't been included in the green belt so far it's only due to the incompetence of the council to submit the Local plan on time.

2) General practice - I live at Kings hill and my family and I are registered at the local GP. With closing the practice at West Malling few years ago and increasing numbers of people from other near by new developments using our GP at Kings Hill it has been extremely difficult to get GP appointments for myself and my small children. Our GP is currently oversubscribed and understaff for the amount of people they are already serving. On a number of occasions I was unable to get an appointment or be even contacted by on duty doctor only to be advised to call 111 or go to A&E as all the appointments are already taken for the day. As we have seen Leybourne Chase had a building built for the purpose to house a GP to cover their development only to be repurposed as they were unable in the last 8 years to find doctors an staff to fill it. Kings Hill surgery has been forced to serve Leybourne Chase as well now making it even harder to get seen by the GP. To build a building suitable for Medical centre is one thing to be able to have doctors and staff in it to operate it is quite another. Kings Hill practice will be unable to and should be seen as criminal to allow such development to go ahead as it will mean putting people's lives at risk due to insufficient local services and filling up A&E with minor illnesses.

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East 3) Biodiversity - Broadwater Farm is full of biodiversity. It has an orchard, fields, water reservoir, birds including birds of prey, Robins, game and others. The flora and fauna of that area should be classed as a green belt not be destroyed

59715 Ivy Farm. Unacceptable loss of orchards. Access is directly through East Malling village narrow streets which cannot cope even now.

59636. Stickens Lane. Valuable green belt. Access only through narrow country lanes already designated Quiet Lanes.

59698 & 5924. Clare Lane. Unacceptable loss of farming land. Access is directly onto Clare Lane which has a very narrow dangerous pavement-less section outside Clare Cottages at west end, and into the narrow Mill Street at the other. Totally unsuitable.

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East 59631 &59726. Wateringbury Road. More green fields lost. Access is only through the narrow East Malling village 0 Malling streets to the north, and Teston & Wateringbury villages to the south.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes. The local plan development strategy and associated infrastructure demands will be reflected within the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

45635777

There is already an active planning application for this site for 52 dwelling (22/01570/OA) which is pending review/ decision. The local plan submission is requesting double the number of dwellings than what is included in the planning applications are Development Management matters. application, yet the developer under application 22/01570/OA is stating that the site achieves net biodiversity by inclusion of a pear orchard/ wildlife areas. It can only be assumed that the extra 50 dwellings are proposed to be built on this ecological area that is being used by the developer to justify net biodiversity. It is important if 52 dwelling are approved for this active planning application that no further residential properties are built on wildlife / green areas on this site that is being used in the applicant's net bio-diversity calculations.

Additionally, site 59715 is not suitable for a large housing estate for the following reasons:

- The site is adjacent to East Malling conservation area and many heritage assets are in close proximity and would be adversely affected.
- The site is located at the rural gateway to East Malling which has stood for hundreds of years. East Malling historically has strong heritage links to agriculture/ the rural landscape and this would destroy the only remaining rural gateway into the village by removing the strong sense of moving from open countryside into a historical village setting.
- The site does not meet sustainability goals in that there are no local shops and poor public transport links. There are no opportunities to introduce greener transport options due to the road width restrictions on Wateringbury Road.
- The width of pedestrian pathways from the site to the village is inadequate and dangerous as cars frequently block the pathway by parking on the kerb due to the narrow road width. An increase in pedestrian using these narrow pathways would be a safety risk.
- Due to the lack of public transport links and options available to introduce alternative green transport options because of the road width restrictions on Wateringbury Road, the majority of residents would be forced to use their cars. This would have several negative impacts -

o Increase in already bad traffic levels through the East Malling conservation area, impacting on residents and visitors' enjoyment of this heritage asset

Table 9 - List o Increase in Air pollution levels in the village High Street, Chapel Street and Wateringbury Road. It is understood air of Sites - East pollution levels are already very close to exceeding acceptable levels from an air quality perspective.

> o Increase traffic into Wateringbury crossroads which is already a designated AQMA zone. [59630, 59631, 59740]

Site 59630 157 dwellings Fields North of Amber Lane. This is also outside the existing line of development and will encroach into the countryside and green countryside space. It is in an area of outstanding natural beauty which should be not built on. Local country lanes would not be able to cope with the volume of traffic. It would also have a detrimental effect on the views of the area.

Site 59631 136 dwellings Heath Farm Wateringbury Road Next to Sports Park. Detrimental effect on the quiet lanes and rural road networks and would cause traffic issues. It is once again outside of the existing development and there would be a loss of agricultural land which we need.

Site 59740 3000 dwellings Broadwater Farm A massive development that would cause harm to the existing conservation areas, countryside, and heritage assets. This area should be Green Belt and protected as such as it allows Table 9 - List a natural break between the villages and the special character of the area. There will be considerable harm to our of Sites - East road network, traffic problems and harm to the quiet lane network. This is agricultural land which we need for our

Noted, However, comments on specific live planning

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

0 Malling

0 Malling

food.

45651233

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45636001

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

42169281

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45680801

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Hill. My objections are to the following sites; 59531 59630 59631 59740

Noted. The local plan development strategy and associated infrastructure demands will be reflected within the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

My main concerns about the additional houses are;

GP surgery - The local surgery cannot cope at the moment, since moving to Kings Hill it has been near enough impossible to obtain an appointment for myself and my household. I believe the additional households will only cause further issue.

Schools - The primary schools on Kings Hill are already full. We have no local secondary school and young children are already expected to take journeys of over 1 hour to get themselves to school using local transport.

Transport links - these are overcrowded already, adding more households will only add to this issue. Poor & expensive links to West Malling, Maidstone, Tonbridge etc. There is no useful workable shuttle service between station and Kings Hill.

Table 9 - List

0 Malling

of Sites - East Parking - This is already becoming an issue on Kings Hill because of builders/planners not reserving enough parking for each household. Pressure on parking will increase with the implementation of yellow lines. Further developments will

> Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45682081

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45683873

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

> I am writing to state that I endorse Broadwater Action Group's submissions for the above site and wish to provide my comments for excluding this site from going forward to the next stage of the local plan.

- * This site does not seem suitable for inclusion in the Local Plan going forward given the relatively poor rating against TMBC's Sustainability Assessment Criteria.
- * Loss of high grade farm land Broadwater Farm is a major producer of high quality fruit. The farm is largely grade 1 and 2 agricultural land. In addition to the soil quality, the farm contains natural underground water supplies. Developing this land would be contrary to Chapter 4.2.1 of the Reg 18 Local Plan requiring protection of high quality agricultural land.
- * Harm to many heritage assets including listed buildings, Conservation Area and landscape features including the ancient Anglo Saxon Cwylla, designated as a historic momument by KCC, situated within the New Barns and Broadwater Area Conservation Area first documented over 1,000 years ago. The Cwylla should be considered by English Heritage as an "At Risk" asset. The area has strong links to the English Civil War and has numerous listed buildings. At the eastern side of the Site 59740, is a second Conservation Area, Mill Street, including the historic hamlet of Well Street with it's very large proportion of listed buildings eg 'The Barracks' reputed to be a militia house from the English Civil War. The Barracks' proximity to the area proposed for development would be detriemental to it's projected rural outlook.
- * Harm to Quiet Lanes and rural road network There is a network of sunken roadways or hollow-ways which have evolved over hundreds of years and are a unique landscape feature protected by "Quiet Lane" status.

Table 9 - List * Coalescence of East Malling, West Malling, Kings Hill and nearby hamlets - the previous Local Plan had incorporated of Sites - East Green Belt from west of West Malling town across to Wateringbury Road, East Malling. This provision seems to have 0 Malling been completely omitted in the new plans and it appears that the whole of Broadwater Farm is being considered for

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of Table 9 - List existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. of Sites - East

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

45686401

45685889

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45698081

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[59698]

processes.

I wish to strongly object to the above proposal to include this area as a site suitable for development.

This is one of a number of proposals that virtually encircle the settlement of East Malling which has already been subjected to a number of recent developments as landowners and developers take advantage of the lack of Local Plan subsequent to the earlier failed attempt. None of the more recent developments have led to any improvement in infrastructure, facilities or services to the community which has now lost the only bus service that runs through the old part of the village.

This proposal is outside the village envelope and will lead to the loss of agricultural land at a time when the whole issue of food security is of real concern. Of major concern is the impact of this proposal on the adjacent road network. The local road network in this area is already going to be impacted by traffic leaving the newly approved 40 Acre Field development to access West Malling Station via the Broadwater Road, Winterfield Lane Lucks Hill junction.

Access onto Clare Lane itself would be problematical as it would be coming out onto a hill and so site lines would be difficult to achieve. Of particular concern are speeds up the hill which are then constrained by the narrowness of the road. If the access were to be onto Broadwater Road itself then this would entail a reworking of the junction with Clare Lane, Broadwater Road and Lucks Hill. This would completely destroy what is a "charming" feature gateway to Table 9 - List the village. There are no pathways on any of the roads that meet at this junction (save for one small area on Lucks Hill of Sites - East which is isolated, unlit and set back from the road). These are popular pedestrian routes to school, the station and work. More traffic can only increase the risk to pedestrians.

45698977

0 Malling

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[59631]

I wish to strongly object to the above proposal to include this area as a site suitable for development.

This is one of a number of proposals that virtually encircle the settlement of East Malling which has already been subjected to a number of recent developments as landowners and developers take advantage of the lack of Local Plan subsequent to the earlier failed attempt. None of the more recent developments have led to any improvement in infrastructure, facilities or services to the community which has now lost the only bus service that runs through the old part of the village.

This proposal is outside the village envelope and will lead to the loss of agricultural land at a time when the whole issue of food security is of real concern. Of major concern is the impact of this proposal on the already busy road network and its unsuitability for housing development.

Wateringbury Road is a busy road with routes down through the narrow streets of East Malling or towards Wateringbury. Residents of East Malling are already subject to unacceptable levels of traffic and residents of Chapel Street and High Street regularly have their vehicles and properties damaged by the many large vehicles that try to navigate the narrow streets and low railway bridge to reach the A20 and beyond. The village is often gridlocked as a result of this and the quality of life for residents is negatively impacted as a result. I believe that in the other direction Wateringbury suffers from poor air quality as a result of the sheer number of vehicles moving through a busy junction. More traffic will only exacerbate this.

Table 9 - List This area is totally unsuitable for residential development. It is not a sustainable location for a residential of Sites - East development. It is only accessible by car as there is no public transport; there are no pavements that could safely be used by families with children. There are no shops or other services within walking distance.

[59726]

I wish to strongly object to the above proposal to include this area as a site suitable for development.

This is one of a number of proposals that virtually encircle the settlement of East Malling which has already been subjected to a number of recent developments as landowners and developers take advantage of the lack of Local Plan subsequent to the earlier failed attempt. None of the more recent developments have led to any improvement in infrastructure, facilities or services to the community which has now lost the only bus service that runs through the old part of the village.

This proposal is outside the village envelope and will lead to the loss of agricultural land at a time when the whole issue of food security is of real concern. Of major concern is the impact of this proposal on the already busy road network and its unsuitability for housing development.

Wateringbury Road is a busy road with routes down through the narrow streets of East Malling or towards Wateringbury. Residents of East Malling are already subject to unacceptable levels of traffic and residents of Chapel Street and High Street regularly have their vehicles and properties damaged by the many large vehicles that try to navigate the narrow streets and low railway bridge to reach the A20 and beyond. The village is often gridlocked as a result of this and the quality of life for residents is negatively impacted as a result. I believe that in the other direction Wateringbury suffers from poor air quality as a result of the sheer number of vehicles moving through a busy junction. More traffic will only exacerbate this.

Table 9 - List This area is totally unsuitable for residential development. It is not a sustainable location for a residential of Sites - East development. It is only accessible by car as there is no public transport; there are no pavements that could safely be used by families with children. There are no shops or other services within walking distance.

[59715]

I wish to strongly object to the above proposal to include this area as a site suitable for development.

This is one of a number of proposals that virtually encircle the settlement of East Malling which has already been subjected to a number of recent developments as landowners and developers take advantage of the lack of Local Plan subsequent to the earlier failed attempt. None of the more recent developments have led to any improvement in infrastructure, facilities or services to the community which has now lost the only bus service that runs through the old part of the village.

This proposal is outside the village envelope and will lead to the loss of agricultural land at a time when the whole issue of food security is of real concern. Also of major concern is the impact of this proposal on the already busy road network

Wateringbury Road is a busy road with routes down through the narrow streets of East Malling or towards Wateringbury. Residents of East Malling are already subject to unacceptable levels of traffic and residents of Chapel Street and High Street regularly have their vehicles and properties damaged by the many large vehicles that try to navigate the narrow streets and low railway bridge to reach the A20 and beyond. The village is often gridlocked as a result of this and the quality of life for residents is negatively impacted as a result. I believe that in the other direction Wateringbury suffers from poor air quality as a result of the sheer number of vehicles moving through a busy junction. More traffic will only exacerbate this.

This particular stretch of the road is already subject to a 20 mph speed restriction which is an indication of the traffic problems already experienced by the residents of this area.

Table 9 - List

0 Malling

of Sites - East This will also blur the current clear boundary between the built up part of the village and its rural surroundings as both 0 Malling side of the road are currently given over to agriculture.

[59698]

Subject: Comments on Local Plan Consultation 59698 Land on corner of Clare Lane and Broadwater Road

I wish to strongly object to the above proposal to include this area as a site suitable for development.

This is one of a number of proposals that virtually encircle the settlement of East Malling which has already been subjected to a number of recent developments as landowners and developers take advantage of the lack of Local Plan subsequent to the earlier failed attempt. None of the more recent developments have led to any improvement in infrastructure, facilities or services to the community which has now lost the only bus service that runs through the old part of the village.

This proposal is outside the village envelope and will lead to the loss of agricultural land at a time when the whole issue of food security is of real concern. Of major concern is the impact of this proposal on the adjacent road network. The local road network in this area is already going to be impacted by traffic leaving the newly approved 40 Acre Field development to access West Malling Station via the Broadwater Road, Winterfield Lane Lucks Hill junction.

Access onto either Lucks Hill or Winterfield Lane would be really dangerous. There are no pathways on any of the Table 9 - List roads that meet at this junction (save for one small area on Lucks Hill which is isolated, unlit and set back from the of Sites - East road). These are popular pedestrian routes to school, the station and work. More traffic can only increase the risk to pedestrians.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[59824]

I wish to strongly object to the above proposal to include this area as a site suitable for development.

This is one of a number of proposals that virtually encircle the settlement of East Malling which has already been subjected to a number of recent developments as landowners and developers take advantage of the lack of Local Plan subsequent to the earlier failed attempt. None of the more recent developments have led to any improvement in infrastructure, facilities or services to the community which has now lost the only bus service that runs through the old part of the village.

This proposal will lead to the loss of yet more agricultural land at a time when the whole issue of food security is of real concern. Of major concern is the impact of this proposal on the adjacent road network. The local road network in this area is already going to be impacted by traffic leaving the newly approved 40 Acre Field development to access West Malling Station via the Broadwater Road, Winterfield Lane Lucks Hill junction.

Access onto Clare Lane itself would be problematical as it would be coming out onto a hill and so site lines would be difficult to achieve. More development here simply "pushes" traffic towards what is already a difficult junction or back into the narrow, congested streets of the village. There are no pathways on this road which is a popular pedestrian route to schools, the stations and work. More traffic can only increase the risk to pedestrians.

Table 9 - List The plan appears to be linking this development to Darcey Court; it is difficult to see how this could be achieved as I of Sites - East believe that is a private road managed by the residents and in any case would be an unsuitable access point because of Malling of the narrowness of Mill Street.

45698977

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45700993

45701121

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

[59448]

I wish to strongly object to the inclusion of this space in the Local Plan as a site suitable for development.

Those of us with a sufficiently long memory will know that the Bradbourne Fields development came about as the result of an appeal to the Planning Inspectorate as there was strong local opposition to the development. Much of the objection was the impact on the parkland setting of Bradbourne House (Grade 1 Listed building). A building of some historical significance. The Inspector ruled that the area to the right of the drive onto the estate should be kept as an open space planted with trees to minimise this impact. The loss of this land for housing would have the negative Table 9 - List impact on the setting of the Grade 1 Listed building that the Planning Inspector sought to avoid and lead to the loss of of Sites - East a verdant space with some beautiful trees. This is without consideration of the impact of even more traffic onto what is already a difficult junction (particularly when turning right out of the development and a very busy road.

45698977

42590913

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection

[59449]

I wish to strongly object to the inclusion of this much loved and well used amenity space in the local plan as a site for potential development.

This particular piece of land has some beautiful mature tree specimens which as well as being visually very pleasing offer homes to a variety of bird life including woodpeckers. The area is reminiscent of parkland and provides a green oasis in the built up environment. An environment which has been even more built up since the construction of the two blocks of apartments and other houses leading through onto Temple Way (which itself led to the only other open space available to children to play being built on. There is no other play space on the Stepstile Estate and this has been used as an informal play space since the estate was built. My children who are now parents themselves played informal games there, had picnics and met friends. If this were lost local children would have some distance to go to Table 9 - List find a safe play space and this would involve crossing the busy Chapman Way amongst other roads. There has already of Sites - East been one inroad to provide extra parking for residents of Carnation Crescent and it would be criminal to lose the rest

45698977

[59450]

to housing.

I wish to strongly object to the inclusion of this space in the Local Plan as a site suitable for development.

Those of us with a sufficiently long memory will know that the Bradbourne Fields development came about as the result of an appeal to the Planning Inspectorate as there was strong local opposition to the development. Much of the objection was the impact on the parkland setting of Bradbourne House (Grade 1 Listed building). A building of some historical significance. The Inspector ruled that the area to the left of the drive onto the estate should be kept as an open space planted with trees to minimise this impact. Since that time the trees have become well established and provides the only amenity space on the estate that residents are allowed to use (the space at Garner Drive is for decorative purposes only). If this were lost then local children would have to walk along, and then cross, New Road (which is always busy with traffic of all types) to find any green space that they could access. The loss of this land for housing would have the negative impact on the setting of the Grade 1 Listed building that the Planning Inspector Table 9 - List sought to avoid;; would put local children in danger as they sought other places to play and lead to the loss of a

0 Malling

of Sites - East verdant space with some beautiful trees. This is without consideration of the impact of even more traffic onto what is 0 Malling already a difficult junction (particularly when turning right out of the development and a very busy road.

[59636]

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

I wish to strongly object to the above proposal to include this area as a site suitable for development.

This is one of a number of proposals that virtually encircle the settlement of East Malling which has already been subjected to a number of recent developments as landowners and developers take advantage of the lack of Local Plan subsequent to the earlier failed attempt. None of the more recent developments have led to any improvement in infrastructure, facilities or services to the community which has now lost the only bus service that runs through the old part of the village.

This proposal is outside the village envelope and will lead to the loss of agricultural land at a time when the whole issue of food security is of real concern. Of major concern is the impact of this proposal on the adjacent road network. Stickens Lane has a "Quiet Lanes" designation in recognition of its importance to the area and is totally unsuited to large volumes of traffic; especially as it is single lane only for much of its length.. Stickens Lane leads onto Mill Street in one direction and Well Street in the other. Mill Street is characterised by narrow, winding roads and is already congested; Well Street is a country lane and also not suitable for large increases in traffic.

The site also backs on to the start of the important water course that flows down through East Malling and then to Table 9 - List the River Medway. Some years ago this stretch of quiet and isolated water was used as a setting to reintroduce water of Sites - East voles. This was chosen because of the isolated nature of the area and the purity of the water. It is likely that a development on this site would have a negative impact on what is an established population of water voles.

45698977

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45709121

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45711201

45711425

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45712033

45712353

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45713121

45713217

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Site 59630

Mixed Use TBC (est. 157) dwellings

Example reasons for objections

- Proposed Green Belt
- Countryside
- Harm to the aquifer for streams
- Outside of the confines of existing developments
- Traffic restricted on local roads
- Visual Impact from AONB

My comments on this site for house building are: As above plus:

- West Malling Railway station is overcrowded at peak times and its car parks are mostly full.
- Car parking for Kings Hill shopping & GP Practice is barely adequate and the narrow roads around that area are only one lane wide in several places, especially due to roadside parking.
- Road Infrastructure cannot support current traffic demands with bottlenecks regularly occurring on A228 and no easy options to address.
- Medical Facilities and Schools cannot support existing demand.

Table 9 - List Site 59631 of Sites - East Residential 136 dwellings

45712961

0 Malling

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45715009

45715137

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45715361

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Comment noted

I have not quoted all the map references as these are general comments about the whole area.

Others with greater expertise will no doubt comment on the requirements for provision of services in the area, which are already overstretched (one GP surgery etc.)

Some development can be expected but having seen such developments around Maidstone such as Langley, Park Wood, Hermitag Lane etc., they are characterised by out of town estates with access to major roads via roundabouts traffic lights etc.

Esat Malling is an ancient village characterised by narrow lanes with no real wide main roads and with many narrow bottlenecks and restricted junctions.

It would appear that many of the proposed schemes have access on to the those narrow lanes often at what are already dangerous locations, the bottom of Wateringbury Road near Gilletts Lane and Stickens Lane are particular examples although there are many others in the various schemes. Stickens Lane would if the traffic were to be increased need the road and the railway bridge to be widened to be safe, although there would still be no safe access to/from Mill Street on to an already dangerous corner.

It appears that these developments would without substantial road changes produce some very (even more!) dangerous locations on the network of narrow roads.

The alternative would be massive road works, some involving demolition of existing buildings (rather counter productive!) and the wholesale destruction of the village character of the area,

Table 9 - List

0 Malling

0 Malling

of Sites - East This is not a town expanding out into the virgin countryside. It is a small village very tightly constrained by its infrastructure and this needs to be very carefully considered.

45716577

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to guiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

of Sites - East 0 Malling

[SITE REF: 59630]

Comment noted

I'm incredibly disappointed at the new site proposals from TMBC. Please find my objections as follows:

Table 9 - List These are ancient woodlands and green belt areas, building on these plots would seriously harm the established of Sites - East wildlife and worsen the air quality for all the residents. How the access for these sites would be managed? How is the 0 Malling increased traffic impact considered for established residents?

> Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in consideration within the site analysis and site selection Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into processes.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads. 0 Malling Site 59449 Carnation Green

Comment noted

Larkfield Leisure Centre Car Park

Table 9 - List The Larkfield Leisure Centre was built on East Malling and Larkfield Parish Councils land and is still owned by then as of Sites - East the Borough only lease the Leisure Centre site. It beggars belief that any part of this has been put forward for 0 Malling consideration as a potential development site.

45554273

45700481

45718561

Site 59449 Carnation Green Object

Comment noted

To consider developing part of Martin Squares Car Park is not very sensible as this serves the local shops and is very busy.

Table 9 - List

0 Malling

of Sites - East Also green spaces that provide informal recreation ought to be protected to allow local residents some open spaces 0 Malling within built up areas.

> Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45728321

[] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[59449]

I am writing about a plan to build houses site [59449] Carnation Green, East Malling. First, three parking bays are around this green - where will all these cars park.

Second, flats near by have no garden. Only place children can play is on this green. Also two great big trees what about those.

Table 9 - List Surely you have more land in Kent you could build more houses. We don't want our green taken away. of Sites - East

45739393 0 Malling I don't do e-mail

45728097

I wish to make the following comments on a number of the sites proposed for inclusion in the local plan:

Site 59740
Refuse on basis of
Harm to significance of Conservation
Areas (New Barns, Broadwater Farm and Mill Street)
Harm to the aquifer for streams into East Malling
Harm to non-listed heritage assets
Harm to Quiet Lane and rural road network
Outside the confines of West Malling and Kings Hill

Table 9 - List Countryside

of Sites - East Need for two access roads through countryside

45739713 0 Malling Loss of agricultural land

Comment noted

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

	[SITE REF: 59740] Please do not destroy this beautiful site.	Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.
45745601	* Development of the site would cause: Harm to heritage assets, wildlife, agricultural land & local roads & infrastructure * Threat of coalescence of East Malling, West Malling, Kings Hill and nearby hamlets * The existing consultation for this site elicited over 1,500 objection responses from official consultees, TMBC residents and other interested parties which should be taken into account.	Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into
	Site 59630 Mixed Use TBC (est. 157) dwellings Fields North of Amber Lane	consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.
	Reasons for objections • Proposed Green Belt • Countryside • Harm to the aquifer for streams • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Traffic restricted on local roads • Visual Impact from AONB , Ancient Woodland	
	Site 59631 Residential 136 dwellings Wateringbury Road, Heath Farm fields next to Sports Centre	
	Reasons for objections • Existing Public Open Space for Kings Hill • Countryside • Harm to quiet lane and rural road networks • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted on local roads	
	Site 59740 Mixed Use (Est 3,000) dwellings Broadwater Farm	
42719489	Reasons for objections • Harm to conservation areas or harm to significance of conservation areas • Proposed Green Belt • Countryside • Harm to the aquifer for streams • Harm to non-listed heritage assets • Harm to quiet lane and rural road networks • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted on local roads • Inadequate resources – KCC indicate suggested school location not economic, no retail centre	Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.
	[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings	p. coccosco.
	This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place	
	Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.	
	[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings	
	Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.	
	[] Cita 50740 Paradustas Farra misadusa artirata 2000 dualliara	

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green

Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local

roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

0 Malling

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45753729

0 Malling

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45760065

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in processes. Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road Table 9 - List networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads. of Sites - East

45715745

0 Malling

0 Malling

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45761409

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45765121

45765793

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[SITE REF: 59740]

0 Malling

I'm emailing to further object to Site 59740 Broadwater Farm going forward to the next stage of the local plan.

Firstly, this site does not seem suitable for inclusion in the Local Plan going forward given the relatively poor rating against TMBC's Sustainability Assessment criteria.

Other reasons for excluding the Broadwater site are:

- * Loss of high grade farm land
- * Harm to many heritage assets including listed buildings, Conservation Area and landscape features
- * Coalescence of East Malling, West Malling, Kings Hill and nearby hamlets
- * Harm to the aquifer and lack of consideration of hydrogeology issues
- * Harm to Quiet Lanes and rural road network

Table 9 - List * Critical stress on existing road, medical & other infrastructure

of Sites - East * The existing consultation for this site elicited over 1,500 objection responses from official consultees, TMBC 0 Malling

residents and other interested parties which should be taken into account.

45766593

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

		[SITE REF: 59636]	processes.
		I'm emailing to further object to Site 59636 Stickens Lane going forward to the next stage of the local plan.	
		Firstly, this site does not seem suitable for inclusion in the Local Plan going forward given the relatively poor rating against TMBC's Sustainability Assessment criteria.	
		Other reasons for excluding the Stickens Lane site are:	
	Table 9 - List	* Coalescence of East Malling, West Malling, Kings Hill and nearby hamlets * Harm to Quiet Lanes and rural road network - access to this site, for the volume of houses proposed, is unfeasible.	
	of Sites - East		
45766849	0 Malling	Critical stress on existing road, medical & other infrastructure	
		Lane, Sandow Place	Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection
		Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.	processes.
		[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings.	
		Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road	
		networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.	
		[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings	
		Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green	
		Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local	
		roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.	
		Other Objections	
		Traffic/Parking - This is already becoming an issue because of builders/planners not reserving enough parking for each household. Pressure on parking will increase with the implementation of yellow lines. Further developments will increase this further	
	Table 9 - List of Sites - East	GP surgery - The local surgery cannot cope at the moment and many people are forced to use private medical services because they cannot get an appointment. Adding 1,000's of additional houses will only increase this problem.	
45766721	0 Malling	Schools - The local schools are already full, and with no secondary school on KH, pupils are forced to use public	
		[Site 59740 Broadwater Farm]	Comment noted
		I wholly endorse the responses of West Malling Parish Council and Broadwater Action Group.	
	Table 9 - List	Leumant the expansion of expanding the Cross Belt, the expection of explanation and the expectation of expansion of expans	
45767969	of Sites - East 0 Malling	I support the expansion of expanding the Green Belt, the cessation of coalescing communities and the prevention of destruction of heritage sites in West Malling.	

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into Lane, Sandow Place consideration within the site analysis and site selection Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of processes. existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. [X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings. Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads. [X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to guiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre. I also object for the following reasons if not already stated on each site; Traffic/Parking - This is already becoming an issue because of builders/planners not reserving enough parking for each household. Pressure on parking will increase with the implementation of yellow lines. Further developments will increase this further. GP surgery - The local surgery cannot cope at the moment, and many people are forced to use private medical Table 9 - List services because they cannot get an appointment. Adding 1,000's of additional houses will only increase this problem. of Sites - East Schools - The local schools are already full, and with no secondary school on KH, pupils are forced to use public Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes. [X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings. This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. [X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings. Reasons for objections - Existing public Open Space for Kings Hill, Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads. [X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural

of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local

roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45768193

45769633

0 Malling

0 Malling

			Comment noted
		[SITE REFS: 59630 AND 59631]	
45768097		Site numbers 59630 on Amber Lane, 59631 on Heath Farm next to the Sports Centre and 59655 Teston Road next to Clearwater Lane will impact on areas where we and many others with or without dogs walk. Once again they remove much needed open spaces where nature and the environment can be allowed to look after itself. [x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings	Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into
		Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, understand harm to aquifer for steams and outside existing developments. Can local roads cope with the traffic.	consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.
45770401		If all these proposed residential dwellings go ahead without doctors and schools also being built there will be lots of problems. Already the doctors cannot cope with the number of residents already residing in this area. I also understand there are not enough primary school places for young families and dread to think what will happen when these children reach Secondary School. There is a big problem already trying to get children to schools outside Kingshill area via buses. WE NEED TO KEEP OUR LOVELY INDIVIDUAL VILLAGES AS VILLAGES AND NOT ONE BIG SPRAWLING DEVELOPMENT. [SITE REF: 59740]	Comment noted
45768097		Site number 59740 Broadwater Farm is estimated to have 3000 new homes and will essentially fill in the land between East Malling, West Malling and Kings Hill leading to an urban sprawl from Maidstone to West Malling with little in the way of open space.	
	0		Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.
		[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings	
		This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place	
		Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.	
		[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings	
		Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.	
		[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings	
45777601		Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.	Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into
		[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings	consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.
		Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green	
	Table 9 - List	Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural	
	of C:+ F	and activate satisfication of the confiner of existing developments less of emission and to the control of the less than	

of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45329537

0 Malling

			consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.
		[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings	
		This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place	
		Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.	
		[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings	
		Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.	
		[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings	
45780385		Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.	
	0	[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings	Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.
45791400	of Sites - East	Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads inadequate recourses. KCC indicated suggested school location not accommis no retail control	
45781409	0 Malling	roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre. [x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings	Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.
45781633		Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.	
		[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings	Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.
	T 11 A 11 .	Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green	

Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local

roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45781761

0 Malling

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45781473

45782369

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45785409

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45786337

processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45787105

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection [X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings processes. This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. [X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road Table 9 - List networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads. of Sites - East 42695361 0 Malling Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes. [X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. [X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads. [X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 45788097 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre. Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection [X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings processes. This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. [X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

of Sites - East Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road

networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

Table 9 - List

0 Malling

Comment noted Sites 59448 & 59450

To whom it may concern,

I'm writing to you regarding the building proposal for the above sites.

We bought our property back in the year 2000 when they were newly built by redrow.

We was assured by Redrow that these 2 sites would never ever be built on as we asked because this green communal lovely space was for all residents to enjoy and meet up and this is what drew us to buy our property.

We regularly use these 2 lovely open spaces to meet up with our friends and neighbours.

When our grandchildren come to visit they also really enjoy playing on the greens as they live in a flat and have no open space to play.

There are some lovely trees there which also encourage the wildlife and we feel it would be shameful to lose these trees when we should be doing more to protect them.

My husband is elderly and struggles to walk too far but can make it to these local greens for a short walk and a sit down in the fresh air.

Losing this would drastically effect his health and well being.

Table 9 - List Kind regards

of Sites - East

45790337 0 Malling Linda Brenson

> Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45790657

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

Reasons for objections • Proposed Green Belt • Countryside • Harm to the aguifer for streams • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Traffic restricted on local roads • Visual Impact from AONB

Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections • Existing Public Open Space for Kings Hill • Countryside • Harm to quiet lane and rural road networks • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted on local roads

Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections • Harm to conservation areas or harm to significance of conservation areas • Proposed Green Belt • Countryside • Harm to the aguifer for streams • Harm to non-listed heritage assets • Harm to guiet lane and rural road networks • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted on local roads • Inadequate resources – KCC indicate suggested school location not economic, no retail centre

- * Loss of high grade farm land
- * Coalescence of East Malling, West Malling, Kings Hill and other nearby Hamlets
- Table 9 List * Critical stress on existing roads, medical and other infrastructure
- of Sites East * Previously in excess of 1500 objections from official consultees, TMBC residents and other interested parties should

0 Malling be taken into account

Comment noted

[Site Reference 59740]

I am emailing as I endorse the Broadwater Action Group's submission.

I live locally and feel this will be deeply damaging to our area. I personally enjoy long walks enjoying our precious country side, and very saddened to hear how more of this will be lost to housing and development.

Our roads are already busy and struggling to cope with the impact of climate change let alone more traffic on the roads.

I find it impossible to get doctors appointments or a local dentist due to the sheer amount of people in the area.

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East Please take Broadwater Action Group's submissions into careful consideration, as we the local community are the 0 Malling people behind if.

45791649

45792929

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[Sites 59448 & 59450] Comment noted

Application to build on two sites in Bradbourne Fields

We wish to appeal against the proposed plans to build on the above sites.

The greens are used by dog walkers and picnickers, providing "lungs in an already heavily built up area.

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East The sites are relatively small and the infra structure is already overloaded in respect of schools, dentists, schools and

45307265 0 Malling doctors and roads.

Reasons for objections:

- Proposed Green Belt
- Loss of countryside
- Harm to the aquifer for streams
- Outside of the confines of existing developments
- Traffic restricted on local roads
- Visual Impact from AONB

Site 59631 Residential 136 dwellings Wateringbury Road, Heath Farm fields next to Sports Centre

Reasons for objections:

- Existing Public Open Space for Kings Hill
- Loss of countryside
- Harm to quiet lane and rural road networks
- Outside of the confines of existing developments
- Loss of agricultural land
- Traffic restricted on local roads

Site 59740 Mixed Use (Est 3,000) dwellings Broadwater Farm

Reasons for objections:

- Harm to conservation areas or harm to significance of conservation areas
- Proposed Green Belt
- Loss of countryside
- Harm to the aquifer for streams
- Harm to non-listed heritage assets

Table 9 - List • Harm to quiet lane and rural road networks

of Sites - East • Outside of the confines of existing developments

0 Malling • Loss of agricultural land

45793153

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[Site 59740 Broadwater Farm]

I am writing to object against the planning proposals for the above site and to advise that I endorse Broadwater Action Group submissions as the impact of the property development will be terrible.

The actual village cannot with take any more traffic which will of course will result with more housing and have a knock on effect with an increase in both noise and air pollution along with accidents. The increase in housing will Table 9 - List change the general dynamics of our community and East Malling will no longer be the village we all treasure. It's all so of Sites - East sad to see the ruin of our countryside that deserves to be protected and I really hope this email is taken into some consideration when discussing this proposal further [Sites 59460 & 59448]

45793473

Comment noted

We must strongly object to any proposed development of the above sites / 'green spaces'

Having been a bradbourne fields resident for over 6 years it is evident that these spaces are used regularly by dog walkers, for general exercise by the residents of our estate and are a very welcome view as you enter the area from New road.

The green space and surrounding woodland are a natural habitat for many species of animal.

These houses are simply not needed in this area combined with the fact that additional houses means additional cars and traffic. It is tough enough to exit from the park even now during peak times.

Table 9 - List Our local area is short of the amenities needed to serve additional housing units adequately. of Sites - East

45793537

0 Malling

0 Malling

There may also be protected trees on this land as there are in the surrounding woodland areas.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45800033

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road Table 9 - List networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads. of Sites - East

45801601

0 Malling

0 Malling

processes. [X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection

processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45802625

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

image

0 Malling

Example reasons for objections

- * Proposed Green Belt
- * Countryside
- * Harm to the aquifer for streams
- * Outside of the confines of existing developments
- * Traffic restricted on local roads
- * Visual Impact from AONB

My comments on this site for house building are:

All of the above plus that this site was ruled out by public inquiry in 2020 after a previous application was made.

It is also next to ancient woodland with badgers, dormice, etc that would be very badly affected by any development here.

Site 59631 Residential 136 dwellings

image

Example reasons for objections

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East * Existing Public Open Space for Kings Hill

42456065 0 Malling * Countryside Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection

processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45807873

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

image

Example reasons for objections

- * Proposed Green Belt
- * Countryside
- * Harm to the aquifer for streams
- * Outside of the confines of existing developments
- * Traffic restricted on local roads
- * Visual Impact from AONB

My comments on this site for house building are:

Inadequate services such as doctors already on Kings Hill

Site 59631 Residential 136 dwellings

image

Example reasons for objections

- * Existing Public Open Space for Kings Hill
- * Countryside

Table 9 - List * Harm to guiet lane and rural road networks

of Sites - East * Outside of the confines of existing developments

0 Malling

0 Malling

* Loss of agricultural land

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

45808353

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection

processes.

45809473

0 Malling

image

My comments on this site for house building are:

Significantly, the previous planning application for this site made by Liberty went to a Planning Inspectorate Local Public Enquiry in December 2019 (Inspectorate Ref APP/H2265/W/19/3235167) and was refused on a number of grounds including the threat to ancient woodland, habitats and wildlife corridors. Nothing has changed since that refusal.

It is also a site included in Green Belt extension proposal.

There will be a loss of historic local countryside and detrimental visual impact to the area.

It is outside the confines of the existing development which was never meant to extend beyond the border of the old brownfield airbase.

There would be severe adverse effects on narrow local roads used for access to the site.

There would be significant adverse impact on the public footpaths that cross this area.

There would be significant harm to wildlife and wildlife corridors.

Site 59631 Residential 136 dwellings

Table 9 - List of Sites - East *image*

42743009

0 Malling

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre. Area 59740

Comment noted

I object to this area being proposed on the following grounds

The area is proposed green belt

It is outside the confines of existing developments

KCC have previously indicated the suggested school location is not economic

West Malling have received 58% of new development within TMBC recently. It's about time this development was shared throughout the area, not confined to the West Malling area

Table 9 - List of Sites - East 0 Malling

45810369

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45810785

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road Table 9 - List networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

of Sites - East 45812001 0 Malling

0 Malling

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Bradbourne	Daule Dage	J C	C:+	F0440 0	$\Gamma \cap A \Gamma \cap$
Brannourne	Park Road	i Greens -	- SITES	7944X &	59450

As a resident with views over these two greens I wish to register my objections to these being converted into residential development land from the current status of "public open spaces".

I was assured by Redrow upon purchasing my house that the open space plan (attached to my title deed) could not be changed for a period not less than 80 years following my completion on 28 Feb 1998, and now, just 24 years on it looks like this official plan is to be overridden!

From the copies I have attached you can see this, so I'd expect Redrow to honour this agreement and refuse to develop the two greens involved.

As well as this, the greens are used for recreational purposes by many residents as well as others in the Larkfield/East Malling area, where children play, dog walkers exercise, picknickers congregate, because they provide an attractive open space for all to appreciate including my own family.

Table 9 - List

0 Malling

45812449 0 Malling

of Sites - East During the covid 19 lockdowns they became essential for outdoor exercise and remain a pleasant amenity for us all to use, and it would be a local tragedy if we were to lose them under this planning exercise.

[Site 59740 Broadwater Farm]

I wish to advise that I totally support the Broadwater Farm Action Group in their work to stop the appalling development which will only add funds to developers and ruin a large part of the countryside which we should hold as a precious inheritance for future generations. A logistic nightmare as West Malling station is full to capacity, car parks at peak volumes, trains insufficient for current number of travellers. Insufficient Medical Practices and schools, a nightmare unsafe journey for children wishing to attend current schools and we have current water shortages which are being extended.

42830721

Table 9 - List Obviously, developers prefer a pristine site rather than a brownfield one - does the Council consider desecrating a of Sites - East living part of our countryside to enhance developers' profits something their principles and scruples allow them to 0 Malling do?

I am registering my concern for the inclusion of Site 59470 Broadwater Farm & the findings of its Individual Site Assessment. My reasons for this include the harm to heritage assets, wildlife, agricultural land, local roads & infrastructure, the joining together of East Malling, West Malling, Kings Hill and nearby hamlets and the loss of high grade farm land. Development on this site will mean the destruction of beautiful countryside and will cause harm to the existing quiet lanes and rural road network, which quite simply could not cope. It would cause critical stress on the existing roads, on health provision (trying to get to see a GP in this area is near on impossible (I have only seen my Table 9 - List GP twice in all the time I have been here and wanted to see them many more times than that. The infrastructure is

45825729

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

when objections were made previously on this same issue.

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

of Sites - East also not in place to support such a development. These are just a few of the points that have already been made

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Comment noted

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

.00_07_0

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dw

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45833473

45829761

0 Malling

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45841857

45842113

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45842465

45844129

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45844353

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45845665

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45845953

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

> Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local

roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45847105

45846433

45847041

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45848161

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

42668289

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

42471745

45848993

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection

processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45853729

Submit my objections below to the sites identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings Reasons for objections - Existing public Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated Table 9 - List suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

0 Malling

of Sites - East

45751905 0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45856193

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45857057

45857857

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

38538273

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in processes. Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

0 Malling

of Sites - East

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[SITE REFS: 59448 AND 59450]

I would like to strongly object to the urban capacity study numbers:

59448 and

59450, the two grassed areas at the top of Bradbourne Park Road.

These two areas should never have been put forward for the study as these areas belong to the Bradbourne Fields Estate. When purchasing a house on the estate, every homeowner has a legal contract to pay ground rent and maintain the upkeep of these fields. There is a management company that deal with any legal dealings with these sites.

These two fields are frequently used by young and old alike and, in this day and age, with the way the economy is, to take away free open spaces where people can get fresh air and exercise, you would be thinking about development and not people's wellbeing.

As a homeowner who lives on the Bradbourne Fields estate, I would like to point out that the one road in and out of the estate can get very blocked. It is hard to get out onto New Road going towards London Road due to the traffic lights and the heavy flow of traffic using New Road. When leaving the estate, this needs to be factored into the length of time the journey will take. As well as this, New Road going towards East Malling village, at times of heavy rain, can be blocked due to the road flooding. This happens often and blocks back to London Road, which again, stops the flow of traffic out of the estate. Building more homes within the estate would mean more cars and more traffic jams and

Table 9 - List also more pollution in the environment.

of Sites - East

Please look elsewhere to build your house.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Comment noted

45862529

45862177

0 Malling

0 Malling

		[SITE REFS: 59448 AND 59450]	Comment noted
		The greens on either side of the Bradbourne Park Road as you enter the Bradbourne Fields estate are the lunges and the wellbeing of the residence who live here.	
of	Table 9 - List of Sites - East	This is what first attracted me to the estate when I moved here in 2019.	
	0 Malling	Please do not take these greens away.	Comment noted
		[SITE REFS: 59448 AND 59450]	
		We live directly behind the green space on Bradbourne Park Road and our understanding on buying this house 20 years ago was that it was never to be built on.	
		We chose this plot as we are not overlooked, we also have a very small garden and use the green spaces daily for dog walking, as do our neighbours.	
		It is very attractive development which would seriously be damaged by overcrowding.	
		Many beautiful trees were planted to enhance these green spaces which are used daily by families, it is also a great place for dog walkers to meet, for some people this may be the only socialisation they have all day.	
	Table 9 - List of Sites - East	In this current climate it is evermore important to preserve our green spaces for everyone's mental health.	
45865185	0 Malling	With thanks and hope that you will consider this seriously.	Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into
			consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.
		[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings	
		This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place	
		Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.	
		[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings	
		Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.	
		[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings	
45865281		Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.	

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in processes. Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

0 Malling

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

45867169

45867009

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45867425

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in processes. Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road Table 9 - List networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads. of Sites - East

45867585

0 Malling

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45867841

45868129

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45868481

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45868993

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into
consideration within the site analysis and site selection
processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

> Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in consideration within the site analysis and site selection Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into processes.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre. 0 Malling [SITE REF: 59450]

> I would like to object to the proposed plans to build on the green space on Bradbourne Fields. (Off Bradbourne Park Way_

Table 9 - List This is a local green space used by the community for recreation and relaxation of Sites - East

Also building more home will increase the traffic congestion on the New Road\A20 Junction .

Comment noted

45869761

45869409

45872929

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Site 59630

Mixed Use TBC (est.157) dwellings

[map from SA]

Example reasons for objections

- * Proposed Green Belt
- * Countryside
- * Harm to the aquifer for streams
- * Outside of the confines of existing developments
- * Traffic restricted on local roads
- * Visual impact from AONB

My comments on this site for house building are:

- Green Belt
- Natural habitat ruined
- Harm to aquifer for streams

Table 9 - List - Lack of road infrastructure

of Sites - East

0 Malling

- Further over-development of Kings Hill

0 Malling

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45875361

[SITE REF: 59630]

I impose the development on site 59630 and all the proposed developments. We do not have the space or infrastructure for additional dwellings on Kings hill, this is damaging green belt land putting more pressure on an already heavily trafficked area on Kings hill.

Developers need to move off Kings Hill and find another place they can put houses on postage stamp size land.

Having been a KH resident for over 15 years, I have seen KH triple in size, to the point I am not surprised developers will start building homes on roundabouts.

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East This must stop, KH and all its infrastructure are at capacity. Developers, please move on, to perhaps some more 0 Malling brown belt land where you are not destroying beautiful green belt land, for quick and easy profit!

Comment noted

[SITE REF: 59740]

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

I strongly object to the proposed development at Broadwater Farm, West Malling, Kent for erection of a new housing estate containing around 900 homes.

My reasons are that this land is a special, unspoilt and beautiful place. These fields are essential for food production for a local and national growing population. This land is a wonderful area for walking and relaxing. This area is an amazing site for animals, birds, reptiles, insects and plants to thrive. This land will be lost forever if it is destroyed by being carved up and built upon. We will lose irreplaceable orchards as well as a large part of the protected green-belt land which will result in distinct villages being joined into one large unnatural concrete jungle. It has been suggested that 'land' will be made available for a medical centre, schools, associated open space and green infrastructure. Another locally built estate never had the promised medical centre which means that the increase in local population has placed a greater burden on the local surgeries. More people and more vehicles mean that a greater burden will be placed on the entire local community. Driving in the surrounding roads and parking in West Malling is nearly impossible and this will be made more difficult with a greater head of population. Noise and pollution levels will rise Table 9 - List and quality of life for everyone will fall.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

I strongly object to the proposed housing development at Broadwater Farm for the reasons above.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45875777

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45876033

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

I wish to submit my objections as identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into for proposals for development:-

consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

My reasons for objections - I believe this to be proposed Green Belt and the countryside will be significantly harmed! During lockdown, this area was an escape from the Hill. It is outside the confines of existing developments and traffic is restricted on local roads already with very narrow roads where residents can barely park cars as it is. The visual impact from the area of Outstanding Natural Beauty will be upset.

Site 59631 Wateringbury Road, Heath Farm next to Sports Park - 136 dwellings

My reasons for objections - At present this is existing pubic open space for Kings Hill which is surrounded by countryside. There will be significant harm to the quiet lanes surrounding this land and the rural road networks. It is outside the confines of existing developments and will cause loss of agricultural land locally. The infrastructure is not adequate enough for increased traffic and will cause local roads to be overwhelmed.

Site 59740 Broadwater Farm - mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

My reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on Table 9 - List local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre. The A228 of Sites - East and surrounding roads are congested at the best of times! The infrastructure is simply not good enough. I believe the ingress and egress is via the A228 which will be a nightmare for local residents and commuters.

45876769

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

0 Malling

0 Malling

OBJECTION - Site 59630

Please see development refusal at planning and appeal from 2019. Amber Lane Meadows is species rich grassland (when not destructively managed by the land owner). The grassland is full of invertebrates and pollinators, many of which you cannot find in residential areas of Kings Hill. Foxes, Bats, Slow Worms, Birds of Prey, scarce songbirds like the Bullfinch, dormice, Roe Deer, Badgers are all found here. The area is well used by the public for dog walking and is special because you cannot see any houses or urban environments. This is a countryside gem that should be managed better for wildlife and people. Adding further houses is a shocking waste of such a special location. This location has already been assigned as green belt. Road access is inappropriate and potentially damaging to ancient woodland the it would pass through.

Table 9 - List OBJECTION - Site 59740 of Sites - East Loss of agricultural land, pushing UK food 0 Malling provision to less sustainable imports which is

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in processes. Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road Table 9 - List networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads. of Sites - East

42607649

45460769

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45879393

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Mixed Use TBC (est.157) dwellings

[map from SA]

Example reasons for objections

- * Proposed Green Belt
- * Countryside
- * Harm to the aquifer for streams
- * Outside of the confines of existing developments
- * Traffic restricted on local roads
- * Visual impact from AONB

My comments on this site for house building are:

All of the above plus traffic onto Amber Lane will be very difficult, if this is the access onto this site. Parking and infrastructure again.

Site 59631

Residential 136 dwellings

[map from SA]

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East Example reasons for objections

45475745 0 Malling

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Mixed Use TBC (est.157) dwellings

[map from SA]

Example reasons for objections

- * Proposed Green Belt
- * Countryside
- * Harm to the aquifer for streams
- * Outside of the confines of existing developments
- * Traffic restricted on local roads
- * Visual impact from AONB

My comments on this site for house building are:

All of the above. The roads will not be able to cope with the volume of increase and parking will become impossible.

Site 59631

Residential 136 dwellings

[map from SA]

Table 9 - List Example reasons for objections

of Sites - East

0 Malling

0 Malling

* Existing Public Open Space for Kings Hill

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

45880705

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45881761

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45882849

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45883585

45883713

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[SITE REFS: 59448 AND 59450]

I am writing to register my strongest objections to the inclusion of the above two sites in the new Local Plan as potential new housing areas.

These two sites are important green space recreational / amenity areas, and as such it is my view that they should in fact be considered for future designation as 'Local Green Spaces'.

These areas provide essential spaces both for the residents of the Bradbourne Fields development, as well as other nearby housing areas, specifically:

- (i) They provide play areas for local children. Without these areas, children would be forced to meet and play on the roads which would be dangerous and undesirable.
- (ii) They provide areas where local people walk dogs and exercise.
- (iii) In an area of relatively dense housing population they provide green spaces that are beneficial and essential for local peoples' physical and mental wellbeing.
- (iv) The trees both surrounding, and on these areas provide habitats for a variety of wildlife, and hence make an essential contribution to the biodiversity of the area.

In any community it is critical to maintain a balance between housing and recreational / amenity areas. In this vicinity Table 9 - List there is very little of the latter, and the loss of these two sites (and consequential further increase to housing density) of Sites - East would reduce such areas to virtually zero. It is essential therefore that they remain as green spaces to provide the correct overall balance, as was the original intention when this development was first constructed.

45884001

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[SITE REFS: 59630, 59631 AND 59740]

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45884545

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45885825

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Objections to development on sites in and around Kings Hill

HEADLINE

0 Malling

I object in the strongest possible terms to this plan: it is destructive and un-sustainable. It is creating a new town without the supporting infrastructure, and will destroy the character of the area and the quality of life of the inhabitants.

OBJECTION TO THE PLANS - OUTLINE

Taken as a whole, the proposed development will:

- * Create 6000 additional residential dwelling in 15 sites in and around Kings Hill; This will double the area of the Kings Hill creating an extended conurbation linking the Mereworth, Wateringbury, East and West Malling, Larkfield and Leybourne. This will destroy the distinctive rural character of the area, which sits between the North Downs Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty to the north and the Medway Valley to the south;
- * Lead to high-density housing expanding on to surrounding countryside, woodland and agricultural land, far beyond the original brown-field West Malling airfield site. In particular, 4 huge developments (Site References 59740, 59797, 59800, 59802) on Broadwater Farm, Wateringbury and Cattering Wood account for nearly 5000 houses appear to be entirely on green-field sites and will destroy the countryside. This is at a time when the need for access to open spaces for health and wellbeing has never been greater, and the need to consider the rural economy and food security has never been greater;

* Cause huge and unsustainable pressure on the already over-stretched infrastructure: roads, schools, medical Table 9 - List facilities. In particular, at the current time there are only two access roads to Kings Hill onto the A228, and traffic is of Sites - East gridlocked in and out of the estate at rush hours or if there are even minor incidents towards Tonbridge to the south or the M20 to the north during the day;

45887649

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45887937

45888161

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Site 59630

Mixed Use TBC (est. 157) dwellings

Example reasons for objections

- Proposed Green Belt
- Countryside
- Harm to the aquifer for streams
- Outside of the confines of existing developments
- Traffic restricted on local roads
- Visual Impact from AONB

My comments on this site for house building are:

STRONGLY OBJECT on the grounds of: Encroaches on green belt Destroys countryside Outside of the confines of existing development

Site 59631

Residential 136 dwellings

Example reasons for objections

- Existing Public Open Space for Kings Hill
- Table 9 List Countryside
- of Sites East Harm to quiet lane and rural road networks

0 Malling

0 Malling

• Outside of the confines of existing developments

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45889185

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[SITE REFS: 59630 AND 59631]

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road 0 Malling networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

42617217

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

of Sites - East

45893569

0 Malling

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to guiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45893985

0 Malling

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[SITE REFS: 59630, 59631 AND 59740]

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Comment	noted
---------	-------

I object to any more building, be it housing or industrial on any farmland in and around West & East Malling.

My objection being....that we need the land to grow crops to feed the nation, as not all of our food that we eat comes from the European Union now.

At the moment in time in these two areas, the doctors, primary & secondary schools are full, the roads are full to bursting.

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East Building more expensive houses, two people need to work, that's if they can afford the mortgage, plus they will need two cars per household, so more cars on the roads in this area.

38352865

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[SITE REFS: 59630, 59631 AND 59740]

Site 59630 Mixed Use TBC (est. 157) dwellings Fields North of Amber Lane

Reasons for objections • Proposed Green Belt • Countryside • Harm to the aquifer for streams • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Traffic restricted on local roads • Visual Impact from AONB

Site 59631 Residential 136 dwellings Wateringbury Road, Heath Farm fields next to Sports Centre

Reasons for objections • Existing Public Open Space for Kings Hill • Countryside • Harm to quiet lane and rural road networks • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted on local roads

Site 59740 Mixed Use (Est 3,000) dwellings Broadwater Farm

Reasons for objections • Harm to conservation areas or harm to significance of conservation areas • Proposed Green
Belt • Countryside • Harm to the aquifer for streams • Harm to non-listed heritage assets • Harm to quiet lane and
rural road networks • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted
Table 9 - List on local roads • Inadequate resources – KCC indicate suggested school location not economic, no retail centre

of Sites - East

45905089

0 Malling

[SITE REFS: 59630 AND 59740]

OBECT to site 59630 – loss of green belt land and no very special circumstance to justify the impact on the green belt. Green Belts are to prevent the spread of urban sprawl and should not be built upon unless very special circumstances have been demonstrated, in which CASE it has not and there are alternative sites that would be more viable.

OBECT to site 59630 – loss of green belt land and no very special circumstance to justify the impact on the green belt. Green Belts are to prevent the spread of urban sprawl and should not be built upon unless very special circumstances have been demonstrated, in which CASE it has not and there are alternative sites that would be more viable. Would also affect the setting of the AONB – NPPF July 2021 sets out that 'great weight should be given to conserving and enhancing landscape and scenic beauty in AONBs which have the highest status of protection in relation to these issues' The allocation of this site would be contrary to the NPPF.

OBJECT to site 59740 – NOT VIABLE. KCC have indicated the suggested school location not economic. Unacceptable adverse impact on Conservation Area and its setting. Conservation Areas cannot be created elsewhere and would be a detrimental loss of local heritage assets that are irreplaceable. Unacceptable adverse impact on ancient woodland Table 9 - List which is irreplaceable & are more suitable alternatives to this site meaning it is not viable.

of Sites - East

45906401 0 Malling

This draft local plan is a substantial body of information and while many of the findings seem fair for individual sites I agree with the Broadwater Farm action groups detailed analysis that in aggregate they don't support or provide sufficient strong evidence across the 14 criteria for development at this site 59740 or those other sites listed above in East Malling or Wateringbury. Indeed the opposite is true. At Broadwater Farm site 59740 and at sites 59698, 59824, 59636 in East Malling there will be a loss of prime agricultural land, harm to natural environment and wildlife, concerns about impact to water sources, harm to Quiet lanes in and around the conservation area. Impact on existing rural roads and the bypass, lack of infrastructure including roads schools doctors hospitals, plus it's disastrous impact on the coalescence of East Malling West Malling and Kings Hill. Then my concern no 2 is that while the sites are individually scored I can't any analysis of the cumulative impact on the rural areas of sites in aggregate namely East Malling will cease to be a rural village should one or more of the proposed sites be developed eg 59824 and 59698 both adjacent to Clare lane and 59636 at Mill Street. In addition land on site no 59636 at the side of Stickens lane. To the north sites 59743,57756 and 59844 seem to be an extension of the 140 acre site which has already been approved In addition sites 59631 and 59726 at Wateringbury. Cumulatively it will as mentioned see coalescence of existing rural communities but equally importantly the level of all supporting infrastructure simply will not support this level of additional concentrated new people populations. It is simply not the case that 'build it and they will come' has been seen to work in the past or will work now. Such huge levels of increase populations will require GPs Hospitals, Quality Broadband, Water and other basic services plus a significantly improved road and rail infrastructure which today is designed in the main for small separate rural and village communities.

included in the previous failed local plan namely now including land north of Pikey lane which surely will call into question the viability of the remaining Broadwater farm orchards and so further erosion cannot be ruled out which will see Kings Hill completely joined to East Malling into one urban sprawl. Equally the site 59856 at East Malling Table 9 - List Research centre has been designated a site for employment rather than for housing under the previously failed draft of Sites - East plan. If this happens then we will see loss of even more valued agricultural land and merging of communities through to Ditton. In aggregate we seem to be held hostage by developers and so call upon the TMBC authority to protect this

We have already seen substantial development land creep in the new plan with site 59740 since the first proposal

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

42182913

0 Malling

._.._

In particular I would like to object to the following sites in East Malling;

Site 59636 - Stickens Lane

Site 59698 – Clare Lane/Broadwater Rd

Site 59824 – Mill St, behind Darcy Court

These sites cover a small area which separates East and West Malling. They are 3 separate fields, 2 of which are currently farmed. Sites 59824 and 59636 have views into and within conservation areas and must surely be fed from the aquifiers within the Broadwater conservation area. If they are developed, then the road system will surely have to be adapted as many of the lanes are only single width at present. I am a member of Broadwater Action Group (BAG) and all of the objection points to that development (site 59740) can be applied here; Heritage, Geology, Wildlife, Roads and infrastructure as well as threatening a Conservation Area.

With the development of Forty Acres now proceeding there will already be a significant increase in the population. This brings me to my other point that the area of West Malling has absorbed a disproportionate number of new houses compared to the rest of the Borough. I know you have already received objections from BAG about Site 59740 but I will repeat that in a study undertaken for BAG it said;

'... the increase in housing in the immediate vicinity of West Malling; that is within a 1 mile radius, the number of dwellings has increased by 3695. Tonbridge and Malling Borough Council covers a 93 square mile area, of which the area surrounding West Malling (including Kings Hill and Leybourne Grange) accounts for 1% of the geographical space Table 9 - List of the borough. However, this very small area has absorbed roughly 58% of the number of new homes'

of Sites - East

0 Malling

42122849

BAG summarises;

[SITE REF: 59740]

I fully endorse the Broadwater Action Group's (BAG) submission which has been sent to you directly by BAG.

Our Cottage sits just to the East of the West Malling Bypass, so any development on the 59740 tract of land would materially affect our quality of life.

The BAG submission amply addresses our concerns.

We moved to the area many years ago when we realised just how rural life here could be for us, which remains the case to this day. Initially our children were just starting their secondary education, and we made full use of all the footpaths and bridlepaths.

We were able to keep a pony for our daughter and our son rode his mountain bike which he and my husband still do today.

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East We continue to walk and ride the network of interconnecting paths most days, even in our late 70's and hope to do so 0 Malling for many years to come.

consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into

Comment noted

[SITE REFS: 59630, 59631 AND 59740]

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane. Amber Lane. Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to guiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[SITE REFS: 59630, 59631 AND 59740]

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in processes. Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

0 Malling

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[SITE REFS: 59630, 59631 AND 59740]

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection

processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

45917057

0 Malling

[SITE REFS: 59630, 59631 AND 59740]

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45917473

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45918817

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45924449

42544513

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45928641

45928961

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45929313

consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[SITE REFS: 59448 AND 59450]

We wish to object to the following sites:

Sits 59448 and 59450 - Bradbourne Park Road, East Malling

We have lived on Bradbourne Fields since 1997 and object strongly to the proposal to build on these two areas of green space. They provide a green and open entrance to the estate, with rag stone walls and trees. There is a footpath from the estate onto London Road.

The area is a safe place for children from the estate to access without crossing a main road and play or enjoy the outdoor area. It is used on a daily basis by children, dog walkers, walkers, joggers etc. It is an area where probably every child on the estate has been taught to ride a bike. Residents and other local people often use the area as a quiet space to relax and enjoy the outdoors. There is no other area like this locally and to take it away would reduce the enjoyment of living in this area which provides enormous benefit to mental health and wellbeing.

Table 9 - List

0 Malling

of Sites - East Residents pay for the maintenance and upkeep of the greens. There are covenants about the use of the Greens that 0 Malling residents have to abide by. Residents are all invested in this area.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

45931521

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45932097

0 Malling

0 Malling

[SITE REFS: 59630, 59631 AND 59740]

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45932321

45932673

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Site 59726 - Adverse im	nact on Turtle Doves	in surrounding woodland
31tc 33720 /tave13c 111	pact on raitic boves	iii sai i cai iaii g wccaiai a

I do not think this site should be developed for housing. It represents an important green space between East Malling processes. and Wateringbury and would cause further traffic congestion in East Malling. The site would detract from the amenity value of the nearby woodlands which are used by local people for recreational purposes. I am also worried about the impact on the local population of turtle doves which inhabit the surrounding woodland during the summer and are Table 9 - List rapidly declining as a UK species.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection

0 Malling

of Sites - East

45932865

0 Malling Sue Taylor

> Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[SITE REFS: 59630, 59631 AND 59740]

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

EAST MALLING

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45934497

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Site 59630

Mixed Use TBC (est.157) dwellings

[map from SA]

Example reasons for objections

- * Proposed Green Belt
- * Countryside
- * Harm to the aquifer for streams
- * Outside of the confines of existing developments
- * Traffic restricted on local roads
- * Visual impact from AONB

My comments on this site for house building are: As above plus:

- * West Malling Railway station is overcrowded at peak times and its car parks are mostly full.
- * Car parking for Kings Hill shopping & GP Practice is barely adequate and the narrow roads around that area are only one lane wide in several places, especially due to roadside parking.
- * Road Infrastructure cannot support current traffic demands with bottlenecks regularly occurring on A228 and no easy options to address.
- * Medical Facilities and Schools cannot support existing demand.

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East Site 59631

45934529 0 Malling

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[SITE REF: 59630, 59631 AND 59740]

Site 59630 Mixed Use TBC (est. 157) dwellingsFields North of Amber Lane

Reasons for objections • Proposed Green Belt • Countryside • Harm to the aguifer for streams • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Traffic restricted on local roads • Visual Impact from AONB

Site 59631 Residential 136 dwellingsWateringburyRoad, Heath Farm fields next to Sports Centre

Reasons for objections • Existing Public Open Space for Kings Hill • Countryside • Harm to quiet lane and rural road networks • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted on local roads

Site 59740 Mixed Use (Est 3,000) dwellingsBroadwaterFarm

Reasons for objections • Harm to conservation areas or harm to significance of conservation areas • Proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt • Countryside • Harm to the aguifer for streams • Harm to non-listed heritage assets • Harm to guiet lane and of Sites - East rural road networks • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted on local roads • Inadequate resources – KCC indicate suggested school location not economic, no retail centre

45940769

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre. [SITE REF: 59740]

> I would like to have it recorded that I endorse Broadwater Action Group's submissions. Most notably those relating to processes. the irreparable damage to the conservation areas that myself and my family regularly spend time in being active and relaxing in this really valuable green space.

I am also concerned about the traffic caused by further development and the lack of attention to encouraging active Table 9 - List travel between this development and Kings Hill and West Malling. The air pollution, carbon emissions and impact on of Sites - East the quality of life of residents by not making this development more sustainable will be suffered by future 0 Malling generations.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection

45941793

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

> The vast majority of the proposed development is in the North East of the borough, this cannot be sustainable. Please take into account our MP Tom Tugendhat's recent statement I'm sure he speaks for many 1,000 of TMBC residents that have had enough of housing development in this part of the borough.

Our local services and infrastructure already cannot cope with the approved developments happening at Kingshill +1000; 40 acres, Parkside/Dutton edge etc giving us a further 2,500.

These developments are not sustainable, it is a rural location without its own infrastructure, no direct link and some distance from Kings hill's and other local amenities resulting in hundreds of car journeys everyday.

There will be a negative impact on surrounding conservation areas such as New Barns & effect the setting heritage assets.

The area is rich in biodiversity all will be lost. There will be new planting but as we have experienced Hedgehogs, bats, owls and badgers will be displaced & have nowhere to live post development.

Environmental pollution is inevitable with many water courses and 1000's of car & deliveries that these developments will attract.

Local roads do not have any capacity.

Loss of best grade farmland, we need to produce our own food.

The green buffer between East, West Malling & Kinghill will be lost.

Table 9 - List This is an important historical area with its ancient pond, quiet lanes, a developments of this size will have a huge of Sites - East visual impact.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes. The local plan development strategy and associated infrastructure demands will be reflected within the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

45942529

0 Malling

Whilst in theory I appreciate the need for more homes, I have grave concerns about the infrastructure surrounding the building of these homes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

We have no doctors surgery in the village which means the surrounding village surgeries will be impacted.

We have very little public transport on offer, with 1 bus an hour. And as on December 10th even fewer trains stop at east malling than before as we are not a priority anymore.

This means inevitably our roads will be busier with more cars.

The teenagers have nowhere to go in the evenings which means we are left with them screaming around doing wheelies on their mopeds late at night. Do we really want to increase the number of kids with nothing to do other than behave antisocially.

Can the local schools take an increase of this number? And where do they go once they've finished school?? Where are the jobs and how do they get there?

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East I know you don't take decisions like this lightly, but as an east malling resident these are my grave concerns to 0 Malling building SO many homes.

45944577

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

0 Malling

In addition local infrastructure cannot support the current development, let alone more!

of Sites - East

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

> We are residents of Bradbourne Fields and object to any development on the open green spaces on the Bradbourne Fields estate. The reasons for our objections are:

- * One of the reasons we bought our house is because of the beautiful outlook you see when you drive into Bradbourne Fields. Such development can only de-value our home;
- * We would be extremely concerned about the noise coming from any such building works and the mess and dust it will create (we have already had to put up with the dust coming from the building site at The Sweetings) and this would obviously be a lot nearer;
- * Any further housing will put even more strain on the already inadequate and overwhelmed schools, transport and doctors surgeries;
- * We are actually staggered that the green spaces are even on the local plan as if the council had done their homework they would have discovered that there is a covenant in the deeds for the houses already on the development which states "Not at any time to construct or erect or permit to suffer to be constructed or erected any building or other structure of whatever nature on the land which is edged and hatched green on the Plan (other than a roadway or access way with footpaths lighting sewers and all other services) and not to do anything on such land (save as aforesaid or in accordance with approved landscaping works) which shall interfere with its open nature when viewed from Bradbourne House to the south and not to construct or permit or suffer to be constructed at any time any sports grounds or pitches on the said land edged and hatched green on the Plan."

A lot of the spaces put forward on the plan seem to be on there for no apparent good reason, ie part of Larkfield Leisure Centre carpark which is always extremely busy and near to full, Martin Square Park, which is an overflow for the doctor's surgery, and always full, it seems that a lot of people's time is being wasted due to these ridiculous suggestions. As there is a covenant in the Deeds of Bradbourne Fields which clearly states that these green areas

Table 9 - List cannot be built on, it would seem that these sites are yet other areas that are on the plan and are just wasting of Sites - East residents' time in having to point out this to you.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

45942977

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45947361

45948705

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45948801

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45949825

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45951681

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

45951777 0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Table 9 - List

0 Malling

of Sites - East Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45952001

consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural Table 9 - List road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local of Sites - East roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre. Significant loss of natural gap between Kings Hill and West/East Malling

0 Malling

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45952673

0 Malling

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. Buffer zone of green belt land

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45952897

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45011457

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

42589025

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45955745

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45956097

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. This development has already been rejected for planning twice inc. Planning Inspectorate.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45957921

0 Malling

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45959585

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45959937

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45960289

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. Insufficient bus services to meet demand.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing public Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads. Insufficient bus services to meet demand. GP services cannot meet demand

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural Table 9 - List road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local of Sites - East roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre. Insufficient bus services to meet demand. GP services cannot meet demand

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

45969313

45961025

45968641

0 Malling

0 Malling

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45969697

0 Malling

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45962049

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45971777

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45972705

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45973153

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45973569

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

Access via Amber Lane would be wholly inadequate and it would be detrimental to the adjacent area of ancient woodland.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

Loss of amenity space for walkers, runners and dog walkers.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

0 Malling

of Sites - East

The A228 is already dangerously over capacity - loss of high quality agricultural land.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

was that Kings Hill was a new garden village with plenty of green space around it, it had a medical centre in the centre Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into along with an array of shops for everyday needs, a bus service that could take one to Tunbridge Wells and Maidstone and a railway station not too far away.

Over the years the bus services have been cut and now there is a very limited buses with restricted hours and extremely limited service over the weekends. I understand that the XI and X2 are going to be cut out altogether from February next year. The railway station now only connects to Victoria where a while back one could travel to London Bridge.

How can the council classify Kings Hill as an urban settlement when we do not have the facilities at the moment to support that status let alone once all this building has been completed. I think we need to be classified as a village. I support the green belt being extended around Kings Hill, East Malling and West Malling which would then protect the individual character of the villages and therefore protect the green spaces. By protecting the green belt, it would allow for the planting of more trees.

As far as the medical centre is in Kings Hill it cannot serve the existing community, there used to be the surgery in West Malling but that has closed. The hospitals at the moment cannot cope with sick people at the moment -Have you heard about the waiting lists I!! It's okay to say that we will build a new medical facility but who is going to staff it, there are not enough doctors and nurses at the moment. They could not staff the medical facility at Leybourne Chase!

If you do not have a decent bus service, the alternative is to drive but not everyone can do that. thought the idea of providing good public transport was to cut pollution and also to control the amount of traffic holdups. I would not cycle on our roads out of Kings Hill as they are so dangerous with huge lorries thundering past, but I do cycle around Kings Hill village.

Table 9 - List

0 Malling

of Sites - East Site 59630 157 dwellings Fields North of Amber Lane. This is also outside the existing line of development and will 0 Malling encroach into the countryside and green countryside space. It is in an area of outstanding natural beauty which

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes. The local plan development strategy and associated infrastructure demands will be reflected within the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. Phosphate pollution to water restricted previously by planning inspector

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads. Very poor access

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre. phosphates

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

GP Surgery already not coping -The local surgery cannot cope at the moment, and many people are forced to use private medical services because they cannot get an appointment. Adding 1,000's of additional houses will only increase this problem.

Road Access to Kings Hill already inadequate -The A228 is already overburdened during rushhour particularly the non dual carriageway section all the way to/from Mereworth. Access to Kings Hill is limited to two chokepoints which are Table 9 - List easily blocked in the event of traffic problems. These issues will only be worse if additional dwellings are built.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

Public Transport to Kings Hill already inadequate and being cut back-Already poor & expensive

45975457

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into

consideration within the site analysis and site selection

processes.

45011457

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45976065

consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45977281

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45978305

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45979873

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45980481

45983393

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

of Sites - East

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road Table 9 - List networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45983649

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45984961

consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

42140257

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

		[Site 59740]	Comment noted
		To build on Broadwater farm will ultimately be deemed an act of criminal negligence and those associated with it will be held accountable. Broadwater farm and it's surrounding area is an oasis of local historical interest, environmental natural fauna and wild life that should remain as it is in the face of an ever increasing suburban sprawl.	
45988065		I accept that individual houses or small hamlets may be acceptable in certain situations but to build a 900 property housing estate with schools and doctors etc and all that that entails is to destroy and turn our beautiful villages into a historical footnote which is unforgivable. I can only suggest and implore that the green belt is extended so as to cover the proposed areas and in doing so save this precious green land. [Site 59740] I am writing to endorse the comments submitted by the Broadwater Action Group (BAG) against the proposed development at site reference 59740.	Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes. The local plan development strategy and associated infrastructure demands will be reflected within the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.
		The size of the proposed development will have a highly detrimental impact on the local environment, landscape and heritage and result in the loss of a significant area of high quality agricultural land.	
	Table 9 - List of Sites - East	The current infrastructure, including roads, water, drainage and medical facilities are already stressed and this development would therefore have a negative impact on the existing local residents I support the site being included in the proposed extension of the Green Belt to protect the "green wedge" between	

the current villages of West Malling, East Malling and Leybourne

45979873

0 Malling

[Sites 59715 and 59824]

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

As a resident of Mill Street, East Malling, I wish to make my comments know to the Council, regarding various areas of proposed development in my local area.

Bearing in mind the size of the Borough, I feel the following proposed sites, in the area of East Malling, Wateringbury and West Malling, are wholly inappropriate in size, location and access.

Site 59715: Mixed Use

Site 59715: Residential

Site 59824: Residential

The access to the above sites are on narrow country roads. The village of East Malling, due to it's rural setting, has considerable 'on road parking' in both directions, going towards Wateringbury and the other direction, towards West Malling. There is a considerable amount of 'one way traffic ' back ups, an example being the junction at the King and Queen pub.

These three sites proposed, apart from loss of agricultural land, which is also an environmental issue, will result in disappearance of village boundaries between East Malling and the town of West Malling.

There are numerous heritage issues at stake as well, but in practical terms, we do not have the road systems and they Table 9 - List cannot be created, to cope with the proposed development sites in this part of the Borough.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

45988449

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45998305

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into
consideration within the site analysis and site selection
processes.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre. [Site 59740 - Broadwater Farm]

I do not believe this site is suitable for inclusion in the Local Plan for the following reasons:

- * Loss of high grade farm land at a time of extreme food instability
- * Harm to many heritage assets including listed buildings and conservation areas. Building a duel carriageway between two conservation areas should never be entertained
- * Coalescence of East Malling, West Malling, Kings Hill and nearby hamlets
- * Harm to the aquifer and lack of consideration of hydrogeology issues
- * Harm to Quiet Lanes and rural road network used by walkers, cyclists and famililies. These roads could not cope with the significant additional traffic volume
- * The existing consultation for this site received over 1,500 objection responses from official consultees, TMBC Table 9 - List residents and other interested parties which should be taken into account.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

46004737 0 Malling

[Site 59636 Stickens Lane]

I would like to register my objection to this proposed development for the following reasons:

1: The developement would need to be accessed from Stickens Lane, a single track road designated as a quiet lane. I would hope that was enough to rule this out as this road is not suitable to accommodate additional traffic from a 100+ houses.

2:Any developement of this size would jeopodize the water quality and biodiversity from the Well Street stream which needs to be protected.

Table 9 - List

0 Malling

of Sites - East 3: If the Broadwater Farm site is agreed, this proposed developement would mean there was no green gap between 0 Malling Kings Hill and East Malling.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

46004737

46002721

		[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings	Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.
45007524	of Sites - East	Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local	
45987521	0 Malling	roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.	Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes. The local plan development strategy and associated
		[Site 59740 Broadwater Farm]	infrastructure demands will be reflected within the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.
		I totally endorse the submission by the Broadwater Action Group in respect of proposal Site 59740 Broadwater Farm	
		This site is too large for the local area and would cause significant damage to the local environment is terms of loss of a good quality agricultural land and the local biodiversity and associated habitat for wildlife.	
	of Sites - East	The current infrastructure around East and West Malling and Mereworth would not be able to support a development of this scale. This includes the road networks, GP services, dentists etc. It is also proposed on a site with a high water	
42831585	0 Malling	table (hence Broadwater) so there must be a risk of increased pollution into the water table as well as flooding.	Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection
		[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings	processes.
		This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place	
		Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.	
		[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings	
		Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.	
		[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings	
		Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green	

Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local

roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

46009729

0 Malling

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Processes. Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Table 9 - List of Sites - East 0 Malling

46010081

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

of Sites 9953 0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

46010849

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road

Table 9 - List networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

of Sites - East

46011489 0 Malling

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

46011713

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection

processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

46014625

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

46015681

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

46016897

46017217

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

46017729

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

46021697

46021761

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

46021857

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of Table 9 - List existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. of Sites - East

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

46033697

46032513

0 Malling

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

46034625

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

46035521

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road

Table 9 - List networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

of Sites - East

46036801 0 Malling

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

46038721

46037729

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

46039361

46039745

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

46040161

46040225

consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

46040417

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Table 9 - List of Sites - East

45945313 0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

46047745

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

.----

Dear Sir/Madam,

I object to this development for the following reasons-

Stickens Lane is a designated quiet lane.

It is a narrow, ancient farm

track, used by ramblers, dog walkers and cyclists.

There is only room for one car, with few passing spaces.

The proposed development of 100+ houses on this site, would lead to a greatly increased traffic.

This would lead to issues for the surrounding quiet country lanes in the area.

This site would almost join East and West Malling, reducing greatly the green spaces in the area.

If thé proposed development of Broadwater Farm goes ahead, we will be linked to Kings Hill too. The beautiful fields and orchards will be gone forever.

The wildlife in the area would suffer greatly.

Table 9 - List At a time when we are supposed to be looking for more crops grown at home, it's sinful that they are covering every of Sites - East available space with housing.

46049729

0 Malling

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

46050145

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in processes. Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Table 9 - List

0 Malling

0 Malling

of Sites - East Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

Study for potential housing development. The 2 sites are 59448 & 59450, both contained within the Bradbourne Fields development.

There would appear to be a fundamental flaw in the methodology by which the TMBC-engaged consultancy, Urban Intelligence has undertaken their activity and identified these 2 sites.

Firstly, the sites are Private Property, owned by Redrow Homes, not public amenity land suitable for development. The general public does not even have a right to access this land. Even a cursory examination of the Land Registry files would have identified this and should have raised concerns as to their applicability from the outset. There is surely a need to at least identify and review the ownership of potential sites before they are nominated? I would suggest that questions are asked of whoever identified and sanctioned the methodology with such a fundamental omission.

Secondly, the very existence of these privately-owned sites is for the ""purpose of rest and quiet recreation" only by the homeowners of Bradbourne Fields. The land is private and not for use or access by the general public. This use is embodied with the covenants of the property deeds of the 247 properties of Bradbourne Fields. The maintenance costs of the sites are fully-funded by the 247 home-owners. Again, even a cursory glance of the legal documentation would have identified this.

Therefore, it is clear that the land is only for our private use as residents and will never be developed by the landowners, Redrow Homes. By including them as potential sites, you have caused great anxiety and distress amongst residents that there is a potential for us to lose our covenanted land for which we pay a considerable amount of money every year to ensure its upkeep and protection.

There has also been a direct consequence on the value and potential saleability of properties within Bradbourne Table 9 - List Fields as a result of this public announcement of a potential development. This is disgraceful and I feel that you owe a of Sites - East public apology to all residents. I know of at least 2 residents who are trying to sell their properties. Searches by the prospective buyers have identified this issue of the potential for development within Bradbourne Fields. The

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Apparently, this is not a consideration when housing planning is being discussed by the government, or the councils.

However, it is a fact that any new residents in this area would struggle to find a Dentist, private or otherwise.

The local Doctors surgery is already unable to cope, and failing its patients daily.

The Pharmacies have queues going out the door and down the road, with waiting times to pick up medication

of approximately two hours.

Our development is subject to a rental and service charge paid by all private residents.

The green areas were part of the build on Bradbourne Fields as stated by Redrow, and managed by a Management Company

to keep up the appearance of these green spaces.

It is in our deeds that these areas should not be built on, and, that there is a covenant that Bradbourne House

should have an unobstructed view across the land to the A20.

These green areas are used to walk our dogs. Residents and other locals use the spaces to have picnics with their children.

Table 9 - List

0 Malling

of Sites - East as the many trees provide safe shade, and provide better air quality especially as we are close to the A20.

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes. The local plan development strategy and associated infrastructure demands will be reflected within the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to guiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

46052033

0 Malling

consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

0 Malling

Reasons for objections • Proposed Green Belt • Countryside • Harm to the aguifer for streams • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Traffic restricted on local roads • Visual Impact from AONB

Site 59631 Residential 136 dwellings Wateringbury Road, Heath Farm fields next to Sports Centre

Reasons for objections • Existing Public Open Space for Kings Hill • Countryside • Harm to quiet lane and rural road networks • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted on local roads

Site 59634 Residential 104 dwellings Hoath Wood Ancient Woodland next to Broadwater Farm proposal

Reasons for objections • Harm to conservation areas or harm to significance of conservation areas • Ancient Woodland and TPOs (Most of site) • Countryside • Harm to quiet lane and rural road networks • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted on local roads • Visual Impact from **AONB**

Site 59655 Residential 143 dwellings On Road to North Pole behind Victory Drive

Reasons for objections • Green Belt • Countryside • Harm to quiet lane and rural road networks • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted on local roads

Site 59740 Mixed Use (Est 3,000) dwellings Broadwater Farm

Table 9 - List Reasons for objections • Harm to conservation areas or harm to significance of conservation areas • Proposed Green of Sites - East Belt • Countryside • Harm to the aquifer for streams • Harm to non-listed heritage assets • Harm to quiet lane and 0 Malling rural road networks • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted

45935105

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

46052737

46052833

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

46053249

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

	Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into
	consideration within the site analysis and site selection
[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings	processes.
This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place	
Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines	of

existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

46055777

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

46055937

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

of Sites - East

46056097 0 Malling

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to guiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

46055969

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Objections

0 Malling

0 Malling

Site 59630 - proposed green belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from AONB.

Site 59631 - existing public open space for Kings Hill, countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads

Site 59740 - harm to conservation areas or harm to significance of conservation areas, proposed green belt, Table 9 - List countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non listed heritage assets, harm to quiet Lane and rural road of Sites - East networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

46056801

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

46059457

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road Table 9 - List networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads. of Sites - East

46059937

0 Malling

0 Malling

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

of Sites - East

46060737 0 Malling

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

lable 9 - List

of Sites - East

46059681

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

East Malling, have been identified as potential sites for housing.

We have lived on Bradbourne Fields for almost twenty years and one of the main reason's for moving to the development were the greens. We walk on the greens every day and always have done (especially now we have a dog). We meet lots of neighbours and have made some great friends whilst spending time on the greens. It is the only local area we can walk to without crossing main roads. This is important for everyone in the area, especially those with young children, dogs and elderly people.

The greens are a sanctuary for everyone living locally, it is our safe space and is one of the few places we can truly relax. The greens are essential for peoples mental wellbeing and physical health.

With so many additional properties being built locally, the roads are getting busier and adding more stress to everyone's lives.

We often comment that the greens are where we start to unwind when we return home. If the greens disappeared, it would have a dramatic impact on everyone living locally, increasing levels of stress and anxiety to an unbearable level.

The greens are also the only break between housing and main roads locally.

They are an important area for nature as well, we often observe badgers on the greens, one of the only places in the UK we have seen badgers. There are numerous birds that spend time on the greens, including Green Woodpeckers.

We firmly believe that building property/developing the greens would lower everyone's quality of life, affecting peoples mental and physical health and increasing anxiety.

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East Everyone living on Bradbourne Fields pays a ground rent and maintenance, to maintain the development, especially 0 Malling the greens. These payments have been made since Bradbourne Fields was constructed. We have spoken to the

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

46061633

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

Detrimental affect on mental and physical health removing access to green space, HSE - long term exposure to construction dust.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

Detrimental affect on mental and physical health removing access to green space.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East HSE long term exposure to construction dust has health implications for local community, loss of green space will 0 Malling impact mental and physical health.

46062145

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

image

Example reasons for objections

- * Proposed Green Belt
- * Countryside
- * Harm to the aquifer for streams
- * Outside of the confines of existing developments
- * Traffic restricted on local roads
- * Visual Impact from AONB

My comments on this site for house building are:

Expands existing development area, encroaching on countryside.

Impact on traffic and infrastructure.

Site 59631 Residential 136 dwellings

image

Example reasons for objections

Table 9 - List * Existing Public Open Space for Kings Hill

of Sites - East * Countryside

42164993

0 Malling

* Harm to quiet lane and rural road networks

[59740]

I, [redacted] wish to register my objection to the proposed development at the Broadwater Farm, and endorse the Broadwater Action Group's submissions. In the 22 years I have lived here, there has been significant housing development in the area, whilst development of the necessary supporting infrastructure has been sorely neglected. In particular, the health services, GPs, dentists and social care are already inadequate and unable to support local demand. The nature of West Malling as a thriving market town is being destroyed. There are simply too many cars and too little parking to enable an appropriate footfall of traffic to sustain local shops and services. If the proposed of Sites - East development were to go ahead, West Malling would simply be consumed into one large suburban sprawl extending

46062977 0 Malling all the way to Maidstone

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The local plan development strategy and associated infrastructure demands will be reflected within the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

[59740]

I fully endorse the Broadwater Action Group's (BAG) submission which has been sent to you directly by BAG.

Our Cottage sits just to the East of the West Malling Bypass, so any development on the 59740 tract of land would materially affect our quality of life.

The BAG submission addresses most of my concerns, but there are a couple of aspects which I would like to reinforce.

1 At present the water quality emanating from the springs at the foot of Well Street is such that watercress still grows wild around the spring. This is a testament to the the land management practiced by Broadwater Farm. They clearly do not use significant quantities of fertilisers containg soluble phosphates. The aquifer which includes the Cwylla and Broadwater itself and all the land which drains towards the springs produces water of a quality which has been considered for use as drinking water.

Contrast this with the water emanating from the springs at the foot of St Leonards Tower. In summer an algal bloom is very obvious over most of the area of Manor Park Lake. This is often an indicator of significant chemical runoff in rivers such as the Wye. This is enough to inhibit further housing development in the the Wye catchment area. The potential impact of housing and associated road building on plot 59740 could produce similar effects on what is a pristine spring.

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East 2 Plot 59740 could never be described as a brownfield site. That alone should be reason enough to abandon any development, if the present government pursues its stated objectives.

46063649

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

	1
154 /////	
133/40	

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection

processes.

I wanted to say that I fully endorse the Broadwater Action Group's submission on this rather horrible proposal. I live in a beautiful rural part of Kent, with lots of echoes of its medieval past, including roads which were built to serve Table 9 - List pedestrians and horse-riders. An increase in traffic on these single-track roads would be dreadful, and unsustainable.

of Sites - East

46064065 0 Malling This development must not go ahead!

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

46063905

0 Malling

.--- . .-,

I wish to respond to the potential development site at Broadwater Farm as detailed in the consultation document 59740.

We live in uncertain, difficult and challenging times; the world is very unstable and food supply chain issues have become apparent exacerbated by the war in Ukraine. Therefor is it not foolhardy to potentially build on HIGH GRADE agricultural farmland which Broadwater Farm is at excess of 300 acres. Once built on the opportunity is gone forever which we may live to regret. You can't eat bricks and mortar. Brownfield sites should be a priority for development.

As a result of the current planning application, it has become clear that parts of this site are affected by groundwater flooding evidence for this was obtained by the Broadwater Action Group

Any development at Broadwater Farm is unlikely to benefit any local people and will simply import people from outside the Borough into an already overpopulated area. The requirements for a major development are huge there will need to be a rethink on the local infrastructure ie. Can the existing road network support extra traffic, medical requirements and schooling.

There are areas of outstanding beauty which have been awarded conservation area status this must not be compromised. Currently many people enjoy the Broadwater area for activities such walking Riding, Dog walking and cycling taking advantage of the quiet lanes and the surrounding road network.

There would be a loss to wildlife. Currently the Farm is supporting a range of wild flowers which must be a fantastic aid to insects, there are bats foxes badgers weasels and stoats and rabbits of course to say nothing of the variety of birdlife that includes large numbers of fieldfares that visit each year, flocks of goldfinches, a number of corvids ie Table 9 - List magpies, jays crows etc .woodpeckers both spotted and green some owls, as well as the more common garden of Sites - East varieties such as blue tits sparrows robins etc.

0 Malling

[59636]

This is a very brainless proposition.

For a start, the most obvious issue is that the site can only be accessed by Stickens Lane, a very small road which is designated as a quiet lane. This road is perhaps two metres wide in places, and is also constrained by a narrow railway bridge,

Secondly, the site is valuable agricultural land.

Table 9 - List Thirdly, the site is bordered by the stream, which has a vole colony which needs to be preserved.

of Sites - East

46064065 0 Malling I object most strongly to the proposal.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes. However, comments on specific live planning applications are Development Management matters.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Comment noted

I wish to object to the site 59449 – Carnation Green East Malling being considered as a housing site. Here are my objections to any development in this area:

- * I believe that without protection of the little green spaces within our local communities and specifically site 59449, we set ourselves up for a number of mental and physical health problems and degradation of natural habitats that are vital to our wellbeing.
- * The disproportionate development of the North East of the Borough is unfair and unsustainable.

Carnation Green is a safe, secure environment used by local residents on a daily basis to:

- * enable the children to play in a safe space. The green is enclosed on all sides by residents who can keep sight of what is happening on the green.
- * promotes healthy lifestyle through play and engagement
- * helps to fight against mental health issues
- * promotes the health of developing children.
- * As a resident of one of the two blocks of flats, that is green for me and my family but also for my neighbours' use due to the lack of our own garden.
- * My daughter learned to ride a bike on that green and not only she did.

She as well as her friends, our neighbours and local residents' children are using this green on a regular basis for ball games, exercising and commuting where the parents can supervise their children, so we consider the green as a safe Table 9 - List place away from busy roads.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

0 Malling

* Many people use the space for picnics, to play football and other sports.

processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

46064609

46064545

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection

$\Gamma = \Delta$	 \sim 1

I am totally against the plans for Broadwater Farm and my reasons are:

- * I believe this is high grade farm land which will be totally lost
- * There are listed buildings and this is a Conservation area plus there are many landscape features, all of which will be affected
- * I live on Mill Street in East Malling and the proposals are merging East Malling, West Malling and Kings Hill. We chose our house as it is in a village and this will no longer be the case once this and other plans have been approved
- * We have guiet lanes and a very rural network which are all unsuitable for more traffic
- * I am very concerned about the effects of water across this whole site and am not convinced the evaluations have been sufficient

Table 9 - List * We already have serious issues with our GPs and this will just bring everything to a standstill

of Sites - East * It is my understanding that there already have been more than 1,500 objections from other residents in the existing 0 Malling consultation. How can all these just be ignored?

46064705

46065121

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Table 9 - List Construction dust is not just a nuisance; it can seriously damage your health and some types can of Sites - East eventually even kill. Regularly breathing these dusts over a long time can therefore cause lifechanging 0 Malling lung diseases.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in processes. Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road

0 Malling networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in processes. Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road

0 Malling networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

45315489

I am writing to you in response to your recent request for input from local residents to assist you in drawing up the Local Plan for the borough.

I have read the consultation documents you have put together on the Local Plan and appreciate the need to have a strategic approach to the development and provision of services, housing and infrastructure in the future to suit the needs of the local population, whilst protecting the environment and the local economy.

As a resident of East Malling for over 25 years, my family and I have experienced first hand the major expansion of local communities and, in particular, the development of the new town of Kings Hill. These changes have had a significant impact on the local infrastructure, particularly with the pressure on the road network, health care facilities and schools, all of which are struggling to cope. On reading the consultation documents, I see that the plan is likely to allow for the continued development of housing in the area between now and 2040, which, in my opinion, will only exacerbate the chronic problems local people face if the area around West Malling, East Malling and Kings Hill continues to be a strategic part of the development plans for the borough.

In particular, I refer to Sites 59276, 59631, 59636, 59698, 59715 and 59740, which, if development was allowed, would see the joining of Kings Hill and East Malling as one continuous urban sprawl.

My concerns are as follows:

- * Taking into consideration the above sites and other sites around West Malling, there would be a coalescence of Kings Hill, West Malling and East Malling, the latter of which is already part of the Ditton and Larkfield continuum.
- * All of the proposed sites mentioned are greenfield, much of which is made up of high grade agricultural land. At a

Table 9 - List time when global supply chains are under threat, it is madness to sacrifice such a valuable commodity to housing of Sites - East development. Once it is gone we will never get it back.

0 Malling

* The harmful impact on the local road network, including the country lanes, which are already becoming rat runs for

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes. The local plan development strategy and associated infrastructure demands will be reflected within the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

I am writing in objection to two of the proposed developments on Kings Hill, one being site 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane and Site 59740 Broadwater Farm.

Conservation & Wildlife – The wooded areas surrounding Kings Hill and the Broadwater farm area are a haven for many species of wildlife and we have a vast amount of well established trees, plants and underground water sources. To disturb all of the wildlife in this area is one of my biggest concerns. You are potentially looking at reducing the amount of farm land all to build hundreds of more homes on an already over populated area. Kings Hill was once a sought after area to live and it now seems that any available space is being built upon and there is going to be very little green space left at all if all of the proposed plans go ahead.

Traffic/Parking – this is already a massive issue on Kings Hill as most houses do not have sufficient parking which then loads to vehicles being parked on pavements or roads which often obstruct view for drivers and create potential hazards for pedestrians. I struggle on a daily basis at the junction of Sandow Place as cars park either side of this junction which means when pulling out you have to take extra care. Getting on and off Kings Hill takes a considerable amount of time as cars are often parked on all the main roads which means you often have to stop and start, allowing cars to pass as you can only use one side of the road. In addition having two main roads in and out of Kings Hill simply isn't sufficient for the amount of homes and business that occupy Kings Hill meaning that those two main roads are always congested especially in the mornings and from 4:30pm/6:00pm.

GP Surgery – To my knowledge the GP surgery is already at capacity and people are often complaining that they are unable to get an appointment with a GP. I have lived on Kings Hill for 13 years and still have to travel to my previous GP surgery as I cannot register here where I live. The development of further houses will simply add to this problem.

Table 9 - List Schools — No secondary school on the hill means that children have to travel off of Kings Hill which again adds to the of Sites - East issues of congestion, especially with the 2 main roads on and off the hill. Parents are often left with no option that to 0 Malling pay for public transport to get children to school which for me at the moment is costing £100 per month for my

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes. The local plan development strategy and associated infrastructure demands will be reflected within the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

.----

Objection Comments for site 59715, Ivy Farm, Wateringbury Road, East Malling

There is already an active planning application for this site for 52 dwellings (22/01570/OA) which is pending a review / decision. The local plan submission is requesting double the number of dwellings than what is included in that planning application, yet the developer under application 22/01570/OA is stating that the site achieves net biodiversity by inclusion of a pear orchard / wildlife areas. It can only be assumed that the extra 50 dwellings are proposed to be built on the ecological area that is being used by the developer to justify net biodiversity for the existing planning request. If the 52 dwelling are approved for this active planning application then no further residential properties should be built on wildlife / green areas on this site that is being used in the applicant's net biodiversity calculations or it renders them totally invalid.

Additionally, site 59715 is not suitable for a large housing estate for the following reasons:

- Adjacent to the East Malling conservation area which contains many heritage assets that would be adversely affected
- Located at the rural gateway to East Malling which has stood for hundreds of years. East Malling has strong historical heritage links to agriculture / the rural landscape and this would destroy the only remaining rural gateway into the village.
- The site does not meet sustainability goals in that there are no local shops and transport links are poor. There are also no opportunities to introduce greener transport options due to the historic width restrictions on Wateringbury Road.
- Due to limited public transport links available, it would be assumed that the majority of residents would be forced to use their cars which would create several negative impacts —
- o Increase in traffic levels through the East Malling conservation area, impacting on residents and visitors' enjoyment of this heritage asset
- o Increase in Air pollution levels in the village High Street, Chapel Street and Wateringbury Road. It is understood air Table 9 List pollution levels are already very close to exceeding acceptable levels from an air quality perspective.
- of Sites East o Increase traffic into Wateringbury crossroads which is already a designated AQMA zone.

46101377 0 Malling

• It is believed this site feeds the village stream through ground water, being uphill from the source of the spring at

[Site reference 59715, 59636, 59631, 59824]

General objections regarding other sites:

"The National Planning Policy Framework7 (NPPF) states that the planning system should contribute to and enhance the natural and local environment, by preventing new development from contributing to or being adversely affected by unacceptable concentrations of air pollution and development should, wherever possible, help to improve local environmental conditions such as air quality."

A large number of the proposed sites are feeding onto roads that direct traffic in to the East Malling conservation area. The accumulative effect will collectively worsen the air pollution in East Malling and at the Wateringbury Crossroads. The following sites are of a particular concern in this regard-

Site 59715 Residential as already mentioned above

Site 59636 – feeding traffic / worsening pollution in East Malling High Street by feeding traffic down Mill Street Site 59631 – Wateringbury Road – increasing traffic volumes at Wateringbury crossroads and East Malling High Street and increasing air pollution at both locations

Site 59824 – increased traffic feeding into East Malling high street/ degrading the heritage environment and increasing air pollution levels

Finally, I would like to request that the Council ensure that East Malling remains a 'village' and does not evolve into an

Table 9 - List urban sprawl where the rural and historical aspects of the village are lost for ever, as is happening elsewhere.

of Sites - East Regards,

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes. However, comments on specific live planning applications are Development Management matters.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

46101377

0 Malling

Andy

Reasons for objections:

- Harm to conservation areas or harm to significance of conservation areas
- Proposed Green Belt
- Loss of countryside
- Harm to the aquifer for streams
- Harm to non-listed heritage assets
- Harm to quiet lane and rural road networks
- Outside of the confines of existing developments

Site 59630 Mixed Use TBC (est. 157) dwellings Fields North of Amber Lane Reasons for objections:

- Proposed Green Belt
- Loss of countryside
- Harm to the aquifer for streams
- Outside of the confines of existing developments
- Traffic restricted on local roads
- Visual Impact from AONB

Site 59631 Residential 136 dwellings Wateringbury Road, Heath Farm fields next to Sports Centre

Reasons for objections:

- Existing Public Open Space for Kings Hill
- Loss of countryside
- Harm to quiet lane and rural road networks

Table 9 - List • Outside of the confines of existing developments

of Sites - East • Loss of agricultural land

46101473

0 Malling

• Traffic restricted on local roads

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

1) Threat to High Grade Farmland

. - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - . - .

Broadwater Farm is a major producer of high quality fruit and supplies all the national retailers with UK-grown fruit. In the past Broadwater Farm produce also included quality pears, cherries, runner beans and asparagus grown from its high grade soil suited to the production of a wide variety of fruit, vegetable and arable farming crops. The farm has a high proportion of grade 1 Ggricultural land as identified in the Medway Gap and Vicinity survey carried out by ADAS Resource Planning Group in 1991 as part of the then Local Plan formulation. This reports that 71% of land at Eden Farm, West Malling, is Grade 1 and some 50% of land at Broadwater farm is Grade 1 and 2 with 70% being above Grade 3, contributing significantly to the quality of the apples harvested there. In addition to the soil quality, there remains an abundance of water within two principal aquafers (underground watercourses) very close to the surface and hence the name Broadwater. Proposals to develop this land would be contrary to Chapter 4.2.1 of the Reg 18 Local Plan which specifically requires protection of high-quality agricultural land.

* 2) Green Belt Extension & Heritage Asset Protection

During the formulation of the now withdrawn, 2019/2020 Local Plan TMBC was persuaded to allow circa 900 homes to be included on the southern part of Broadwater Farm as an extension to the north of Kings Hill. This came with a 'trade-off' that the development would be limited by an extension of the Green Belt from west of West Malling town across to Wateringbury Road, East Malling. This provision seems to have as yet been omitted in the new plans and it appears that the whole of Broadwater Farm is being considered for development, including the areas that were formerly included in the Green Belt extension proposal which was submitted for assessment by the Planning

Table 9 - List Inspectorate.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

46102273

Without proper protection of the green space between East Malling, West Malling and Kings Hill, this could lead to a

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes. The local plan development strategy and associated infrastructure demands will be reflected within the Infrastructure Delivery Plan. However, comments on specific live planning applications are Development Management matters.

.-- :--, -- : :-,

I am writing to you to object to the proposed sites that were put forward in the Local Plan.

Site 59450 Residential (Bradbourne Fields Estate off Bradbourne Park Road)

Site 59448 Residential (Bradbourne Fields Estate off Bradbourne Park Road)

To even think that the local village and surrounding areas are able to cope with more housing is a crazy and a ludicrous idea. As anyone knows there is no capacity on the roads, schools, dentists, doctors surgeries or even at the local hospitals.

Everyone who lives in the area already knows the problems and issues living daily in this village area. Take for example Leybourne Chase that was built. The developers had to provide for a doctors surgery. Unfortunately this does not work, as proven that the so called building they constructed for this and rent out to doctors was too expensive and was never used. Given that with the lack of doctors it would be deadly to even consider theses sites as there is simply not enough to go round. Anyone who thinks there is obviously does not live in the real world.

In addition Villages are villages for a reason with historic values and not metropolises by the continued build across these needed green spaces. Green space is needed for health and wellbeing. They also need to be easily accessible and residents should not have to walk miles through built up areas to reach these spaces. These spaces are community spaces and as such brings the community together. Children meet to play, dog walkers connect to socialise their dogs and themselves, neighbours meet and connect with the area. Not to mention the amount of wildlife that live in these areas from Badgers, bats and foxes.

Table 9 - List As we have seen time and time again the computer program used to estimate traffic has been a huge fail time and of Sites - East time again and local residents are the experts. 0 Malling

46107393

0 Malling

0 Malling

[Sites 59448 and 59450]

I am emailing to register objections to the 2 sites 59448 & 59450 in the entrance to the Bradbourne Fields estate. Both these areas are extensively used by our family for many years. The areas are especially heavily used in the warmer months by young families as well as children on their own in a safe area visable to people but away from fast roads etc. My family has all learned to ride first walk, scooters and to cycle in these areas and have had many picnics etc too. I would also like to state that these areas have an aesthetic and calming function too especially important in Table 9 - List these current stressful times. Since the estate was built residents pay for upkeep of these areas and as such should be of Sites - East consulted should anyone seeking to make use of these areas that we pay for and feel confident that the residents will not agree to any alternative use.

46108865

[Site 59740]

I fully endorse Broadwater Action Group's submissions regarding Site 59740 Broadwater Farm and believe that any development, and in particular this huge development, will completely destroy the identity of local communities and local heritage. It will also add to the huge traffic issues and stresses on local infrastructure - schools, shops, parking, Table 9 - List and transport (trains and buses). Our area has had more than its fair share of development and it is turning a semiof Sites - East rural area which I and many others chose to live in, into a faceless urban area and an extension of the disaster that is the development of Maidstone.

Noted. The local plan development strategy and associated infrastructure demands will be reflected within the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

Comment noted

Comment noted

[59830] Comment noted

The Kingshill development

Originally proposed approximately 1000 properties to my knowledge now just under 8000. The queue of traffic on

Table 9 - List and off the estate at rush hour both now and pre covid should demonstrate that the site is NOT SELF SUSTAINING and

of Sites - East people are travelling both on and off to work/live/school etc. A significant volume of this traffic is being driven

46117281 0 Malling through Mereworth, Wateringbury, Hadlow and Offham.[59830]

> After some diligent work by Trudy Dean and her team we have been made aware of the proposed sites that are being Comment noted earmarked for residential housing development.

The sites I refer to are 59433, 59436, 59437, 59457, 59494 and 59718.

All of these sites are areas that have been used for local amenities and their loss would severely impact on the local community.

Sites 59436 and 59494 are green spaces in local housing developments that have been left to allow children to play outdoors local to their homes.

Site 59433 is primarily used as a dog walking area and as such keeps many dogs away from the other large open spaces.

Site 59718 is used by the local football team who have spent money recently to upgrade the facilities and many people enjoy belonging to a local club andthe events that it runs.

Sites 59436 and 59457 are car parks that are inconstant and, it must be pointed out, are fully utilised almost every day.

Table 9 - List

0 Malling

46117697

of Sites - East It would be highly detrimental to the local communities if all these spaces were turned over to housing and make our area more congested than it already is.

Sites 59740

Harm to Conservation Areas

Proposed Green Belt

Harm to Aquifer to streams

Harm to Non Listed Heritage Assets

Harm to Quiet Lane and Rural Road Networks

Loss of Argricultural Land

Table 9 - List Traffic restricted on Local Roads

of Sites - East

46116449 0 Malling **Inadequate Resources** Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Comment noted

			[Site 59740]	
			Site ref 59740 Broadwater Farm	
	46121025		I am sending this message because I am very concerned that the vast majority of the sites are situated in the NE of the borough, this areas infrastructure cannot cope today let alone with the proposed development. The green belt as submitted in the original plan should be reinstated Kings Hill, West & East Malling should not become a housing estate. Building on prime farmland in a time when we need food security is not a sensible option let alone the negative environmental impact on farmland wildlife when there numbers are plummeting. Sites 59740	Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into
			Harm to Conservation Areas	consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.
			Proposed Green Belt	
			Harm to Aquifer to streams	
			Harm to Non Listed Heritage Assets	
			Harm to Quiet Lane and Rural Road Networks	
			Loss of Argricultural Land	
		Table 9 - List of Sites - East	Traffic restricted on Local Roads	
	42764993	0 Malling	Inadequate Resources	
			[59740]	Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into
			Please register this email as confirmation of my endorsement of Broadwater Action Groups recent paper submission highlighting concerns relating this site remaining in the local plan :	consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.
			~ Loss of high grade farm land ~ Harm to many heritage assets including listed buildings, Conservation Area and landscape features ~ Coalescence of East Malling, West Malling, Kings Hill and nearby hamlets ~ Harm to the underground water sources and lack of consideration of hydrogeology issues ~ Harm to Quiet Lanes and rural road network	
		Table 9 - List	~ Critical stress on existing road, medical & other infrastructure	
		of Sites - East	~ The existing consultation for this site elicited over 1,500 objection responses from official consultees, TMBC	
4	46124577	0 Malling	residents and other interested parties which should be taken into account.	

[59740]

As a resident of West Malling for nearly 40 years, I would like to endorse the submission by the Broadwater Action Group to the Regulation 18 Local Plan.

I also object strongly to every tiny communal green space being filled with new homes and I question why so much of the Borough's projected housing development is concentrated in such a small area of the Borough.

Other points I would like to endorse are:

- * The high-grade agricultural land of Broadwater and Eden Farms needs to be preserved
- * The hydrology of the area should not be ignored
- * The Conservation Areas of Broadwater and Mill Street must be protected
- * Coalescence of communities must be avoided
- Table 9 List * Transport infrastructure and the effect of extra traffic on West and East Malling cannot cope with a
- of Sites East * 30% uplift in population

46125217

0 Malling

* Health services are stretched as it is, without a large increase in residents

Clare House Park at Clare Lane/Chapman Way

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into

consideration within the site analysis and site selection

processes.

46124545

0 Malling

* It has been recognised in previous Local Plans that the parkland here is important recreational space owing to the

^{*} Clare House Park with its open space and lake/former mill pond fed by the Ditton Stream is the setting of the former parkland of the architecturally and important Grade 1 Listed Clare House built in c1792 for John Larking.

^{*} The whole of the site sits within the Clare Park and Blacklands Conservation and is recognised as an historic park and garden.

^{*} While within the ownership of The Malling School, a large proportion of the park and the area south east of the lake is publicly accessible and has been recognised by TMBC previously as a recreational space.

^{*} The Clare House estate was originally extensive, stretching to London Road in the north and New Road, East Malling to the east. What remains of the park is therefore of particularly high value in ensuring that Clare House, as an historical asset of national importance, can continue to be understood and appreciated within the context of its wider historic surroundings.

^{*} The loggia at Clare House faces towards the lake where a mill (Lower Mill), also owned by John Larking, once stood.

Table 9 - List This is an important vista which connects the house to this wider historic setting. It is important that this historic view of Sites - East is maintained.

Call for Sites references 59448 and 59450

* These two greens create a visually attractive green feature entrance to the Bradbourne Fields estate, creating a sense of place and separation between this and Stepstile estate to the west.

- * The greens are used for quiet leisure, recreation and exercise including dog walking. The nearest formal area of play space is at South Ward Playing Fields around ¾ of a mile away accessible via New Road which has a 40mph limit by the entrance to the estate and southwards to Beech Road.
- * There are groups of trees on the whole of the boundary with New Road and London Road that are subject to group TPOs. These tree belts are recognised on Defra's Magic Map as priority habitats. They are important for character, noise deflection and carbon capture. They are also important roosts for birds and bats.
- * A path runs across the site from Bradbourne Park Road to London Road providing residents with a safe, convenient and pleasant walking route to local shops and services.
- * Residents have checked their deeds, which I have seen, and these confirm that the communal areas are subject to right of use for the purpose of rest and quiet recreation. The restriction strongly suggests that this site cannot be developed for housing. Conversely, the clear purpose is for community benefit for leisure and relaxation.
- * Permission for the existing housing at Bradbourne Fields was granted under application TM/94/00385/OA (Land North of Bradbourne House New Road East Malling). The publicly available details on the Borough Council's website include a Planning Obligation with a map showing the greens with the annotation 'Gap in proposed planting to create vista' highlighting a line of sight from Bradbourne House across these greens towards Larkfield Priory. When planning permission was considered more recently for the 'Parkside' development at New Road, East Malling on EMR land, maintaining the vista between Bradbourne House and St James the Great Church was considered of great importance. I imagine that similar considerations will have been a factor at the time the Bradbourne Fields application was considered so as to retain the historical connection between these two buildings.

Table 9 - List * The Second Schedule of the same Planning Obligation reads: 1. To lay out and complete to the satisfaction of the of Sites - East Council a public open space on the areas of land approximately edged green on the Plan and to forever maintain the same as public open space...(my emphasis).

O Widining

59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East We object to this as it is an area rich with local wild life and an area of outstanding natural beauty, a quiet haven to 0 Malling walk in. Increased traffic will have a negative effect on local roads.

[59631]

[59630]

Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East Again we object to loss of open public green space and agricultural land. this is outside the confines of existing developments and will have a harmful effect on the local rural roads.

[59740]

Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Objections - Harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to Table 9 - List non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing

of Sites - East developments, loss of agricultural land, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, 0 Malling no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

46124545

46121761

46121761

Comment noted [59740]

I wish to strongly object to building on land on and around Broadwater Fram, and I strongly endorse the Broadwater Action Group's submissions regarding Site 59740 Broadwater Farm for many reasons. But especially that the area of land is significant to all the flora and fauna in the area, and that we as humans interact with that flora and fauna and that contributes to human wellbeing - for us, our children and our children' children.

It seems to me that there has been no consideration of the skylarks that live and breed either side of the West Malling bypass on the arable fields of Broadwater Farm, and on land throughout Kings Hill (before it is developed into housing). The nearby housing estate of Larkfield, was once also lark fields, but these are now the very last fields in the local area. Will your children know the song of sky larks? In winter the apple orchards are full of overwintering and migrating fieldfare's and redwings 100,000's of them. A spectacle we should be celebrating and protecting for wonderment of adults and children of our area. We also have regular stop-overs of turtle doves - an internationally endangered species of bird that loves the woodlands on every side of Broadwater farm, and the scarlet pimpernel plants that grow on the farms field margins. These things maybe don't sound so important until we consider the dramatic loss of habitat and species all across the globe, and also here in the UK. During the pandemic we glimpsed the respite we can all get from having a place to walk, breathe and listen to nature - so many people still benefit from these open spaces with public footpaths - when they are ill, or stressed or in need of letting off some steam. Once we have built on it, there is no going back. We could be doing more to engage all ages of people with the countryside more powerful movements for positive social care and change like the local charity Spadework.

As a result I also strongly urge you all to extend the green belt boundary from West Malling and out to Wateringbury Road at East malling. There is already a huge weight of traffic in this densely populated area, air pollution, and lack of adequate medical facilities.

of Sites - East In fact we should all be considering how we can protect areas like this as nature reserves, in the current pockets and 46128513 0 Malling corridors we have here in West Kent. Site 59630 157 dwellings Fields North of Amber Lane. This is also outside the existing line of

Table 9 - List

0 Malling

46129473

46129473

46129473

Table 9 - List development and will encroach into the countryside and green countryside space. It is in an area of of Sites - East outstanding natural beauty which should be not built on. Local country lanes would not be able to 0 Malling cope with the volume of traffic. It would also have a detrimental effect on the views of the area.

Table 9 - List Site 59631136 dwellings Heath Farm Wateringbury Road Next to Sports Park. Detrimental effect on the of Sites - East quiet lanes and rural road networks and would cause traffic issues. It is once again outside of the 0 Malling existing development and there would be a loss of agricultural land which we need. Site 59740 3000 dwellings Broadwater Farm A massive development that would cause harm to the

Table 9 - List protected as such as it allows a natural break between the villages and the special character of of Sites - East the area. There will be considerable harm to our road network, traffic problems and harm to the

quiet lane network. This is agricultural land which we need for our food.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into existing conservation areas, countryside, and heritage assets. This area should be Green Belt and consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Comment noted

Comment noted

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Table 9 - List of Sites - East

0 Malling

Site 59630 Mixed Use TBC (est. 157) dwellings Fields North of Amber Lane

Reasons for objections • Proposed Green Belt • Countryside • Harm to the aquifer for streams • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Traffic restricted on local roads • Visual Impact from AONB • Over Development with inadequate resources and amenities in Kings Hill.

Site 59631 Residential 136 dwellings Wateringbury Road, Heath Farm fields next to Sports Centre

Reasons for objections • Existing Public Open Space for Kings Hill • Countryside • Harm to quiet lane and rural road networks • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted on local roads

Site 59740 Mixed Use (Est 3,000) dwellings Broadwater Farm

Reasons for objections • Harm to conservation areas or harm to significance of conservation areas • Proposed Green
Belt • Countryside • Harm to the aquifer for streams • Harm to non-listed heritage assets • Harm to quiet lane and
rural road networks • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted
Table 9 - List on local roads • Inadequate resources – KCC indicate suggested school location not economic, no retail centre

of Sites - East

O Malling

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Reasons for objections • Proposed Green Belt • Countryside • Harm to the aquifer for streams • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Traffic restricted on local roads • Visual Impact from AONB

Site 59631 Residential 136 dwellings Wateringbury Road, Heath Farm fields next to Sports Centre

Reasons for objections • Existing Public Open Space for Kings Hill • Countryside • Harm to quiet lane and rural road networks • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted on local roads

Site 59740 Mixed Use (Est 3,000) dwellings Broadwater Farm

Reasons for objections • Harm to conservation areas or harm to significance of conservation areas • Proposed Green Belt • Countryside • Harm to the aquifer for streams • Harm to non-listed heritage assets • Harm to quiet lane and rural road networks • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted on local roads • Inadequate resources – Table 9 - List KCC indicate suggested school location not economic, no retail centre

of Sites - East

0 Malling

46138593

Site 59630 Mixed Use TBC (est. 157) dwellings Fields North of Amber Lane

Reasons for objections • Proposed Green Belt • Countryside • Harm to the aquifer for streams • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Traffic restricted on local roads • Visual Impact from AONB • Over Development with inadequate resources and amenities in Kings Hill.

Site 59631 Residential 136 dwellings Wateringbury Road, Heath Farm fields next to Sports Centre

Reasons for objections • Existing Public Open Space for Kings Hill • Countryside • Harm to quiet lane and rural road networks • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted on local roads

Site 59740 Mixed Use (Est 3,000) dwellings Broadwater Farm

Reasons for objections • Harm to conservation areas or harm to significance of conservation areas • Proposed Green
Table 9 - List Belt • Countryside • Harm to the aquifer for streams • Harm to non-listed heritage assets • Harm to quiet lane and
of Sites - East rural road networks • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted
O Malling on local roads • Inadequate resources – KCC indicate suggested school location not economic, no retail centre

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Reasons for objections • Proposed Green Belt • Countryside • Harm to the aquifer for streams • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Traffic restricted on local roads • Visual Impact from AONB

Site 59631 Residential 136 dwellings Wateringbury Road, Heath Farm fields next to Sports Centre

Reasons for objections • Existing Public Open Space for Kings Hill • Countryside • Harm to quiet lane and rural road networks • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted on local roads

Site 59740 Mixed Use (Est 3,000) dwellings Broadwater Farm

46139649

46140033

0 Malling

0 Malling

Proposed Green Belt • Countryside • Harm to the aquifer for streams • Harm to non-listed heritage Table 9 - List assets • Harm to quiet lane and rural road networks • Outside of the confines of existing of Sites - East developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted on local roads • Inadequate resources – KCC indicate suggested school location not economic, no retail centre Site 59630 Mixed Use TBC (est. 157) dwellings Fields North of Amber Lane

Reasons for objections • Harm to conservation areas or harm to significance of conservation areas •

Reasons for objections • Proposed Green Belt • Countryside • Harm to the aguifer for streams • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Traffic restricted on local roads • Visual Impact from AONB

Site 59631 Residential 136 dwellings Wateringbury Road, Heath Farm fields next to Sports Centre

Reasons for objections • Existing Public Open Space for Kings Hill • Countryside • Harm to quiet lane and rural road networks • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted on local roads

Site 59740 Mixed Use (Est 3,000) dwellings Broadwater Farm

Proposed Green Belt • Countryside • Harm to the aquifer for streams • Harm to non-listed heritage Table 9 - List assets • Harm to quiet lane and rural road networks • Outside of the confines of existing of Sites - East developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted on local roads • Inadequate resources -KCC indicate suggested school location not economic, no retail centre

Reasons for objections • Harm to conservation areas or harm to significance of conservation areas •

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Reasons for objections • Proposed Green Belt • Countryside • Harm to the aquifer for streams • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Traffic restricted on local roads • Visual Impact from AONB

Site 59631 Residential 136 dwellings Wateringbury Road, Heath Farm fields next to Sports Centre

Reasons for objections • Existing Public Open Space for Kings Hill • Countryside • Harm to quiet lane and rural road networks • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted on local roads

Site 59740 Mixed Use (Est 3,000) dwellings Broadwater Farm

Proposed Green Belt • Countryside • Harm to the aquifer for streams • Harm to non-listed heritage Table 9 - List assets • Harm to guiet lane and rural road networks • Outside of the confines of existing of Sites - East developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted on local roads • Inadequate resources – KCC indicate suggested school location not economic, no retail centre Site 59630 Mixed Use TBC (est. 157) dwellings Fields North of Amber Lane

Reasons for objections • Harm to conservation areas or harm to significance of conservation areas •

Reasons for objections • Proposed Green Belt • Countryside • Harm to the aguifer for streams • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Traffic restricted on local roads • Visual Impact from AONB

Site 59631 Residential 136 dwellings Wateringbury Road, Heath Farm fields next to Sports Centre

Reasons for objections • Existing Public Open Space for Kings Hill • Countryside • Harm to quiet lane and rural road networks • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted on local roads

Site 59740 Mixed Use (Est 3,000) dwellings Broadwater Farm

Proposed Green Belt • Countryside • Harm to the aquifer for streams • Harm to non-listed heritage Table 9 - List assets • Harm to quiet lane and rural road networks • Outside of the confines of existing of Sites - East developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted on local roads • Inadequate resources -KCC indicate suggested school location not economic, no retail centre

Reasons for objections • Harm to conservation areas or harm to significance of conservation areas •

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in consideration within the site analysis and site selection Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into processes.

46139969

46139713

46140417

0 Malling

of Sites - East

0 Malling

0 Malling

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in consideration within the site analysis and site selection Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre. of Sites - East 0 Malling 46140513 Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. [x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads. [x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre. Table 9 - List

of Sites - East

0 Malling

42833089

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into processes.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Table 9 - List of Sites - East

46140993

0 Malling

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Table 9 - List of Sites - East

46140769

0 Malling

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Table 9 - List of Sites - East

46140801

0 Malling

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Table 9 - List of Sites - East

0 Malling

46141057

0 Malling

submit my objections below to the sites identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development.

59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings.

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural Table 9 - List road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local of Sites - East roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre, no GP provision.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

submit my objections below to the sites identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane. Amber Lane. Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings.

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural Table 9 - List road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local of Sites - East roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre, no GP provision.

46150081

0 Malling

Site 59630 Mixed Use TBC (est. 157) dwellingsFields North of Amber Lane

Reasons for objections • Proposed Green Belt • Countryside • Harm to the aquifer for streams • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Traffic restricted on local roads • Visual Impact from AONB

Site 59631 Residential 136 dwellingsWateringbury Road, Heath Farm fields next to Sports Centre

Reasons for objections • Existing Public Open Space for Kings Hill • Countryside • Harm to quiet lane and rural road networks • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted on local roads

Site 59740 Mixed Use (Est 3,000) dwellingsBroadwaterFarm

Reasons for objections • Harm to conservation areas or harm to significance of conservation areas • Proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt • Countryside • Harm to the aquifer for streams • Harm to non-listed heritage assets • Harm to quiet lane and of Sites - East rural road networks • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted 0 Malling on local roads • Inadequate resources – KCC indicate suggested school location not economic, no retail centre

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Reasons for objections • Proposed Green Belt • Countryside • Harm to the aguifer for streams • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Traffic restricted on local roads • Visual Impact from AONB

Site 59631 Residential 136 dwellings Wateringbury Road, Heath Farm fields next to Sports Centre

Reasons for objections • Existing Public Open Space for Kings Hill • Countryside • Harm to quiet lane and rural road networks • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted on local roads

Site 59740 Mixed Use (Est 3,000) dwellings **Broadwater Farm**

Reasons for objections • Harm to conservation areas or harm to significance of conservation areas • Proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt • Countryside • Harm to the aquifer for streams • Harm to non-listed heritage assets • Harm to quiet lane and of Sites - East rural road networks • Outside of the confines of existing developments • Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted on local roads • Inadequate resources – KCC indicate suggested school location not economic, no retail centre

Site 59630: Mixed use 157 dwellings

- * Construction outside of the confines of existing developments.
- * Proposed Green Belt!
- * Significant increased difficulty to access to the area which is already terribly difficult due to the massive flow of cars going in and out of the sport park, with lack of space for cars to pass on Beacon avenue.
- * Increase traffic through already restricted local roads.
- * Increased stress on parking space available due to already poor estimation of need for the current local houses.
- * Destruction of further ancient woodlands including protected trees and loss of habitat to our local fauna also including protected species.
- * Damage to/loss of local aquifer for streams leading to increased local aridity.
- * Result in loss of green space for the local inhabitants of Kings Hill.
- * Significant damage of area of Outstanding Natural Beauty for all Kent.

Site 59631: 136 dwellings

- * Construction outside of the confines of existing developments.
- * Increase traffic through already restricted local and rural roads.
- * Destruction of further woodlands including protected trees and loss of habitat to our local fauna also including protected species.
- * Loss of open green space for Kings Hill inhabitant.
- * Loss of agricultural lands

Table 9 - List Site 59740: Mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

of Sites - East

0 Malling

0 Malling

* Gross lack of resources in the area! This includes lack of secondary schools, already low access to medical facilities,

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection

processes.

46150561

46151009

processes.

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

46150433

0 Malling

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

Impact on the wildlife that thrive in this area.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45220321

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate infrastructure / resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place.

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

46150305

0 Malling

Submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:-

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local Table 9 - List roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

of Sites - East

0 Malling

Reasons for objections:

- Proposed Green Belt
- Countryside
- Harm to the aquifer for streams
- Outside of the confines of existing developments
- Traffic restricted on local roads
- Visual Impact from AONB

Site 59631 Residential 136 dwellings - Wateringbury Road, Heath Farm fields next to Sports Centre Reasons for objections:

- Existing Public Open Space for Kings Hill
- Countryside
- Harm to quiet lane and rural road networks
- Outside of the confines of existing developments
- Loss of agricultural land
- Traffic restricted on local roads

Site 59740 Mixed Use (Est 3,000) dwellings - Broadwater Farm

Reasons for objections:

- Harm to conservation areas or harm to significance of conservation areas
- Proposed Green Belt
- Countryside
- Harm to the aquifer for streams
- Harm to non-listed heritage assets

Table 9 - List • Harm to quiet lane and rural road networks

of Sites - East • Outside of the confines of existing developments

46150785

0 Malling

• Loss of agricultural land • Traffic restricted on local roads

I submit my objections below to the sites marked with an "X" and identified by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in Regulation 18 of the Local Plan for proposals for development:

[x] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. Loss of space for recreation and exercise of local population and dogs

[x] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[x] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated Table 9 - List suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

of Sites - East

42717249 0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

46150209

0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local 0 Malling roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

46150177

Objections to TBMC Local Plan, Regulation 18 Local Plan Consultation.

Site 59630 (157 dwellings)

Proposed Green belt, Destruction of countryside and green space adjacent to existing conservation area. Negative impact on adjacent existing conservation area and nature reserve. Over development with inadequate resources at Kings Hill and locally (e.g. limited medical services, insufficient public transport, lack of parking space, increased traffic congestion).

Site 59631 (136 dwellings)

Destruction of existing countryside and loss of agricultural land. Harm to local quiet lane and rural road networks. Over development with inadequate resources at Kings Hill and locally (e.g. limited medical services, insufficient public transport, lack of parking space, increased traffic congestion).

Site 59740 (est 3,000 dwellings)

Table 9 - List Excessive over development with inadequate resources at Kings Hill and locally (e.g. limited medical services, of Sites - East insufficient public transport, lack of parking space, increased traffic congestion). Increased pressure on these local resources. Proposed Green Belt 0 Malling

46140865

As a resident of West Malling, I am writing with respect to the potential future development of Broadwater Farm, West Malling (ID 59740).

I would like to strongly object to this site being included in the local plan.

The area in question is currently utilised as an apple orchard, and is grade 1 Agricultural Land Classification. I believe that the UK should be procuring and securing its own food supplies, and not be reliant on imports. As we have seen recently, world-wide factors can disrupt supply causing price spikes and shortages, this when cost of living is already affecting general population.

Broadwater Farm forms part of a conservation area, and provides an invaluable green wedge, preventing the merging together of West Malling, Kings Hill and East Malling. The area denotes a landscape sensitive to change as not only does it provides a rural setting to the existing settlements, but also provides an area to allow biodiversity and wildlife to flourish.

I believe a coalescing development on such an extensive and increasing man made scale would not in any way contribute to communities, ecosystems, nor historic West Malling.

Department for Communities and Local Government dated March 2012 states in Item 11, that planning systems should minimise impacts on biodiversity, and further noted in para 112 that local planning authorities should seek to use areas of poorer quality land in preference to that of a higher quality.

0 Malling

Lastly, it is the duty of the Council under the Environment Act 1995 and Climate Change Act 2008 to seek to promote Table 9 - List reduction in contributions to climate change, pollution and air quality. The South East is under constant destruction of of Sites - East its green spaces and farming land. Its time to push the levelling up agenda to a serious level, which can be the only really serious solution to our housing problems.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection

processes.

[Site 59740]

I am writing to endorse and add my support to the comments submitted by the Broadwater Action Group (BAG) against the proposed development at site reference 59740. In particular, I believe a development of the scale proposed will be extremely damaging to the local environment, landscape and heritage not to mention the loss of high quality agricultural land.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

The impact of the additional vehicular traffic on the A228 is unsustainable as the road is already dangerously over-capacity, especially between Kings Hill and Mereworth (passed Kent Street) and there have been at least two deaths and a serious injury at the exisiting junction to West Malling station.

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East I whole heartedly support the site being included in the proposed extension of the Green Belt to protect the "green 0 Malling wedge" between the current villages of West Malling, East Malling and Leybourne

42802465

59424 Woods at end of Clearheart Lane

59531 Tower View [Green] (South East)

59534 Tower View [Green] (North West)

59544 Conservation Area off Bancroft Lane

59547 Discovery Drive next to Conservation Area

59630 Fields North of Amber Lane

59631 Wateringbury Road, Heath Farm fields next to Sports Centre

59634 Hoath Wood Ancient Woodland next to Broadwater Farm proposal

59655 On Road to North Pole behind Victory Drive

59740 Broadwater Farm

59761 Kate Reed Wood (inc. Lumber Yard)

59762 South of Lapins Lane (Phase 1) to A26

Table 9 - List

of Sites - East 59797 West quarter of Kings Hill golf course to A26

46191361 0 Malling

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

46193313

46193345

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aguifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

0 Malling

0 Malling

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

[X] 59630 Fields North of Amber Lane mixed use 157 dwellings

This development is rear of Shoesmith Lane, Amber Lane, Sandow Place

Reasons for objections - Proposed Green Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, outside of the confines of existing developments, traffic restricted on local roads, visual impact from Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

[X] Site 59631 Wateringbury Road Heath Farm next to Sports Park 136 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Existing pubic Open Space for Kings Hill\Countryside, harm to quiet lane and rural road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads.

[X] Site 59740 Broadwater Farm mixed use estimate 3000 dwellings

Reasons for objections - Harm to conservation areas and harm to significant of conservation areas, proposed Green Table 9 - List Belt, countryside, harm to the aquifer for streams, harm to non-listed heritage assets, harm to quiet lane and rural of Sites - East road networks, outside of the confines of existing developments, loss of agricultural land, traffic restricted on local roads, inadequate resources - KCC indicated suggested school location not economic, no retail centre.

45219297

Firstly, I believe there should be an extension to the Green Belt Boundary to the general line of Wateringbury Rd, E.Malling between the built-up areas of West Malling, East Malling and Kings Hill. This will prevent the coalescence of the villages and protect the rural landscape.

In particular I would like to object to the following sites in East Malling;

Site 59636 - Stickens Lane

Site 59698 – Clare Lane/Broadwater Rd

Site 59824 – Mill St, behind Darcy Court

These sites cover a small area which separates East and West Malling. They are 3 separate fields, 2 of which are currently farmed. Sites 59854 and 59636 have views into and within conservation areas and must surely be fed from the aguifiers within the Broadwater conservation area. If they are developed, then the road system will surely have to be adapted as many of the lanes are only single width at present. I am a member of Broadwater Action Group (BAG) and all of the objection points to that development (site 59740) can be applied here; Heritage, Geology, Wildlife, Roads and infrastructure as well as threatening a Conservation Area.

With the development of Forty Acres now proceeding there will already be a significant increase in the population. This brings me to my other point that the area of West Malling has absorbed a disproportionate number of new houses compared to the rest of the Borough. I know you have already received objections from BAG about Site 59740 but I will repeat that in a study undertaken for BAG it said;

Table 9 - List

0 Malling

of Sites - East '... the increase in housing in the immediate vicinity of West Malling; that is within a 1 mile radius, the number 0 Malling

[SA map]

My comments on this site for house building are:

I object because this is an area of countryside and is a proposed green belt.

It is outside the confines of existing developments.

The local roads are already very congested/restricted.

It will also harm the aquifer for streams in the area.

Site 59631 - Residential 136 dwellings

[SA map]

My comments on this site for house building are: I object because It is:

Existing Public Open Space for Kings Hill

Countryside

Outside of the confines of existing developments

It will create:

Harm to quiet lane and rural road networks

Loss of agricultural land

And currently already Traffic is restricted on local roads

Site 59740 - Mixed Use (Est 3,000) dwellings

Table 9 - List [SA map]

of Sites - East

46212897 0 Malling

My comments on this site for house building are: I object to this major build proposal because of the following

TMBC consideration for this site was rejected with the justifications:

st The proposed development by virtue of the overall number of units proposed, the resultant

density, form, pattern and character that would subsequently arise would be entirely at odds with the prevailing character of the environment, which is rural in character and appearance. As such, the

development would be harmful to visual amenity and demonstrably would not respect the site and its surroundings, protect, conserve or enhance local distinctiveness, be sympathetic to local character and history, or take any opportunities for improving the character and quality of the area and the way it functions. The development proposed is therefore contrary to the requirements of policy CP24 of the Tonbridge and Malling Borough Core Strategy (2007), policy SQ1 of the Tonbridge and Malling Borough Managing Development and the Environment DPD (2010) and paragraphs 127(c) and 130 of the National Planning Policy Framework (2019).

* The proposed development by virtue of its specific nature and the locational characteristics of the site does not contribute to the objectives of sustainable development. The site would be separated from local amenities, facilities and public transport routes and the proposed development makes no provision to provide acceptable or safe connections between the site and such facilities in a way that would offer future residents a genuine choice of sustainable transport options. The development therefore fails to meet the objectives of sustainable development as set out by

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes. However, comments on specific live planning applications are Development Management matters.

Previous KHPC Objections to Site

TM/21/02719/OAEA Development Site At Broadwater Farm Ashton Way West Malling Kent. Outline Application: erection of up to 900 homes, land for a medical centre, primary and secondary school, associated open space and green infrastructure

Kings Hill has been described in the past as a beautifully created 800-acre development, providing a fully established mixed-use community creating the perfect balance between working & living.

The Statement of Community Involvement in the planning submission shows that the vast majority of residents are strongly opposed to the Broadwater Farm plans in every aspect.

The proposed development is unsound in that it has not adequately considered and assessed reasonable alternatives, should not be on green field and use of the green belt land should be only in the most exceptional circumstances.

As documented in the withdrawn local plan, Kings Hill alone has provided roughly 25% of the requirement for new dwellings for Tonbridge and Malling Borough for roughly the last 20 years.

Kings Hill Parish Council recommends that planning permission is NOT GRANTED for this development for these reasons and those outlined below.

Road Network and Access

The Parish Council believes that the plans for the Broadwater Farm development are unsound based on the impact on the highway network:

* Berkeley proposal acknowledges that "the existing and proposed roads within Kings Hill are inadequate...".

consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes. However, comments on specific live planning applications are Development Management matters.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into

However, the proposed access is through the area proposed in the current draft local plan as being green belt. This is not appropriate, given the visual and ecological impact on the area. Also, the travel distances to Kings Hill would result consideration within the site analysis and site selection in distances of around 5 miles for each journey to retail facilities. West Malling is largely inappropriate for this, given the limitations on parking – even the available parking in the central car parks has been continually eaten away by infill housing developments, so as demand goes up due to developments in the area, availability goes down!

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into processes. However, comments on specific live planning applications are Development Management matters.

In addition, as well as the impact of the necessary works to install the junctions (there is no practicable alternative route for local traffic, as can be seen by the impact on the traffic jams through West Malling when the A228 was recently re-surfaced) the two proposed accesses onto A228 Ashton Way have serious shortcomings and should not be allowed.

The proposed junction for the primary access road is shown as offset from the opposite road to West Malling train station. During the evening rush hour, a noticeable queue can develop North bound at this junction with the current layout. If the proposed layout is adopted, the additional sets of traffic lights will further impede traffic flow, and because there is a space between the traffic lights on the primary access road and the Northbound traffic flow, that will essentially give the development effective priority over through traffic, additionally impacting traffic flow along the A228, possibly also temporarily blocking South bound traffic depending on traffic light sequencing, and seriously impacting on the ability to get out of the West Malling train station to the Kings Hill estate. I note that an additional lane is being proposed with merging of the right-hand land immediately after the lights. This has been shown to be a dangerous configuration, and has been removed in numerous places. (E.g. where New Road crosses the A322 at Bagshot). For example, drivers trying to turn right will have a track which crosses those drivers trying to quickly overtake a queue of vehicles in the second lane. The issue is not so serious at the Hermitage Farm lights, as very little traffic goes in and out of the farm, but there is likely to be a much higher level of traffic turning right at the West Malling station / Broadwater farm proposed development, and there is the potential for a disaster! The council strongly advises that this aspect of the proposed junction is not allowed in the interest of safety. In addition, there is a continuous footpath / cycleway along the A228 from Kings Hill to the link road to the A20. This will be broken by this proposed junction, with a convoluted path across three roads; depending on how the traffic lights are arranged, this

or allowing connectivity to the proposed road network will result in other traffic using the link as a rat-run, which is counter to the constraints that have been applied to Kings Hill development and inappropriate for the roads in question; Wateringbury and East Malling could quickly become congested with traffic from the Kings Hill area, especially when there are delays around the A228, A20 and surrounding roads.

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes. However, comments on specific live planning applications are Development Management matters.

Public Transport

There is a lack of sufficient bus or rail network connections this can be evidenced by the fact that train services to London are standing room only and school buses to Tonbridge are taking up to 90 minutes with children being forced to stand.

Train Services

Train services to the city were cut in 2009 and many commuter residents either struggle with the existing services or have now found alternative but less convenient routes to their work places.

West Malling Train Station will also be heavily impacted. The train service is already at capacity and some passengers are having to stand all the way to Victoria Station, London and also on the return journey during rush hour. West Malling station can only have 6 carriage trains because of short platforms. Trying to park in any of the current car parks is impossible after 8.30am [prior to COVID]. It is the same issue with other station car parks in the area. Together with large numbers of proposed residents needing to commute to London for their work, the trains are already very overcrowded in the rush hour without adding a few hundred more passengers and parking for their respective cars. If Local Government think that residents from the proposed Development are going to walk to the train station, they are greatly mistaken. The issues, as aforementioned, will be the mirrored at Paddock Wood Train Station, which is used by many residents within Kings Hill because of the fast trains into the City of London. Again, the service is outstripped by the sheer volume of passengers in the rush hour. So too are the car parks at Paddock Wood. It should be pointed out that the rush hour in this area starts at around 6.00am for London commuters and is still

outweighs the benefits gained from such a development. Previous KHPC Objections to Site

- Kings Hill Parish Council object to this site being developed for the following reasons: Strongly object on the means of access to this site. Amber lane already suffers from on street parking which already has stopped an emergency vehicle from accessing this road. The on-street parking is clearly evident in the documents submitted and these appear to be a daytime photograph when the parking issue is minimal. The residents of Kings Hill feel that that the means of access should not be from Kings Hill as there would be a severe impact on the road network and therefore this application should be refused.
- This area is currently used as open space for dog walking and other recreation. The proportion of green and open space is linked to self-reported levels of health and mental health. The loss of this land has the potential to impact on the surrounding resident's wellbeing.
- There are significant concerns over the additional traffic that this site together with the other applications cumulatively will generate where accessed through Kings Hill. Even as phase three now begins to be built out and these proposed new sites, there are of course still only two means of access and egress for the ever-increasing number of residents. Whilst the A228 is dual carriageway between the main entrance/exit Tower View and either the A 20 or M20 which is where most traffic travels to and from, there are quite often significant difficulties in residents trying to leave Kings Hill in the mornings. The single carriageway A228 south towards Mereworth and Tonbridge and beyond is heavily used but often backs up. The traffic frequently blocks the exit out of Kings Hill, giving rise to prolonged traffic queues and all the cumulative wasted time as people seek to leave Kings Hill daily for employment off Kings Hill, or pursuit of leisure activities. We attach photos for the mornings of 12th September 2018, 18th October 2018, 19th December 2018, and 6th March 2019. Such is the issue the Parish Council have for some time been seeking amelioration arrangements through contact with our county councillor and KCC highways. These issues currently exist and were highlighted to us by residents at our recent public meeting as well as being referred to in some of their objections; phase 3 is already expected to add to the problems and we raise concerns over any further development eventually leading to further gridlock.

Paragraph 109 of the National Planning Policy Framework 2018 states

""Development should only be prevented or refused on highway grounds if there would be an unacceptable impact

TMBC consideration for this site was rejected with the justifications:

- 1. The proposed development by virtue of the overall number of units proposed, the resultant density, form, pattern, and character that would subsequently arise would be entirely at odds with the prevailing character of the environment, which is rural in character and appearance. As such, the development would be harmful to visual amenity and demonstrably would not respect the site and its surroundings, protect, conserve, or enhance local distinctiveness, be sympathetic to local character and history, or take any opportunities for improving the character and quality of the area and the way it functions. The development proposed is therefore contrary to the requirements of policy CP24 of the Tonbridge and Malling Borough Core Strategy (2007), policy SQ1 of the Tonbridge and Malling Borough Managing Development and the Environment DPD (2010) and paragraphs 127(c) and 130 of the National Planning Policy Framework (2019).
- 2. The proposed development by virtue of its specific nature and the locational characteristics of the site does not contribute to the objectives of sustainable development. The site would be separated from local amenities, facilities and public transport routes and the proposed development makes no provision to provide acceptable or safe connections between the site and such facilities in a way that would offer future residents a genuine choice of sustainable transport options. The development therefore fails to meet the objectives of sustainable development as set out by Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council paragraph 8 and the requirements of paragraph 102 and 103 of the National Planning Policy Framework (2019)

Previous KHPC Objections to Site

18/03032/OAEA Heath Farm Wateringbury Road East Malling West Malling Kent Outline Application: Redevelopment to provide up to 40 Class C3 residential units, together with landscaping, open space and other associated works. All matters reserved for future approval except for access (site 5.5)

Kings Hill Parish Council object to this planning application for the following reasons: -

• The Heath Farm, Wateringbury Road site would be contrary to the prospective new local plan, as this site is within a proposed extension to the green belt. This is classed as a material planning consideration and should be considered. There is reference within the submission that the emerging local plan should be disregarded on the basis it is not yet adopted. However, the creation and submission of the local plan has engaged with the local population who have been able to comment and provide their views with a view to shaping the plan. Whilst the plan is now for review with

Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes. However, comments on specific live planning applications are Development Management matters.