

Respondent ID	Agent ID	Document Part Name	Comment (plain text)	TMBC Response
42213665		0 Text Block	<p>This map clearly shows that it would be desirable to extend the green belt eastwards from West Malling. If that is not possible it will be imperative to ensure that appropriate robust restrictions are in place to maintain green space between the distinctly separate settlements of East Malling, West Malling, Leybourne, Larkfield and Kings Hill. Any development in the current space between these settlements would lead to a continuous urban sprawl which will be detrimental to existing and new residents' enjoyment of the area, lead to additional destruction of natural biodiverse habitats and add to pollution levels locally.</p>	<p>Comment noted. This matter will be considered and reflected within the new evidence being prepared to support plan preparation. This includes a new Green Belt Study.</p>
42328449		0 Text Block	<p>Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty should be preserved. This is a beautiful part of North Kent. There is no excuse for devastating it. That means areas adjacent to AONBs should also be excluded from significant development. For example the Celcon Site in Borough Green is not in the AONB, but is surrounded by it. Significant building on that site would result in an increase in traffic and other load on all the surrounding roads and infrastructure which is inside the AONB.</p>	<p>Comment noted. This matter will be considered and reflected within the new evidence being prepared to support plan preparation. The Council is also required to reflect the approach of the National Planning Policy Framework and associated planning practice guidance.</p>
42166177		0 Text Block	<p>I do not agree that kings hill is an urban area No to Mereworth development</p> <p>You cannot seriously be considering sites 59758, 59759, 57960? These are on single track roads, already having an accident black spot from Kent Street to the A228. You can't put more junctions onto the A228, its just not big enough viable, drains are already breaking under the weight and volume of traffic!</p> <p>Mereworth, a tiny village, no shops, trains or infrastructure. One small primary school already makes The Street almost impassable when parents drop their kids off. These developments would ruin the village life, blight the green belt landscape and make the lives of residents here hell, during and after construction.</p> <p>I bought my house because it is rural, it does overlook fields. I would loose tens of thousands off the value of my property if this went ahead and ruin what I have, I would have to move. There are many sites near major road, near rail network, with shops and doctors, schools etc locally that would be far better proposals.</p> <p>I hope you can see common sense and say No to these proposals.</p>	<p>Comment noted and will be considered.</p> <p>Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes. The local plan development strategy and infrastructure demands will be reflected within the infrastructue delivery plan.</p>
42456609		0 Text Block		<p>Comment noted. The Council notified relevant national and local organisations, residents who were registered on the Local Plan database, community groups, businesses, Council's and stakeholders including its youth forum. The Consultation was also advertised via its website and social media platforms.</p>
42520801		0 Text Block	<p>This process is not an accessible process by many. This consultation proposes many housing developments in Mereworth. The majority of residents are elderly and may not have the skill set to access this consultation which is burdensome and incredibly time consuming! A small village has far less residents to object than a current large housing estate. Please can you ensure all residents have been directly contacted in an accessible means so they can have their say!</p>	

42544705	0 Text Block	We really do have enough housing in the area, we do not need as many new buildings. Redevelop buildings which are empty or build on damaged land. This means less new developments and more open spaces.	Comment noted. The council is required to reflect the approach of the National Planning Policy Framework and associated planning practice guidance.
42470433	0 Text Block	I strongly disagree that Kings Hill is an urban area - it should not be classified or treated as such. Residents here consider it a small village - we moved here from Surrey to move away from busyness and to the quieter countryside, still accessible to London yes, but not an urban area. Kings Hill does not have urban amenities - it has no secondary school, no train station, no library, lower population density, limited variety of amenities (supermarkets aside) only a few shops, a GP surgery that is not coping, no secondary school etc. It should be classed as a rural settlement and protected as so. This is what we all wanted when we moved here but the constant over-development of the village is leading to it losing its charm and character and leading to it being unable to adequately provide facilities to support the residents that live here.	Comment noted. This matter will be considered and reflected within the new evidence being prepared to support plan preparation. The local plan development strategy and infrastructure demands will be reflected in the infrastructure delivery plan.
42353345	0 Text Block	The railway lines imply these systems are adequate to the demands that will be put on them but Borough Green station is not.	Noted. The local plan development strategy and associated infrastructure demands will be reflected within the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.
42583393	0 Text Block	historic parkland not shown	
42715777	0 Text Block	Please can you set out what the area in white is? This is not shown in the KEY. The train station indicated at Wateringbury is NOT in Tonbridge & Malling Borough, it is in Green Transport/walking/cycling distance of only a very few areas & in the case of some site assessments has been inaccurately considered - one INDICATIVE example is Appendix B Site 59803 stated as within 800m of the station when in fact only a very small remote corner of the site may be within a arc as the crow flies.	
42443361	0 Text Block	Really interesting maps.	Comment noted.
42750113	0 Text Block	Outstanding map of the borough with most of the pertinent data. You have to make a choice about what to display, any more data would reduce clarity.	Comment noted.
42557441	0 Text Block	Just look at what proportion of this map comprises Areas of Outstanding Natural beauty and Green Belt. They were designated as such to protect them from such appalling developments as those proposed under the Local Plan. Please see sense and save our local communities and landscape.	Comment noted and will be considered.
42556065	0 Text Block	Kings Hill is not an "urban area" - despite attempts under recent development plans to try to convert it into one - it was originally conceived and designed to be a "Garden Village", with due consideration given to the quality of life of the residents. This principle should be maintained.	Noted. The site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.
42766465	0 Text Block	Borough Green is not comparable to King's Hill, Hildenborough and Hadlow and should not be considered a Rural Service Centre - it doesn't have enough services to offer.	Comment noted and will be considered.
42562465	0 Text Block	Hildenborough is clearly not served by an A road and should therefore not be categorised as a Rural Service Centre.	Comment noted and will be considered.
42778529	0 Text Block		Comment noted. This matter will be considered and reflected within the new evidence being prepared to support plan preparation.
42786593	0 Text Block	We shouldn't be developing AONB, SAoC, SSSI, Green Belt or agricultural land.	Comment noted.
42720897	0 Text Block	Good grade agricultural land needs to be kept so we can attempt to be self sufficient as a nation.	Comment noted and will be considered.
42802177	0 Text Block	This map clearly shows that Hildenborough is NOT a Service Centre given its transport links.	

42801825	0 Text Block	There is an error on the map - Kings Hill should not be classified as an urban settlement.	Comment noted and will be considered.
25406913	25406817 Text Block	This key diagram highlights the opportunity for Tonbridge to be extended to the west (north of Hilden Park to Shipbourne Road)	Comment noted and will be considered.

42833057	0 Text Block	development should be kept away from greenbelt areas and all new building should be carbon neutral.
----------	--------------	---

Noted. Site specific matters raised will be taken into consideration within the site analysis and site selection processes.
